Weak Interactions & Neutral Currents

- Until the mid-1970's all known weak interaction processes could be described by the exchange of a charged, spin 1 boson, the *W* boson.
 - Weak interactions mediated by a *W*-boson are called "charged current" interactions.
- A key prediction of the Glashow-Weinberg-Salam model was the existence of weak interactions mediated by the Z⁰, a neutral vector boson.
 M&S CH 9.1
 - The Z^0 did not change the flavor of the lepton or quark.
- The GIM mechanism was invented to eliminate (first order) neutral current reactions where the flavor of the quark or lepton changed.
- The measured branching fractions of $K^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ v_e$ provided evidence for the absence of flavor (strangeness) changing neutral currents:

$$\frac{BR(K^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)}{BR(K^+ \to \mu^+ v_{\mu})} = \frac{7 \times 10^{-9}}{0.64} \approx 10^{-8}$$



K.K. Gan

Weak Interactions & Neutral Currents



How to Produce a Neutrino Beam?

- Where do the neutrinos come from?
 - Proton-nucleon collisions produce lots of π 's and *K*'s which decay into neutrinos. Neutrino Beam Anti - Neutrino Beam Branching Ratio

$\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ v_\mu$	$\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^- \overline{v}_\mu$	0.999
$\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ v_e$	$\pi^- \rightarrow e^- \overline{v}_e$	≈10 ⁻⁴

$K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ v_\mu$	$K^- \rightarrow \mu^- \overline{\nu}_\mu$	0.63
$K^+ \rightarrow e^+ v_e$	$K^- \rightarrow e^- \overline{v}_e$	≈10 ⁻⁵
$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \mu^+ v_\mu$	$K^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \mu^- \overline{\nu}_\mu$	≈0.03
$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ v_e$	$K^- \rightarrow \pi^0 e^- \overline{v}_e$	≈0.05

• Also get neutrinos from muon decay with branching ratio of 100%:

$$\mu^- \to e^- \overline{v}_e v_\mu \qquad \mu^+ \to e^+ v_e \overline{v}_\mu$$

- Rough calculation of v_{μ}/v_e ratio:
 - neglect neutrinos from muon decay.
 - assume we produce 10X as many pions as kaons in a proton-nucleus collision.

$$\frac{\# v_{\mu}}{\# v_{e}} \approx \frac{(\pi \to v_{\mu}) + (K \to v_{\mu})}{(\pi \to v_{e}) + (K \to v_{e})} \approx \frac{10BR(\pi^{+} \to \mu^{+}v_{\mu}) + BR(K \to \mu^{+}v_{\mu}) + BR(K \to \pi^{o}\mu^{+}v_{\mu})}{10BR(\pi^{+} \to e^{+}v_{e}) + BR(K \to e^{+}v_{e}) + BR(K \to \pi^{o}e^{+}v_{e})}$$
$$\approx \frac{10 \times 0.999 + 0.63 + 0.03}{10 \times 10^{-4} + 10^{-5} + 0.05} \approx \frac{10.7}{0.05} \approx 210$$

- Relatively easy to make a beam of high energy v_{μ} 's, but hard to make a "pure" beam of high energy v_{e} .
- To make a pure beam of v_e 's use nuclear β decay which is below the energy threshold to produce μ 's. K.K. Gan L11: Neutral Current 3

Neutrino Induced Neutral Current Interactions

- Many other examples of neutral current interactions were discovered in the 1970's
 - *W* exchange (charged current): $v_{\mu} + N \rightarrow \mu^{-} + X$ *Z* exchange (neutral current): $v_{\mu} + N \rightarrow v_{\mu} + X$

The name derives from the giantess Gargamelle in the works of François Rabelais; she was Gargantua's mother.

Neutrino cross section for neutral currents predicted to be 1/3 of charged current cross section.





