

7.3 Degenerate perturbation theory

Obviously, the expressions we derived blow up in the case of degeneracies, where $E_n^0 = E_m^0$ for some $n \neq m$.

So what do we do in that case?

Problems like that occur also if some states of \hat{H}^0 , although not degenerate, have almost the same energy. While not blowing up term by term, the series in that case cannot be expected to converge. So whenever
$$\frac{\langle m^0 | \hat{H}' | n^0 \rangle}{E_n^0 - E_m^0}$$

is large for some m , we have a problem.

To illustrate the resolution of this problem, let us start with just 2 (nearly) degenerate states. In general the perturbation will break their degeneracy. The problem of the formulation of perturbation theory used so far originates in the fact that, as we let $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ and slowly turn off the perturbation, the limiting states in general do not agree with the unperturbed basis states $|n^0\rangle$ that we started out with, but represent some linear combination of them which resides in the same degenerate subspace of \hat{H}^0 . Our first goal is to find this linear combination and then build our perturbative expansion on these states.

- So suppose, the unperturbed Hamiltonian has the eigenstates $|n^0\rangle$ ($n=1, 2, 3, \dots$), with

$$\hat{H}^0 |n^0\rangle = E_n^0 |n^0\rangle$$

and that we number them in such a way that the 2 degenerate (or nearly degenerate) states are $|1^0\rangle$ and $|2^0\rangle$, with $E_1^0 = E_2^0$ or $E_1^0 \approx E_2^0$.

- Construct the projection operator

$$\hat{P}_{12} = \hat{P}_1 + \hat{P}_2 = |1^0\rangle\langle 1^0| + |2^0\rangle\langle 2^0|$$

that projects any Hilbert-space state onto this (quasi-) degenerate subspace of \hat{H}^0 .

- In this subspace, we define the truncated Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}' &\equiv \hat{P}_{12} \hat{H} \hat{P}_{12} = \hat{P}_{12} (\hat{H}^0 + \lambda \hat{H}') \hat{P}_{12} = \\ &= (|1^0\rangle\langle 1^0| + |2^0\rangle\langle 2^0|)(\hat{H}^0 + \lambda \hat{H}')(|1^0\rangle\langle 1^0| + |2^0\rangle\langle 2^0|) \\ &= E_1^0 |1^0\rangle\langle 1^0| + E_2^0 |2^0\rangle\langle 2^0| + \lambda \hat{P}_{12} \hat{H}' \hat{P}_{12}\end{aligned}$$

- Next, we solve the eigenvalue problem of the truncated Hamiltonian (in the 2-dimensional quasidegenerate subspace) exactly:

$$\hat{H}' |\bar{i}\rangle = \bar{E}_i^{(0)} |\bar{i}\rangle, \quad i=1,2$$

Let's work this out in the basis $\{|1^0\rangle, |2^0\rangle\}$:

$$\langle k^{\circ} | \hat{H} | i \rangle = \bar{E}_i^{(o)} \langle k^{\circ} | i \rangle \quad (i, k = 1, 2)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 \langle k^{\circ} | \hat{H} | j^{\circ} \rangle \langle j^{\circ} | i \rangle = \bar{E}_i \langle k^{\circ} | i \rangle$$

which can be written as the matrix equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle 1^{\circ} | \hat{H} | 1^{\circ} \rangle & \langle 1^{\circ} | \hat{H} | 2^{\circ} \rangle \\ \langle 2^{\circ} | \hat{H} | 1^{\circ} \rangle & \langle 2^{\circ} | \hat{H} | 2^{\circ} \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1^{\circ} | i \rangle \\ \langle 2^{\circ} | i \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \bar{E}_i^{(o)} \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1^{\circ} | i \rangle \\ \langle 2^{\circ} | i \rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Here } \langle k^{\circ} | \hat{H} | j^{\circ} \rangle &= E_1^{\circ} \langle k^{\circ} | 1^{\circ} \rangle \langle 1^{\circ} | j^{\circ} \rangle + E_2^{\circ} \langle k^{\circ} | 2^{\circ} \rangle \langle 2^{\circ} | j^{\circ} \rangle \\ &\quad + \lambda \sum_{m,n=1}^2 \langle k^{\circ} | m^{\circ} \rangle \langle m^{\circ} | \hat{H}' | n^{\circ} \rangle \langle n^{\circ} | j^{\circ} \rangle \\ &= E_1^{\circ} \delta_{k1} \delta_{j1} + E_2^{\circ} \delta_{k2} \delta_{j2} + \langle k^{\circ} | \lambda \hat{H}' | j^{\circ} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

