

Last time Finished canonical quantization of

the scalar field: $\hat{N}(\vec{k}) = \hat{a}_{\vec{k}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{k}} \sim$ particle # operator

Defined the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ by $\hat{a}_{\vec{k}}|0\rangle = 0$.

1-particle state $|\vec{k}\rangle = \hat{a}_{\vec{k}}^\dagger |0\rangle$

2-particle state $|\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2\rangle = \hat{a}_{\vec{k}_1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\vec{k}_2}^\dagger |0\rangle$

⋮

⋮

General state in field theory is

$$|\Psi\rangle = |0\rangle + \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2E_k} c_{\vec{k}} |\vec{k}\rangle + \frac{1}{2!} \int \frac{d^3k_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{k_1}} \frac{d^3k_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{k_2}}$$

$$c_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2} |\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2\rangle + \dots$$

$c_{\vec{k}} \sim$ single-particle wave function

$c_{\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2} \sim$ two-particle — —

⋮

Quantization of Spinor Field

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi} [i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m] \Psi$$

First of all, let us change the representation:

we will go from Weyl to ^(the standard) Dirac representation:

$$\Psi_{\text{Weyl}} \rightarrow S \Psi_{\text{Weyl}}, \text{ where } S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ in } 2 \times 2 \text{ orthogonal matrix notation}$$

$S^T S = \mathbb{1}$

$$\Psi_{\text{Dirac rep}} = S \Psi_{\text{Weyl rep}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_R + \chi_L \\ \chi_R - \chi_L \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma^M_{\text{Weyl}} \rightarrow \gamma^M_{\text{Dirac}} = S \gamma^M_{\text{Weyl}} S^{-1} = S \gamma^M_{\text{Weyl}} S^T$$

$$\gamma^0_{\text{Weyl}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i_{\text{Weyl}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$$

$$\gamma^0_{\text{Dirac}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\underbrace{\Psi_w^+ S^T S^0 S_w}_{\Psi_0^+} \underbrace{\sigma_w^0 S^T}_{\sigma_0^0}$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma^0_{\text{Dirac}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \left[\mathcal{L} = \underbrace{\bar{\Psi}_w}_{\Psi_0^+} S^T S [i\gamma^M \partial_\mu - m] S^T S \underbrace{\Psi_w}_{\Psi_0} = \bar{\Psi}_{\text{Dirac}} [i\gamma^M \partial_\mu - m] \Psi_{\text{Dirac}} \right]$$

$$\gamma^i_{\text{Dirac}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^i & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & \sigma^i \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2\sigma^0 \\ -2\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \gamma_{\text{Weyl}}^i$$

(58)

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_{\text{Dirac}}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \gamma_{\text{Dirac}}^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\gamma^5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ in either representation
(chirality operator).

$$\gamma_{\text{Dirac}}^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \text{different from Weyl basis.}$$

$$P_L = \frac{1 - \gamma^5}{2}, \quad P_R = \frac{1 + \gamma^5}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_L = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & -\mathbb{1} \\ -\mathbb{1} & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow P_L \psi_{\text{Dirac}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_R + \chi_L \\ \chi_R - \chi_L \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 2\chi_L \\ -2\chi_L \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ -\chi_L \end{pmatrix} \sim \text{"removes"} \chi_R \text{ from } \psi_{\text{Dirac}}, \text{ leaving only } \chi_L.$$

$$P_R = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow P_R \psi_{\text{Dirac}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_R + \chi_L \\ \chi_R - \chi_L \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 2\chi_R \\ 2\chi_R \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_R \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix} \sim \text{leaves only } \chi_R.$$

(all γ -matrix formulas work in both bases.)

Peshkin \sim Weyl representation, Ryder \sim Dirac representation

$$\gamma^5 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ -\chi_L \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ -\chi_L \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{chirality } -1$$

$$; \quad \gamma^5 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_R \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_R \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{chirality } +1.$$

Solution of Dirac equation.