- "Observation of Neutrino-Like Interactions Without Muon or Electrons in the Gargamelle Neutrino Experiment", PL, V45, 1973.
 - CC: charged current event (e or μ in final state)
 - NC: neutral current event (no e or μ in final state)
 - Gargamelle was the name of the bubble chamber (BC).
 - Expect neutrino interactions to be uniformly distributed in BC.
 - Background from neutrons and K^0 's expected mostly in front of BC.
 - Data in good agreement with expectation of Standard Model.

$$\frac{NC}{CC}\Big|_{v} = \frac{1}{2} - \sin^{2}\theta_{W} + \frac{20}{27}\sin^{4}\theta_{W} = 0.21 \pm 0.03$$
$$\frac{NC}{CC}\Big|_{\overline{v}} = \frac{1}{2} - \sin^{2}\theta_{W} + \frac{20}{9}\sin^{4}\theta_{W} = 0.45 \pm 0.09$$
$$\frac{NC}{CC}\Big|_{\overline{v}} > \frac{NC}{CC}\Big|_{v}$$
L11: Neutral Current



Neutral Current

- 1983: CERN was able to produce "real" *Z*'s and *W*'s and measure their properties:
 - mass, branching fractions, and decay distributions.
- 1989: accelerators that could produce Z's via $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ were online:
 - SLC @ SLAC and LEP @ CERN
- 1999: CERN could produce W's via $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$.
- The model of Glashow, Weinberg and Salam becomes the Standard Model.
 - Coupling of the *Z* to quarks and leptons is different than the coupling of the *W* to quarks and leptons.
 - W has a "V-A" coupling to quarks and leptons: $\gamma^{\mu}(1 \gamma^5)$
 - *Z* coupling to quarks and leptons is much more complicated:

$$\gamma^{\mu}(c_{\rm V}^f - c_{\rm A}^f)\gamma^5 = \gamma^{\mu}(I_3^f - I_3^f\gamma^5 - 2Q^f\sin^2\theta_W)$$

 I_3 : third component of "weak" isospin

 $g_{EM} = g_W \sin \theta_W$

 $g_{EM} = g_Z \sin \theta_W \cos \theta_W$

f: fermion type

 \mathbf{O}

 θ_W : "Weinberg angle"

• a fundamental parameter of the standard model.

M&S use $g_{EM} = g_Z \cos \theta_W$, eq. 9.8

M&S 9.2.1

 $\theta_W \approx 28$ degrees

Neutral Current

- The Standard Model gives us the following for the Z boson coupling to a fermion anti-fermion pair: $\gamma^{\mu}(c_{\rm V}^f - c_{\rm A}^f)\gamma^5 = \gamma^{\mu}(I_3^f - I_3^f\gamma^5 - 2Q^f\sin^2\theta_W)$ $g_Z \gamma^\mu (c_V^f - c_A^f) \gamma^5$
 - e,μ,τ $-\frac{1}{2}+2\sin^2\theta_W$ $-\frac{1}{2}$ $-\frac{1}{2}$ -1u,c,t $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{3}\sin^2\theta_W$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ $d,s,b = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}$

In the Standard Model the masses of the *W* and *Z* are related by: $M_W = M_Z \cos \theta_W$

$$M_W = \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}g_W^2}{G_F}\right)^{1/2} = \left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{\sqrt{2}G_F\sin^2\theta_W}\right)^{1/2} \approx \frac{37.4}{\sin\theta_W} \text{GeV/c}^2$$
 First order calculation

- Interesting new development (2001) with measurement of $\sin^2 \theta_{W}$:
 - NuTeV is a Fermilab neutrino experiment.
 - NuTeV result: $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.2277 \pm 0.0013(\text{stat}) \pm 0.0009(\text{syst})$
 - World average: $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.2227 \pm 0.0003$ (mainly from LEP (a) CERN)
 - NuTeV result for $\sin^2 \theta_W$ disagrees with previous measurements
 - may point to physics beyond Standard Model or the structure of a proton or neutron is R fundamentally modified when it is bound in a nucleus.