So the matrix equation looks like

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_1^{\circ} + \langle 1^{\circ} | \lambda \hat{H}' | 2^{\circ} \rangle & \langle 1^{\circ} | \lambda \hat{H}' | 2^{\circ} \rangle \\ \langle 2^{\circ} | \lambda \hat{H}' | 1^{\circ} \rangle & E_2^{\circ} + \langle 2^{\circ} | \lambda \hat{H}' | 2^{\circ} \rangle \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1^{\circ} | i \rangle \\ \langle 2^{\circ} | i \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \bar{E}_i^{(o)} \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1^{\circ} | i \rangle \\ \langle 2^{\circ} | i \rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

The eigenvalues \bar{E}_i are obtained as solutions of the secular equation

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \langle 1^{\circ} | \lambda \hat{H}' | 2^{\circ} \rangle + E_1^{\circ} - \bar{E} & \langle 1^{\circ} | \lambda \hat{H}' | 2^{\circ} \rangle \\ \langle 2^{\circ} | \lambda \hat{H}' | 1^{\circ} \rangle & \langle 2^{\circ} | \lambda \hat{H}' | 2^{\circ} \rangle + E_2^{\circ} - \bar{E} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

with solutions

$$\bar{E}_{1,2}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ E_1^0 + E_2^0 + \langle 1^\circ | \lambda \hat{H}' | 1^\circ \rangle + \langle 2^\circ | \lambda \hat{H}' | 2^\circ \rangle \right. \\ \left. \pm \sqrt{\left[E_2^0 + \langle 2^\circ | \lambda \hat{H}' | 2^\circ \rangle - (E_1^0 + \langle 1^\circ | \lambda \hat{H}' | 1^\circ \rangle) \right]^2 + 4 \langle 1^\circ | \lambda \hat{H}' | 2^\circ \rangle^2} \right\} \quad (*)$$

Plugging these values back into the matrix equation we can determine the eigenstates $|1\rangle, |2\rangle$ of \hat{H} (i.e. their representation in the $\{|1^\circ\rangle, |2^\circ\rangle\}$ basis).

- We see from (*) that for $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ the eigenvalues \bar{E}_i correctly approach

$$\bar{E}_{1,2}^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{E_1^0 + E_2^0}{2} \pm (E_2^0 - E_1^0) = E_{1,2}^0 \quad \text{which are (almost) degenerate}$$

However, for $\lambda \neq 0$, the degeneracy is broken

$$\text{unless } \langle 1^\circ | \hat{H}' | 1^\circ \rangle = \langle 2^\circ | \hat{H}' | 2^\circ \rangle \text{ and } \langle 1^\circ | \hat{H}' | 2^\circ \rangle = 0$$

This breaking of the degeneracy amounts to a large correction of the energy difference $E_1 - E_2$ and thus cannot be treated perturbatively, but must be dealt with exactly.

- We can now use the new "unperturbed" basis $\{|1\rangle, |2\rangle, |3^\circ\rangle, |4^\circ\rangle, \dots\}$ to do standard perturbation theory. To this end we write

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}^0 + \lambda \hat{H}' = \underbrace{(\hat{H}^0 + \hat{P}_{12} \lambda \hat{H}' \hat{P}_{12})}_{\bar{H}^0} + \lambda (\hat{H}' - \hat{P}_{12} \hat{H}' \hat{P}_{12}) \\ = \bar{H}^0 + \lambda \overline{\hat{H}'}$$

The new "unperturbed Hamiltonian"

$$\hat{H}^0 = \hat{H} + \hat{P}_{12}(\hat{H} - \hat{H}^0)\hat{P}_{12} = \hat{H} + (\hat{H}^0 - \hat{P}_{12}\hat{H}^0\hat{P}_{12})$$

is diagonal in the subspace $\{|1\rangle, |2\rangle\}$ (where it reduces to \hat{H}).

The new "perturbation"

$$\hat{H}' = \hat{H}' - \hat{P}_{12}\hat{H}'\hat{P}_{12}$$

reduces to zero in the subspace $\{|1\rangle, |2\rangle\}$.

So perturbation theory in $\lambda \hat{H}'$ involves no more terms with small energy denominators $E_1^{(0)} - E_2^{(0)}$, and the problem has been solved.

