

# Chapter 11: Symmetries and their consequences

From classical mechanics we know that translational invariance of the Hamilton function  $\mathcal{H}$  implies momentum conservation, time-independence of  $\mathcal{H}$  implies energy conservation, and rotational invariance of  $\mathcal{H}$  implies angular momentum conservation. We now discuss how such symmetries and associated conservation laws manifest themselves in quantum mechanics.

## 11.1 Translational invariance

Consider single particle in 1 dimension:

Concept	class. mechanics	quantum mechanics
translation	$x \rightarrow x + \varepsilon$ $p \rightarrow p$	$\langle \hat{x} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \hat{x} \rangle + \varepsilon$ $\langle \hat{p} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \hat{p} \rangle$
translational invariance	$\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$	$\langle \hat{H} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \hat{H} \rangle$
conservation law	$\frac{dp}{dt} = 0$	$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{p} \rangle = 0$ (to be shown)

Consider an infinitesimal translation  $\varepsilon$  along the  $x$  axis. Under this translation

$$|\psi\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_\varepsilon\rangle \equiv \hat{T}(\varepsilon)|\psi\rangle$$

$\hat{T}$  = translation operator

What are the properties that  $|\psi_\varepsilon\rangle$  must satisfy

such that  $\langle \psi_\varepsilon | \hat{x} | \psi_\varepsilon \rangle = \langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle + \varepsilon$  ?

$$\langle \psi_\varepsilon | \hat{p} | \psi_\varepsilon \rangle = \langle \psi | \hat{p} | \psi \rangle$$

Plug in  $|\psi_\epsilon\rangle = T(\epsilon)|\psi\rangle$ :

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \langle\psi|\hat{T}^\dagger(\epsilon)\hat{X}\hat{T}(\epsilon)|\psi\rangle &= \langle\psi|\hat{X}|\psi\rangle + \epsilon \\ \langle\psi|\hat{T}^\dagger(\epsilon)\hat{P}\hat{T}(\epsilon)|\psi\rangle &= \langle\psi|\hat{P}|\psi\rangle \end{aligned} \right\} \text{for any } |\psi\rangle$$

"active transformation picture"

Since this is supposed to hold for any  $|\psi\rangle$ , we must have the operator identities

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \hat{X} &\rightarrow \hat{T}^\dagger(\epsilon)\hat{X}\hat{T}(\epsilon) = \hat{X} + \epsilon\hat{1} \\ \hat{P} &\rightarrow \hat{T}^\dagger(\epsilon)\hat{P}\hat{T}(\epsilon) = \hat{P} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{"passive transformation picture"}$$

How do we solve these relations? Let us start in the active transformation picture with the action of  $\hat{T}(\epsilon)$  on a position eigenket:

$$\boxed{\hat{T}(\epsilon)|x\rangle = |x+\epsilon\rangle}$$

(a particle originally at  $x$  must end up at  $x+\epsilon$  after the translation)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x+\epsilon|\hat{X}|x+\epsilon\rangle &= (x+\epsilon)\langle x+\epsilon|x+\epsilon\rangle \\ &= (x+\epsilon)\langle x|x\rangle \quad (\text{all } x\text{-eigenkets have same normalization}) \\ &= \langle x|\hat{X}|x\rangle + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

We study  $\langle x+\epsilon|\hat{P}|x+\epsilon\rangle$  below.)

Once we know the action of  $\hat{T}(\epsilon)$  on all basis states, we can compute its action on any state:

$$|\psi_\epsilon\rangle \equiv \hat{T}(\epsilon)|\psi\rangle = \hat{T}(\epsilon) \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx |x\rangle \langle x|\psi\rangle}_{\hat{1}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \psi(x) |x+\epsilon\rangle$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' \psi(x'-\epsilon) |x'\rangle$$

$x' = x - \epsilon$

$$\text{From } \Rightarrow \boxed{\psi_\epsilon(x) = \langle x | \hat{T}(\epsilon) | \psi \rangle = \psi(x-\epsilon)}$$

Example: Gaussian wave packet  $\psi(x) \sim e^{-x^2/2a^2}$  (peaks at  $x=0$ )  
 $\rightarrow \psi(x-\epsilon) \sim e^{-(x-\epsilon)^2/2a^2}$  (peaks at  $x=\epsilon$ )

What about the invariance of  $\langle \hat{P} \rangle$ ? It is automatic!

