



## **Prospects for Higgs Searches at CDF in Run II**

DPF2000 Columbus, Ohio August 9-12, 2000

**Richard E. Hughes** Department of Physics The Ohio State University

Acknowledgements: Report of the Tevatron Higgs Working Group: http://fnth37.fnal.gov/higgs.html

Richard E. Hughes, The Ohio State University

Higgs Searches in Run II at CDF





#### • Data seem to prefer low mass Higgs: Is it within reach in Run II?



Higgs Searches in Run II at CDF







gg® H dominates over all mass ranges, but backgrounds are largest
At high masses H->WW helps
WH, ZH modes provide straightforward trigger, smaller backgrounds
Htt mode provides distinct signature



### Higgs Decays



- m(H) < 130 GeV
  - Most promising modes:

 $\ell \mathbf{n} b \overline{b}, \ \mathbf{n} \overline{\mathbf{n}} b \overline{b}, \ \ell^+ \ell^- b \overline{b}, \ q \overline{q} b \overline{b}$ 

- B-tagging, jet resolution are the key
- m(H) > 130 GeV
  - Most promising modes:

 $\ell^+\ell^- n\bar{n}, \ \ell^\pm\ell^\pm jj, \ \ell^\pm\ell^\pm\ell^\mp$ 

#### Good lepton ID, coverage are the key







#### • COT

- Much better stereo, faster drift: Preserves Run I capability for Run II
- SVXII, ISL, Layer00
  - Radiation hard, 3D vs 2D, much better pattern recognition
  - Much better Z-vertexing
  - Tracking and b-tagging out to larger rapidity
- SVT
  - > Identification of B hadrons at Level 2: Calibration using  $Z \rightarrow bb$
- Muon System
  - Coverage nearly doubles
- End Plug Calorimeter
  - Much better Electron ID out to |h| < 2.0</p>



## B-tagging in Run II



- B-tagging at CDF in Run I
  - ➤ 4 layer SVX
  - single tag eff (top events)
    - eff = 25% (includes geom acc ~0.5)
  - double tag eff (top events)
    - eff = 8%
- B-tagging at CDF in Run II
  - ➤ 5 layer DS-SVX, ISL, Lay00
  - single tag eff (top events)
    - **-** eff = 49%
  - double tag eff (top events)
    - eff = 25%







- Using more information can dramatically improve jet resolution:
  - Standard jet algorithm uses only calorimeter information
  - adding charged particle info, plus shower max detector info improves energy resolution by 30%

Photon + Jet P<sub>T</sub> Balancing in CDF Data



Richard E. Hugnes, The Unio State University

- Monte Carlo study of dijet mass resolution in Z®bb events collected via inclusive muon trigger
  - adding muon momentum, missing transverse energy projection along jet axis, charged fraction improves dijet mass resolution by 50%







- Using these corrections in Run I data:

  - Average value and width agree with MC expectations after corrections are applied



- Impact on significance of a possible Higgs signal:
  - If the dijet mass resolution can improve to 10%, then an increase in significance of almost 50% is possible





## **Search Strategies**



- Low mass Higgs: Example M(H)=120 GeV
  - Use Pythia MC for signal, most backgrounds. (Herwig for Wbb)
  - Use CDF Run I detector simulation
    - B-tagging should be better in Run II
    - Dijet mass resolution assumed to be 30% improved over Run I
  - Trigger criteria
    - High pt central e or mu; OR missing energy + B-tagged jet
  - Event selection
    - Lepton with P<sub>t</sub> > 20 GeV/c
    - MET > 20 GeV/c
    - 2 B-tagged jets (T/L)
      - E<sub>t</sub> > 10 GeV/c
    - 1 tagged jet  $E_t > 25 \text{ GeV}$

- No additional jets with  $E_t > 20 \text{ GeV}$
- Veto events with 2 isolated high tracks
- m(bb) in window 89-135 GeV





- Assuming M(H) = 120 GeV, and a luminosity of 20 fb<sup>-1</sup>
  - After all cuts:
    - 74 WH events remain
    - 986 total background events
    - S/ÖB=2.4 (about 99%CL)
  - Need L=10 fb<sup>-1</sup> for 95%CL
  - Need L=90 fb<sup>-1</sup> for 5s discovery

Note: All event #'s shown assume L=20 fb<sup>-1</sup>

	<b>s</b> (pb)	#events produced 20fb <sup>-1</sup>	# events after cuts 20fb <sup>-1</sup>
WH	0.16	3,200	74
Wbb	10.6	212,000	394
tĪ	7.5	150,000	376
t b	1.0	20,000	192
tqb	2.5	48,000	78
WZ	3.2	64,000	46



## Low Mass Higgs



- How do we improve things?
  - ► Add ZH, Z®m,ℓℓ modes
    - Expect 34 signal events 166 background events in L=20fb, S/ÖB=2.6
  - Use more aggressive analysis techniques, such as neural networks:
    - For WH, H®bb:
      - expect 61 signal events 441 background events in L=20fb, S/ÖB=2.9
      - versus 2.4 traditional cuts method





# High mass Higgs: Example M(H)=170 GeV



- Use Pythia MC for event generation, SHW detector simulation (developed by Higgs Working Group)
- ➤ Focus on H® W\*W\* ® ℓ+n ℓ-n
- Trigger criteria
  - Two High pt central e or mu
- Event selection
  - angular cuts to remove tt background
  - Veto events with high Et jets, or B-tags
  - Use kinematic likelihood plus cut optimization





# High mass Higgs: Example M(H)=170 GeV



- How do we improve things?
  - > Add WH®WWW mode, all 3

#### W's decay to e, m(trilepton)

- Expect 0.7 events, 0.5 background in L=20fb, S/ÖB=1.0
- Add W/ZH ® llj mode (like sign dileptons + 2 jets)
  - Expect 7.6 events, 11.6 background in L=20fb, S/ÖB=2.2



Higgs Searches in Run II at CDF





- Determine Signal and Background for each channel
- Form a joint liklihood of all channels, including D0 and CDF results
- Integrate Likelihood to form 95%CL limit, as a function of Higgs cross section
- Discovery thresholds determined by ratio of maximum likelihood to likelihood at zero Higgs xsec
- At each mass, determine the require integrated luminosity at which 50% of future outcomes meet the desired threshold.





### Fermilab Long-Term Luminosity Goals



- Can we get to 20fb-1 or more?
- The following schedule yields ~15fb-1 by 2008