(59)

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi = 0 \Rightarrow \text{apply } i\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu \Rightarrow$$

$$\left[- \underbrace{\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu}_{\text{"}} - im i\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu \right] \psi = 0$$

$$\gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \partial_\nu \partial_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \{ \gamma^\nu, \gamma^\mu \} \partial_\nu \partial_\mu = g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu = \partial_\mu \partial^\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[- \partial_\mu \partial^\mu - m \underbrace{i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu}_{\text{"}} \right] \psi = 0$$

"
m by Dirac equation

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{[\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2] \psi = 0} \quad (\text{This is how we constructed the Dirac } \mathcal{L}.)$$

\Rightarrow If the field satisfies Dirac equation, it also satisfies Klein-Gordon equation! (\Rightarrow also has < 0 energies "particles")

\Rightarrow Write the solution as

$$\psi(x) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2E_k} \left[e^{-ik \cdot x} \psi^{(+)}(\vec{k}) + e^{ik \cdot x} \psi^{(-)}(\vec{k}) \right]$$

& plug back into the original Dirac equation:

$$\partial_\mu \rightarrow -ik_\mu \text{ in the 1st term, } +ik_\mu \text{ in the second}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{get } (\gamma \cdot k - m) \psi^{(+)}(\vec{k}) = 0$$

$$(\gamma \cdot k + m) \psi^{(-)}(\vec{k}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{write } \psi^{(+)} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi^{(+)} \\ u \\ \psi^{(+)} \\ e \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$$

(60)

$$\gamma \cdot k = \gamma^0 k_0 + \gamma^i k_i = \gamma^0 k_0 - \vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{k} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & -\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

$$- \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k} \\ -\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & -\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k} \\ \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k} & -\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\gamma \cdot k - m) \psi^{(+)} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon - m & -\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k} \\ \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k} & -\varepsilon - m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_u^{(+)} \\ \psi_e^{(+)} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{cases} (\varepsilon - m) \psi_u^{(+)} - \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k} \psi_e^{(+)} = 0 \\ \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k} \psi_u^{(+)} - (\varepsilon + m) \psi_e^{(+)} = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \psi_e^{(+)} = \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}}{\varepsilon + m} \psi_u^{(+)} \sim \text{solves the whole thing (why?)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi^{(+)} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_u^{(+)} \\ \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}}{\varepsilon + m} \psi_u^{(+)} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{reduced a 4-component unknown spinor to 2 unknown components}$$

$$\text{Similarly } \psi^{(-)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}}{\varepsilon + m} \psi_e^{(-)} \\ \psi_e^{(-)} \end{pmatrix}$$

Choose a basis: $\chi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\chi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and

Define

$$u_r(\vec{k}) = \sqrt{\varepsilon + m} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_r \\ \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}}{\varepsilon + m} \chi_r \end{pmatrix}; \quad v_r(\vec{k}) = \sqrt{\varepsilon + m} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}}{\varepsilon + m} \chi_r \\ \chi_r \end{pmatrix} \quad r=1,2$$

then we write

(61)

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{r=1}^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2E_k} \left\{ \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r} u_r(\vec{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} + \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}^\dagger v_r(\vec{k}) e^{ik \cdot x} \right\}$$

Canonical quantization: $\pi = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta(\partial_0 \psi)} = \bar{\psi} i \gamma^0 =$

$$= \psi^\dagger \gamma_0 \gamma^0 \cdot i = i \psi^\dagger \quad \text{as } \gamma_0 \gamma^0 = \mathbb{1}.$$

promote \hat{b} & \hat{d} to operators (note that $(i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi = 0$ still holds!)

