

Last time: ~ defined color of quarks

Wrote out the QCD (Quantum Chromodynamics) lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = \bar{q}^{af} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m_f) q^{af} - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} \\ + g \bar{q}^{bf} \gamma^\mu A_\mu^i (T^i)_{ba} q^{af}$$

with T^i the generators of $SU(3)$, A_μ^i gluon fields
 $i=1, \dots, 8$

Elements of Group Theory (cont'd)

~ We defined a group G : (i) $f \cdot g = h \in G$, (ii) $f(gh) = (fg)h$
(iii) $\exists e$: $f \cdot e = e \cdot f = f$ (iv) f^{-1} .

~ Abelian: $f \cdot g = g \cdot f$, non-Abelian $[f, g] \neq 0$.

$U(N)$: $N \times N$ unitary matrices \Rightarrow unitary group

$SU(N)$: $-,-$ \oplus $\det U = +1$. \Rightarrow special $-,-$

~ Representation: $f \rightarrow D(f)$: (i) $D(e) = \mathbb{1}$
(ii) $D(g_1 g_2) = D(g_1) D(g_2)$

D ~ matrices \Rightarrow dim. of representation is their size.

Take a group \mathbb{Z}_4 : it has $\{e, g_1, g_2, g_3\}$

Our example $\{1, e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}, e^{i\pi}, e^{i\frac{3\pi}{2}}\} = \{D(e), D(g_1), D(g_2), D(g_3)\}$

is one of the many possible representations of \mathbb{Z}_4 .

(Def.) Dimension of representation is the dimension of the space of D-matrices.

(Def.) Representation is called reducible if

$\exists M$ (matrix) such that

$$M D(g) M^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1(g) & 0 \\ 0 & D_2(g) \\ & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } \forall g \in G. \\ \Rightarrow D = D_1 \oplus D_2 \oplus \dots$$

a representation is called irreducible if no such matrix M exists.

(Def.) For two groups $G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots\}$, $H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots\}$ define direct-product group $G \times H = \{g_i h_j\}$

such that $g_u h_e \cdot g_m h_n = g_k g_m \cdot h_e h_n$.

Lie Groups

Imagine a group G with elements smoothly dependent on a continuous set of parameters x_i , $i=1, \dots, N$: $g(x_i) \in G$.

\Rightarrow assume that $D(\alpha_i = 0) = \mathbb{1}$ (the identity element)

\Rightarrow for a representation of the group:

$$D(\alpha_i = 0) = \mathbb{1}.$$

Taylor expand $D(\alpha_i)$ near 0:

$$D(\delta\alpha_i) = \mathbb{1} + i\delta\alpha_i \vec{X}_i + \dots = \mathbb{1} + i\delta\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{X}$$

(summation over repeating indices assumed)

(Def.)

X_i are called generators of the group.

$$\begin{aligned} D(\vec{\alpha}) &= D(\delta\alpha_1) D(\delta\alpha_2) \dots D(\delta\alpha_N) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathbb{1} + i\delta\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{X} \right)^k \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathbb{1} + i \frac{\vec{\alpha}}{k} \cdot \vec{X} \right)^k = e^{i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{X}}. \end{aligned}$$

(Def.) A group with elements depending smoothly on continuous set of parameters α_i , $i=1, \dots, N$, with generators X_i is called a Lie group.

$$D(\vec{\alpha}) = e^{i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{X}} \Rightarrow \text{as } D \text{ can be a matrix}$$

$\Rightarrow \vec{X}$ can be a matrix; therefore in general $[X_i, X_j]$ does not have to be 0.

$$\Rightarrow \text{however } D(\vec{\alpha}) D(\vec{\beta}) = e^{i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{X}} e^{i\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{X}} \text{ is}$$

also a group element $\Rightarrow e^{i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{X}} e^{i\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{X}} = e^{i\vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{X}}$

\Rightarrow can show that for this to work we need

$$[X_a, X_b] = i f_{abc} X_c \quad \text{Lie algebra}$$

f_{abc} ~ structure constants of the group

$$f_{abc} = - f_{bac}.$$

f_{abc} are real for unitary representations
(for hermitian X_a).