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_\epsilon | \hat{P} | \psi_\epsilon \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \psi_\epsilon^*(x) \left( -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx} \right) \psi_\epsilon(x) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \psi^*(x-\epsilon) \left( -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx} \right) \psi(x-\epsilon) \\ &\stackrel{(x' = x-\epsilon)}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' \psi^*(x') \left( -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx'} \right) \psi(x') = \langle \psi | \hat{P} | \psi \rangle \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Q<sub>6</sub> • How come that in classical physics  $p \rightarrow p$  serves as an independent condition, but in quantum mechanics  $\langle \hat{P} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \hat{P} \rangle$  appears to be automatic? Well, I cheated!

The condition  $\hat{T}(\epsilon) | x \rangle = | x + \epsilon \rangle$  is not the most general expression for the action of  $\hat{T}(\epsilon)$  on  $x$ -eigenkets. We can more generally demand

$$\hat{T}(\epsilon) | x \rangle = e^{i\epsilon g(x)/\hbar} | x + \epsilon \rangle \quad (g(x) \text{ arbitrary real function of } x)$$

(Note this is not just a constant phase!)

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \langle \psi_\epsilon | \hat{X} | \psi_\epsilon \rangle &= \langle \psi | \hat{T}^\dagger(\epsilon) \hat{X} \hat{T}(\epsilon) | \psi \rangle \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' dx'' \langle \psi | x' \rangle \langle x' | \hat{T}^\dagger(\epsilon) \hat{X} \hat{T}(\epsilon) | x'' \rangle \langle x'' | \psi \rangle \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' dx'' \psi^*(x') \langle x'+\epsilon | e^{-i\epsilon g(x')/\hbar} \hat{X} e^{i\epsilon g(x'')/\hbar} | x''+\epsilon \rangle \psi(x'') \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' dx'' \psi^*(x') \underbrace{\langle x'+\epsilon | e^{-i\epsilon g(x')/\hbar} \hat{X} e^{i\epsilon g(x'')/\hbar} | x''+\epsilon \rangle}_{e^{i\epsilon(g(x'')-g(x'))/\hbar} \langle x'+\epsilon | x''+\epsilon \rangle} \psi(x'') \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' (x'+\varepsilon) \psi^*(x') \psi(x') = \langle \psi | \hat{X} | \psi \rangle + \varepsilon \quad \checkmark$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_\varepsilon | \hat{P} | \psi_\varepsilon \rangle &= \langle \psi | \hat{T}^\dagger(\varepsilon) \hat{P} \hat{T}(\varepsilon) | \psi \rangle = \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' dx'' \psi^*(x') \langle x'+\varepsilon | e^{-i\varepsilon g(x')/\hbar} \hat{P} e^{i\varepsilon g(x'')/\hbar} | x''+\varepsilon \rangle \psi(x'') \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' dx'' \psi^*(x') e^{-i\varepsilon g(x')} \underbrace{\langle x'+\varepsilon | \hat{P} | x''+\varepsilon \rangle}_{\delta(x-x')(-i\hbar \frac{d}{dx})} e^{i\varepsilon g(x'')/\hbar} \psi(x'') \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' \psi^*(x') (-i\hbar \frac{d}{dx'}) \psi(x') + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' \psi^*(x') \psi(x') e^{-i\varepsilon g(x')/\hbar} \underbrace{(-i\hbar \frac{d}{dx'} e^{i\varepsilon g(x')/\hbar})}_{\varepsilon \frac{dg(x')}{dx'} e^{i\varepsilon g(x')/\hbar}} \\ &= \langle \psi | \hat{P} | \psi \rangle + \varepsilon \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' \psi^*(x') \frac{dg}{dx'} \psi(x') \\ &= \langle \psi | \hat{P} | \psi \rangle + \varepsilon \langle \psi | g'(\hat{X}) | \psi \rangle \quad g' \equiv \frac{dg(\hat{X})}{d\hat{X}} \end{aligned}$$