- If the space of degenerate energy eigenvalues is larger, $E_i^{(0)}$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, d$), we repeat the same procedure with \hat{P}_{12} replaced by a projector \hat{P}_d on the d -dimensional degenerate subspace. We diagonalize the truncated Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \hat{P}_d \hat{H} \hat{P}_d$$

exactly in this d -dim. subspace, by solving

$$\hat{H} |\bar{i}\rangle = \bar{E}_i^{(0)} |\bar{i}\rangle \quad (i=1, \dots, d) \Rightarrow \det(\bar{H}_{d \times d} - \bar{E}^{(0)}_{d \times d}) = 0$$

where $\bar{H}_{d \times d}$ is the matrix representing \hat{H} in the basis $\{|1^0\rangle, |2^0\rangle, \dots, |d^0\rangle\}$ spanning this subspace.

The roots $\bar{E}_i^{(0)}$ of this secular equation are the perturbed energies up to first order order. However, they are often much more accurate than this statement suggests since the interactions between the d states $\{|1^{\circ}\rangle, \dots |d^{\circ}\rangle\}$ are already taken into account exactly, i.e. to all orders in $\hat{e}\vec{E}$.

Example Stark effect in the 2nd hydrogen level.

- The second ($n=2$) state of hydrogen is 4-fold degenerate: $|200\rangle, |211\rangle, |210\rangle, |21,-1\rangle = \{|2lm\rangle\}$
- Denote by $\hat{P} = |200\rangle\langle 200| + |211\rangle\langle 211| + |210\rangle\langle 210| + |21,-1\rangle\langle 21,-1|$ the projector on this degenerate subspace.
- Construct $\hat{H} = \hat{P} \hat{H} \hat{P} = \hat{P} \hat{H}^0 \hat{P} + \hat{P} \hat{V} \hat{P}$ where $\hat{V} = e\vec{E} \cdot \hat{z}$

In the unperturbed degenerate basis $\{|2lm\rangle\}$ it is represented by

$$\hat{H} \leftrightarrow E_2^0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & 0 & \\ & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} |200\rangle & |210\rangle & |211\rangle & |21,-1\rangle \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ 0 & \Delta & 0 & 0 \\ \Delta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow |200\rangle \\ \leftarrow |210\rangle \\ \leftarrow |211\rangle \\ \leftarrow |21,-1\rangle \end{matrix}$$

Due to the dipole selection rule, $e\vec{E} \cdot \hat{z}$ has matrix elements only between states $l_2 = l_1 \pm 1$ together with $m_1 = m_2$. Since for $l=0$ only $m=0$ exists, nonzero matrix elements

all have $m_1 = m_2 = 0$; so only $\langle 210 | eE\hat{Z} | 200 \rangle$ and $\langle 200 | eE\hat{Z} | 210 \rangle$ are nonzero:

$$\Delta = \langle 200 | eE\hat{Z} | 210 \rangle = -3eE_{20} = \langle 210 | eE\hat{Z} | 200 \rangle \text{ (real)}$$

The eigenstates of \hat{H} are

$$\left\{ \frac{|200\rangle + |210\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{|200\rangle - |210\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, |211\rangle, |21,-1\rangle \right\}$$

The corresponding "1st-order" eigenenergies are

$$E_2^0 + 3eE_{20}, E_2^0 + 3eE_{20}, E_2^0, E_2^0$$

(so the $n=2$ state splits into 3 levels). The levels $|211\rangle$ and $|21,-1\rangle$ remain degenerate, but they are not mixed by the interaction. All corrections to these levels arise from matrix elements

$$\frac{|\langle nlm | eE\hat{Z} | 21, \pm 1 \rangle|^2}{E_2^0 - E_n} \quad \text{with } n \neq 2, \text{ so they involve}$$

no small energy denominators.

The "second-order" energy shifts are

$$\frac{|200\rangle + |210\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} : \quad E_{2,1}^2 = -\frac{R_y}{4} - 3eE_{20} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{k,l \\ k \neq 2 \\ l=0,1,2}} \frac{|\langle klo | eE\hat{Z} | (200\rangle + |210\rangle) \rangle|^2}{-R_y(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{k^2}) - 3eE_{20}}$$

$$\frac{|200\rangle - |210\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} : \quad E_{2,2}^2 = -\frac{R_y}{4} + 3eE_{20} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{k,l \\ k \neq 2; l=0,1,2}} \frac{|\langle klo | eE\hat{Z} | (200\rangle - |210\rangle) \rangle|^2}{-R_y(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{k^2}) + 3eE_{20}}$$

$$|21 \pm 1\rangle : \quad E_{2,3/4}^2 = -\frac{R_y}{4} + \sum_{\substack{k,l \\ k \neq 2; l=0,2}} \frac{|\langle kl \pm 1 | eE\hat{Z} | 21 \pm 1 \rangle|^2}{-R_y(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{k^2})} \quad (51)$$