Example] take the group $SU(2)$: unitary 2×2 matrices with $\det = +1$ ($U U^+ = U^+ U = 1, \det U = 1$).
(defining representation)

Using Pauli matrices we can define a representation of $SU(2)$:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow D(\vec{\alpha}) = e^{i \frac{\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{2}}, \quad \vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \text{ a 3-vector.}$$

rotations around $\frac{\vec{\alpha}}{|\vec{\alpha}|}$ axis by angle $|\vec{\alpha}|$.

as $\sigma_i^+ = \sigma_i^-$ (hermitean) \Rightarrow any 2×2 unitary matrix with $\det = +1$ can be represented as $e^{i \frac{\vec{z} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{2}} = U$.

Check: $U U^\dagger = e^{i \frac{\vec{z} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{2}} e^{-i \frac{\vec{z} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{2}} = \mathbb{1}$.

$$\det U = \det e^{i \frac{\vec{z} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{2}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{as } \det e^A = e^{\text{tr} A} = 1 \\ \text{as } \text{tr } \sigma_i = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

(linearly independent)

\Rightarrow there are $2^2 - 1 = 3$ different $n \times n$ traceless hermitean matrices $\Rightarrow \{\sigma_i\}$ use up all possibilities.

Generators: $J_i = \frac{\sigma_i}{2} \Rightarrow P(\vec{z}) = e^{i \frac{\vec{z} \cdot \vec{J}}{2}}$.

$\Rightarrow \text{SU}(2)$ is a Lie group

We know that $[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = 2i \epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k \Rightarrow$

$\Rightarrow [J_i, J_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} J_k$

\Rightarrow generators of $\text{SU}(2)$ form a Lie algebra with structure constants ϵ_{ijk}

ϵ_{ijk} : totally anti-symmetric Levi-Civita symbol, $\epsilon_{123} = 1$, $\epsilon_{ijk} = -\epsilon_{jik} = \epsilon_{jki} \dots$
 $\epsilon_{112} = 0 \dots$

Another example: $SU(3)$: 3×3 unitary matrices

with $\det = +1$

Define Gell-Mann matrices:

$$\lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{cf. Pauli matrices})$$

$$\lambda_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\lambda_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Normalization convention $\text{tr}[\lambda_i \lambda_j] = 2 \delta_{ij}$.

There are $3^2 - 1 = 8$ traceless hermitian 3×3 matrices

\Rightarrow these should work.

Generators of $SU(3)$: $T^a = \frac{\lambda^a}{2}$ \Rightarrow

$$\Rightarrow [T^a, T^b] = i f^{abc} T^c, \text{ with structure}$$

constants f^{abc} , which are anti-symmetric under the interchange of any two indices.

$\Rightarrow SU(3)$ is a Lie group with the generator algebra given above.

a	b	c	f^{abc}
1	2	3	1
1	4	7	$\frac{1}{2}$
1	5	6	$-\frac{1}{2}$
2	4	6	$\frac{1}{2}$
2	5	7	$\frac{1}{2}$
3	4	5	$\frac{1}{2}$
3	6	7	$-\frac{1}{2}$
4	5	8	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$
6	7	8	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$

$$f_{112} = 0 \dots$$

all other f^{abc} 's
can be obtained from
this table.

Casimir operator commutes
with all generators:

$$\vec{T}^2 = T_1^2 + T_2^2 + \dots + T_8^2 = \frac{n^2 - 1}{2N}$$

\Rightarrow for $su(2)$ it is $\frac{3}{4}$

for $su(3)$ it is $\frac{4}{3}$.

$$D(\vec{A}) = e^{i \vec{A} \cdot \vec{T}}, \text{ with } \vec{A} = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_8)$$

~ an 8-component vector.

Jacobi Identity and the Adjoint Representation

~ go back to some general Lie groups with
the generators X_a obeying some Lie
algebra $[X_a, X_b] = i f_{abc} X_c$.

One can then easily prove Jacobi identity:

$$[X_a, [X_b, X_c]] + [X_b, [X_c, X_a]] +$$

$$+ [X_c, [X_a, X_b]] = 0.$$

(prove this by using definitions of commutators)

\Rightarrow plug in the commutator of Lie algebra to write

$$f_{bdc} f_{ade} + f_{abd} f_{cde} + f_{cad} f_{bde} = 0$$

this relations are obeyed by structure constants of any ^{Lie} group, e.g. $SU(n)$.

Define The generators in the adjoint representation

$$\text{by } (t^a)_{bc} = -i f_{abc} \Rightarrow \text{the above relation}$$

$$\text{gives } [t^a, t^b] = i f_{abc} t^c$$

\Rightarrow they obey the Lie algebra too!