Now we need the condition  $\langle \hat{P} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \hat{P} \rangle$  to eliminate  $g'$ :

$$\rightarrow g'(\hat{X}) = 0 \Rightarrow g(\hat{X}) = \alpha \hat{1} \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$\rightarrow e^{i\varepsilon g(x)/\hbar} | x+\varepsilon \rangle = e^{i\varepsilon \alpha/\hbar} | x+\varepsilon \rangle$$

which is a harmless constant phase factor.

So, while the condition  $\langle \hat{X} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \hat{X} \rangle + \varepsilon$  allowed for the  $x$ -dependent phase  $g(x)$ , the condition  $\langle \hat{P} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \hat{P} \rangle$  reduced it to a constant. The same thing will repeat itself when we consider rotations. We will shorten the derivation by anticipating this simplification.

Defn: The Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$  is translationally invariant if  $\langle \psi_\varepsilon | \hat{H} | \psi_\varepsilon \rangle = \langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle \forall |\psi\rangle$ .

To work out the implications of translational invariance, we need an explicit expression for  $\hat{T}(\varepsilon)$ . We proceed as follows: take  $\varepsilon$  infinitesimal and write

$$\hat{T}(\varepsilon) = \hat{1} - \frac{i}{\hbar} \varepsilon \hat{G}$$

which is accurate up to linear order in  $\varepsilon$ .

- $\hat{G}$  = generator of translations
- $\hat{G}^\dagger = \hat{G}$  (Exercise 11.2.2, homework)

To find  $\hat{G}$  plug the ansatz into  $\langle x | \hat{T}(\varepsilon) | \psi \rangle = \psi(x - \varepsilon)$ :

$$\underbrace{\langle x | \hat{1} | \psi \rangle}_{\psi(x)} - \frac{i\varepsilon}{\hbar} \langle x | \hat{G} | \psi \rangle + O(\varepsilon^2) = \psi(x) - \varepsilon \frac{d\psi}{dx} + O(\varepsilon^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x | \hat{G} | \psi \rangle = -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx} \psi(x) = \langle x | \hat{P} | \psi \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{G} = \hat{P}}$$

⇒ The momentum operator is the generator of translations!

$$\Leftrightarrow \boxed{\hat{T}(\varepsilon) = \hat{\mathbb{1}} - \varepsilon \frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{P} + O(\varepsilon^2)}$$

Plugging this into the condition for translation invariance we can derive a conservation law for momentum:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle &= \langle \psi_\varepsilon | \hat{H} | \psi_\varepsilon \rangle = \langle \psi | \hat{T}^\dagger(\varepsilon) \hat{H} \hat{T}(\varepsilon) | \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi | (\hat{\mathbb{1}} + \frac{i\varepsilon}{\hbar} \hat{P}) \hat{H} (\hat{\mathbb{1}} - \frac{i\varepsilon}{\hbar} \hat{P}) | \psi \rangle + O(\varepsilon^2) \\ &= \langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle + \frac{i\varepsilon}{\hbar} \langle \psi | [\hat{P}, \hat{H}] | \psi \rangle + O(\varepsilon^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \psi | [\hat{H}, \hat{P}] | \psi \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \psi$$

$$\Rightarrow (\text{Ehrenfest}) \quad \boxed{\frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{P} \rangle = 0} \quad \text{momentum conservation}$$