$$\Rightarrow H = \int d^3x [\pi \dot{\psi} - \mathcal{L}] = \int d^3x [i \psi^\dagger \dot{\psi} - \mathcal{L}]$$

$$= \int d^3x [i \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \partial_0 \psi - \bar{\psi} (i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi] =$$

$$= \int d^3x [\cancel{i \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \partial_0 \psi} - \cancel{\bar{\psi} i \gamma^0 \partial_0 \psi} - i \bar{\psi} \gamma^i \partial_i \psi +$$

$$+ \bar{\psi} \psi m] = \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \underbrace{[-i \gamma^i \partial_i + m]}_{i \gamma^0 \partial_0 \psi \text{ (Dirac eqn.)}} \psi$$

$$= \int d^3x i \psi^\dagger \partial_0 \psi \Rightarrow \boxed{H = \int d^3x i \psi^\dagger \partial_0 \psi}$$

H is not ≥ 0 at the classical level ~ problem!

(b) this is cured by quantization!

Plug in the solution of Dirac equation into the (6.4)

Hamiltonian:

$$\psi^{\dagger} = \sum_{r=1}^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\varepsilon_k} \left\{ \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}^{\dagger} u_r^{\dagger}(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} + \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}^{\dagger} v_r^{\dagger}(\vec{k}) e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow H = \int d^3x \ i\psi^{\dagger} \partial_0 \psi = \int d^3x \sum_{r,r'=1}^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\varepsilon_k} \frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3 2\varepsilon_{k'}} \ i.$$

$$\left[\hat{b}_{\vec{k}',r'}^{\dagger} u_{r'}^{\dagger}(\vec{k}') e^{i\vec{k}'\cdot\vec{x}} + \hat{d}_{\vec{k}',r'}^{\dagger} v_{r'}^{\dagger}(\vec{k}') e^{-i\vec{k}'\cdot\vec{x}} \right] \cdot \left[\hat{b}_{\vec{k},r} u_r(\vec{k}) - (-i\varepsilon_k) e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} + \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}^{\dagger} v_r(\vec{k}) (i\varepsilon_k) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \right]$$

① $\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b}$ -term: $\int d^3x \ e^{i\vec{k}'\cdot\vec{x} - i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} = (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}')$ (

$$\Rightarrow \text{get } \sum_{r,r'=1}^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\varepsilon_k} \frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3 2\varepsilon_{k'}} \ \varepsilon_k (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \hat{b}_{\vec{k}',r'}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}$$

$$u_{r'}^{\dagger}(\vec{k}') u_r(\vec{k}) = \sum_{r,r'=1}^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\varepsilon_k} \frac{1}{2} \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r'}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r} u_{r'}^{\dagger}(\vec{k}') u_r(\vec{k})$$

$$\Rightarrow u_r(\vec{k}) = \sqrt{\varepsilon_k + m} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_r \\ \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}}{\varepsilon_k + m} \chi_r \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow u_{r'}^{\dagger} u_r = (\varepsilon_k + m) \left[\chi_{r'}^{\dagger} \cdot \chi_r + \right.$$

$$\left. + \chi_{r'}^{\dagger} \frac{\vec{\sigma}^{\dagger} \cdot \vec{k}}{\varepsilon_k + m} \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}}{\varepsilon_k + m} \chi_r \right] = (\varepsilon_k + m) \left[\delta_{rr'} + \frac{\vec{k}^2}{(\varepsilon_k + m)^2} \delta_{rr'} \right]$$

$$= \delta_{rr'} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k + m} \left[(\varepsilon_k + m)^2 + \overbrace{\varepsilon_k^2 - m^2}^{\vec{k}^2} \right] = \delta_{rr'} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k + m} (2\varepsilon_k^2 + 2\varepsilon_k m) = 2\varepsilon_k \delta_{rr'}$$

(63)

$$\Rightarrow \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} \text{-term} = \sum_{r=1}^2 \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3 2\epsilon_k} \epsilon_k \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}$$

② $\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{d}^{\dagger}$ term: $\int d^3 x e^{i\vec{k}' \cdot \vec{x} + i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} = e^{2i\epsilon_k \cdot t} (2\pi)^3 \delta(\vec{k} + \vec{k}')$