Def. $D(\hat{A}) = e^{i A^a t^a}$ gives the adjoint representation of Lie group.

Tensor Method for $SU(n)$

(49)

Consider representation of $SU(n)$ in terms of $n \times n$ unitary matrices U ($UU^T = I$) with $\det U = +1$.

Matrices U can be thought of as linear operators acting on the n -dim vectors $a_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^n$:

$$a_i \rightarrow a'_i = U_{ij} a_j.$$

(Def.)

A scalar product $a_i^* b_i = a \cdot b$ is invariant under $SU(n)$ transformations:

$$a_i^* b_i \rightarrow a'^*_i b'_i = U_{ij}^* a_j^* U_{ik} b_k = a_j^* \underbrace{U_{ji}^* U_{ik} b_k}_{\delta_{jk}} = a_j^* b_j \quad \delta_{jk}$$

(Def.)

Introduce upper indices: $a^i = a_i^*$, $U_i^j = U_{ij}$

$$U_{ij}^* = U_{ij}^*$$

$$\Rightarrow a_i \rightarrow a'_i = U_i^j a_j$$

$$a^i \rightarrow a'^i = U_j^i a_j$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{scalar product is } a^i b_i = a \cdot b$$

$$\text{Unitarity } U_k^i U_j^k = U_{ki} U_{kj}^* = U_{ki} U_{jk}^+ = \delta_{ij} \equiv \delta_{ij}^i.$$

Def. a^i 's form a basis for fundamental

(defining) representation of $SU(n)$, denoted \mathbf{t}

a_i^i 's form a basis for conjugate representation $\bar{\mathbf{t}}$

\Rightarrow can construct any tensor $a^{i_1 \dots i_p}_{j_1 \dots j_q}$

$$a^{i_1 \dots i_p}_{j_1 \dots j_q} = u^{i_1 \dots i_p}_{k_1 \dots k_p} u^{k_1 \dots k_p}_{j_1 \dots j_q} a^{j_1 \dots j_q}_{l_1 \dots l_p}$$

e.g. δ_{ij}^{ij} is invariant, so is Levi-Civita symbol

$$\epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_n}$$

\Rightarrow in general tensors form reducible representations of $SU(n)$.

\Rightarrow to reduce them to irreducible representations, note that permutation operator commutes with all u 's:

$$P_{12} a^{ij} = a^{ji} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{12} a^{ij} = P_{12} u^i_k u^j_e a^{ke} = u^j_k u^i_e a^{ke} =$$

$$= (\text{here}) = u^j_e u^i_k a^{ek} = u^i_k u^j_e P_{12} a^{ke}$$

\Rightarrow organize all tensors by eigenstates of P_{12} : they could be symmetric & anti-symmetric.

$$a^{ij} : \xi^{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(a^{ij} + a^{ji}), \quad A^{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(a^{ij} - a^{ji}) \quad (51)$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{12} \xi^{ij} = \xi^{ij}; \quad P_{12} A^{ij} = -A^{ij}$$

What is this good for?

Take a product of two representations:

$$a^i b^j = \frac{1}{2}(a^i b^j + a^j b^i) + \frac{1}{2}(a^i b^j - a^j b^i)$$

take $SU(3)$ for example: a^i is 3, $a^i b^j$ is $3 \otimes 3$.

$\frac{1}{2}(a^i b^j + a^j b^i)$ has 6 indep. components \Rightarrow traceless makes a basis for representation 6.

$\frac{1}{2}(a^i b^j - a^j b^i)$ has 3 indep. components

"

$$\frac{1}{2} \xi^{ijk} \underbrace{\epsilon_{klm}}_{\text{traceless}} a^l b^m$$

\hookrightarrow it is $\bar{3}$

$$\Rightarrow \text{we showed that } 3 \otimes 3 = 6 \oplus \bar{3}.$$

$$a^i b_j = \underbrace{(a^i b_j - \frac{1}{3} \delta^i_j a^k b_k)}_{\text{traceless } 3 \times 3 \text{ matrix}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{3} \delta^i_j a^k b_k}_{1 \text{ (a singlet)}}$$

$\Rightarrow 8$ d.o.f. freedom \Rightarrow an 8 (adjoint representation)

$$\Rightarrow 3 \otimes \bar{3} = 8 \oplus 1$$