Rederivation in the passive transformation picture

Before we generalize from infinitesimal to finite translations, let us derive these results in the passive transformation picture:

$$\hat{T}^\dagger(\varepsilon) \hat{X} \hat{T}(\varepsilon) = \hat{X} + \varepsilon \hat{\mathbb{1}}$$

$$\hat{T}^\dagger(\varepsilon) \hat{P} \hat{T}(\varepsilon) = \hat{P}$$

Writing again  $\hat{T}(\varepsilon) = \mathbb{1} - \frac{i\varepsilon}{\hbar} \hat{G} + O(\varepsilon^2)$  ( $\hat{G}^\dagger = \hat{G}$ )

We find

$$\left(\mathbb{1} + \frac{i\varepsilon}{\hbar} \hat{G}\right) \hat{X} \left(\mathbb{1} - \frac{i\varepsilon}{\hbar} \hat{G}\right) = \hat{X} + \varepsilon \hat{\mathbb{1}} + O(\varepsilon^2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow -\frac{i\varepsilon}{\hbar} [\hat{X}, \hat{G}] = \varepsilon \hat{\mathbb{1}} \Leftrightarrow \boxed{[\hat{X}, \hat{G}] = i\hbar \hat{\mathbb{1}}}$$

We conclude that  $\boxed{\hat{G} = \hat{P} + f(\hat{X})}$

Now we turn to

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}^\dagger(\varepsilon) \hat{P} \hat{T}(\varepsilon) &= \left(\mathbb{1} + \frac{i\varepsilon}{\hbar} \hat{G}\right) \hat{P} \left(\mathbb{1} - \frac{i\varepsilon}{\hbar} \hat{G}\right) + O(\varepsilon^2) \\ &= \hat{P} \Leftrightarrow -\frac{i\varepsilon}{\hbar} [\hat{G}, \hat{P}] = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{f(\hat{X}) = 0} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\boxed{\hat{G} = \hat{P}}$

Translational invariance in the passive transformation

picture reads  $\hat{T}^\dagger(\varepsilon) \hat{H} \hat{T}(\varepsilon) = \hat{H}$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{T}^\dagger(\varepsilon) \hat{H} \hat{T}(\varepsilon) - \hat{H} = 0 \Leftrightarrow [\hat{H}, \hat{T}(\varepsilon)] = O(\varepsilon^2) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{i\varepsilon}{\hbar} [\hat{H}, \hat{P}] = O(\varepsilon^2) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{[\hat{H}, \hat{P}] = 0 \xrightarrow{\text{Ehrenfest}} \frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{P} \rangle = 0}$$

We can also use the general result

$$\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{\Omega}(\hat{X}, \hat{P}) \hat{U} = \hat{\Omega}(\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{X} \hat{U}, \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{P} \hat{U})$$

(proof: expand  $\Omega$  into a Taylor series and check term by term)

and the fact that, to linear order in  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\hat{T}(\varepsilon)$

is unitary  $\left( \hat{T}^\dagger(\varepsilon) = \mathbb{1} + \frac{i\varepsilon}{\hbar} \hat{G} = \hat{T}(-\varepsilon) = (\hat{T}(\varepsilon))^{-1} \right)$

to write the condition for translational invariance

as

$$\hat{T}^\dagger(\varepsilon) \hat{H}(\hat{X}, \hat{P}) \hat{T}(\varepsilon) = \hat{H}(\hat{T}^\dagger(\varepsilon) \hat{X} \hat{T}(\varepsilon), \hat{T}^\dagger(\varepsilon) \hat{P} \hat{T}(\varepsilon)) + O(\varepsilon^2)$$

$$\boxed{= \hat{H}(\hat{X} + \varepsilon \hat{\mathbb{1}}, \hat{P}) \stackrel{!}{=} \hat{H}(\hat{X}, \hat{P})}$$

This looks like the classical condition

$$\mathcal{H}(x, p) = \mathcal{H}(x + \varepsilon, p).$$