\Rightarrow get $\propto u_{r1}^{\dagger}(-\vec{k}) v_r(\vec{k})$

$$v_r(\vec{k}) = \sqrt{\epsilon_{k+m}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}}{\epsilon_{k+m}} \chi_r \\ \chi_r \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow u_{r1}^{\dagger}(-\vec{k}) v_r(\vec{k}) =$$

$$= (\epsilon_{k+m}) \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{r1}^{\dagger} & \chi_{r1}^{\dagger} \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot (-\vec{k})}{\epsilon_{k+m}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}}{\epsilon_{k+m}} \chi_r \\ \chi_r \end{pmatrix} \propto$$

~~$$= (\epsilon_{k+m}) \left[\chi_{r1}^{\dagger} \chi_r + \chi_{r1}^{\dagger} \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot (-\vec{k})}{\epsilon_{k+m}} \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}}{\epsilon_{k+m}} \chi_r \right] = (\epsilon_{k+m}) \left[\chi_{r1}^{\dagger} \chi_r - \chi_{r1}^{\dagger} \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}}{\epsilon_{k+m}} \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}}{\epsilon_{k+m}} \chi_r \right]$$~~

~~$$= (\epsilon_{k+m}) \left[\chi_{r1}^{\dagger} \chi_r - \chi_{r1}^{\dagger} \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}}{\epsilon_{k+m}} \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}}{\epsilon_{k+m}} \chi_r \right]$$~~

$$= \chi_{r1}^{\dagger} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}) \chi_r - \chi_{r1}^{\dagger} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}) \chi_r = 0$$

In the end get

$$H = \sum_{r=1}^2 \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3 2\epsilon_k} \epsilon_k \left[\hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r} - \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}^{\dagger} \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r} \right]$$

Still not positive definite? Really, if we define some commutation relation for $\hat{d}, \hat{d}^{\dagger} \Rightarrow$ would get $\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} - \hat{d}^{\dagger} \hat{d} \sim$ not good!

Define anti-commutation relations:

(64)

$$\{ \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}, \hat{b}_{\vec{k}',r'}^+ \} = \{ \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}, \hat{d}_{\vec{k}',r'}^+ \} = (2\pi)^3 2\epsilon_k \delta_{rr'} \delta^3(\vec{k}-\vec{k}')$$

$$\{ \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}, \hat{b}_{\vec{k}',r'} \} = \{ \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}^+, \hat{b}_{\vec{k}',r'}^+ \} = 0$$

$$\{ \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}, \hat{d}_{\vec{k}',r'} \} = \{ \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}^+, \hat{d}_{\vec{k}',r'}^+ \} = 0$$

$$\{ \hat{b}, \hat{d}^+ \} = 0$$

$$\{ \hat{d}, \hat{b}^+ \} = 0$$

$$\{ \hat{b}, \hat{d} \} = \{ \hat{b}^+, \hat{d}^+ \} = 0$$

where $\{ \hat{A}, \hat{B} \} = \hat{A} \hat{B} + \hat{B} \hat{A}$ ~ anti-commutators

=> dropping ∞ number get

$$H = \sum_{r=1}^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\epsilon_k} \epsilon_k [\hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}^+ \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r} + \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}^+ \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}]$$

Now it's positive-definite!

For the fields get

$$\{ \psi_\alpha(\vec{x}, t), \bar{u}_\beta(\vec{x}', t) \} = i \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{x}') = i \psi_\beta^+$$

$$\{ \psi_\alpha, \psi_\beta \} = \{ \psi_\alpha^+, \psi_\beta^+ \} = 0$$

anti-commutation relations.

=> all operators anti-commute..

Time evolution:

$$+i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(x) = [\psi, H]$$

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{\psi}(x) = [\bar{\psi}, H]$$

} still uses commutators (can show)

see HW