Supporting Information for "Accurate and Efficient ab Initio Calculations for Supramolecular Complexes: Symmetry-Adapted Perturbation Theory with Many-Body Dispersion"

Kevin Carter-Fenk,¹ Ka Un Lao,², Kuan-Yu Liu,¹ and John M. Herbert^{1*} ¹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210 ²Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 (Dated: May 9, 2019)

Contents

1.	Deformation Energies and Binding Energies of S30L Complexes	S2
2.	L7 Interaction Energies and Energy Decomposition	S2
3.	Total Binding Energies and Energy Decomposition of Noble Gas@CB5	S2
4.	Benchmark Data for the $\pi 11 \times 8$ Data Set	S2
5.	Additional Data for 3B-69 and A24 Data Sets	S3
	References	S3
6.	Tables	S4
7.	Figures	S10

^{*}herbert@chemistry.ohio-state.edu

1. DEFORMATION ENERGIES AND BINDING ENERGIES OF S30L COMPLEXES

In the study of noncovalent interactions, the effect of geometric deformation can sometimes be neglected in small systems. In systems as large as those in S30L, however, the energetic penalty due to geometry changes during noncovalent association becomes significant and must be considered. Table S1 reports deformation energies for S30L computed at the SCS-MP2/CBS level. The CBS limit is approximated here using a two-point cc-pVDZ/cc-pVTZ extrapolation outlined in Ref. 1. Deformation energies ΔE_{def} are computed as

$$\Delta E_{\rm def} = \left(E_A^{AB} - E_A^A\right) + \left(E_B^{AB} - E_B^B\right),\tag{S1}$$

where E_X^{XY} means the energy of monomer X computed at the geometry that it adopts in dimer XY. refers to the energy of the monomer X at its geometry in dimer XY.

In Table S1, we also report XSAPT+MBD binding energies along with the corresponding energy decomposition. The MBD+esDQ energy stands in for $E_{disp}^{(2)} + E_{exch-disp}^{(2)}$ from SAPT. The total induction energy is taken to be

$$E_{\rm ind} = E_{\rm ind}^{(2)} + E_{\rm exch-ind}^{(2)} + \left(E_{AB}^{\rm XSAPT} - E_{AB}^{\rm SAPT}\right) + \delta E_{AB}^{\rm HF} , \qquad (S2)$$

where $E_{\text{ind}}^{(2)}$ and $E_{\text{exch-ind}}^{(2)}$ are the usual pairwise induction terms. The difference between the XSAPT interaction energy (E_{AB}^{XSAPT}) and the SAPT interaction energy (E_{AB}^{SAPT}) yields the influence of including self-consistent polarization. The final term is the usual " Δ (Hartree-Fock)" approximation for higher-order induction effects.

2. L7 INTERACTION ENERGIES AND ENERGY DECOMPOSITION

The L7 structures are likely to exhibit minimal geometric deformation upon interaction, and we assume this contribution to be small. Therefore, we report interaction energies for the L7 set alongside the XSAPT energy decomposition in Table S3. Again we report a total induction energy consistent with Eq. (S2). There is no many-body polarization contribution, because benchmark L7 interaction energies consist of two interacting fragments per system, even in the systems where there exist more than two distinct molecules.

Table S4 reports the GDD-tuned values of the range separation parameter (ω_{GDD}), determined at the LRC- ω PBE/ aug-cc-pVTZ level. XSAPT calculations for L7 reported in this work were performed using a different basis set (LRC- ω PBE/def2-TZVPPD), however the results of the GDD tuning procedure are essentially unaffected by this change in basis, with tuned values ω_{GDD} that differ by only ~ 0.001 bohr⁻¹ as compared to the values reported in Table S4.

3. TOTAL BINDING ENERGIES AND ENERGY DECOMPOSITION OF NOBLE GAS@CB5

The total binding energies for the rare-gas...cucurbit[5]uril (CB5) systems are reported here using the MP2/def2-TZVP deformation energies that are reported in Ref. 2. The energy decomposition provided by XSAPT+MBD in Table S5 suggests that dispersion is the dominant attractive interaction, as the sum of electrostatics, exchange, and induction is positive for all of these systems. GDD-tuned values ω_{GDD} for these complexes are provided in Table S6.

4. BENCHMARK DATA FOR THE $\pi 11 \times 8$ DATA SET

The $\pi 11 \times 8$ data set is comprised of seven structures representative of heterocyclic π -stacking interactions and four substituted benzene complexes from Ref. 3. All structures exhibit either parallel-displaced or sandwich configurations, and are shown in Fig. S1. Equilibrium geometries for the $\pi 11 \times 8$ data set were obtained via a two-step procedure. First, guess geometries were obtained at the RI-MP2/cc-pVTZ level using the RIJCOSX approximation⁴ in the Orca v. 4.1.1 software package,⁵ using also the corresponding cc-pVTZ/C and def2/J auxiliary basis sets. Then, the final geometries were converged at the RI-MP2/cc-pVTZ level in Q-Chem,⁶ without the RIJCOSX approximation. To construct the $\pi 11 \times 8$ of geometries at different intermolecular separations, the frozen-geometry potential surface is then scanned by incrementing the intermolecular separation by factors of 0.90, 0.95, 1.00, 1.05, 1.10, 1.25, 1.50, and 2.00 times the equilibrium distance. (The equilibrium distance is defined by the nearest pair of atoms that belong to different monomers.)

Benchmark-quality SAPT2+/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations were carried out on the entire $\pi 11 \times 8$ data set (Table S7). For the substituted benzene complexes in Table S8, the higher-level SAPT2+3(CCD) approach is also tractable (Table S8). For the heterocyclic systems where SAPT2+3(CCD) is prohibitively expensive, we present SAPT2+(3) benchmarks instead. The SAPT2+3(CCD) dispersion energy couples induction and dispersion terms and is given by

$$E_{\rm disp}^{\rm SAPT2+3(CCD)} = E_{\rm exch-disp}^{(20)} + E_{\rm disp}^{(2)}(\rm CCD) + E_{\rm disp}^{(22)}[S(\rm CCD)] + E_{\rm disp}^{(22)}[T(\rm CCD)] + E_{\rm disp}^{(30)} + E_{\rm disp}^{(30)} + E_{\rm exch-disp}^{(30)} + E_{\rm exch-ind-disp}^{(30)} + E_{\rm exch-ind-disp}^{(30)} .$$
(S3)

The SAPT2+(3) dispersion energy does not include induction/dispersion coupling and is given by

$$E_{\rm disp}^{\rm SAPT2+(3)} = E_{\rm exch-disp}^{(20)} + E_{\rm disp}^{(20)} + E_{\rm disp}^{(21)} + E_{\rm disp}^{(22)}(\rm SDQ) + E_{\rm disp}^{(22)}(\rm T) + E_{\rm disp}^{(30)}$$
(S4)

5. ADDITIONAL DATA FOR 3B-69 AND A24 DATA SETS

In an effort to select the best triple- ζ basis set to pair with XSAPT+MBD, we compared XSAPT+MBD and XSAPT+*ai*D3 with CCSD(T)/CBS data for the A24 data set.⁷ Among the basis sets considered are Dunning's aug-cc-pVTZ (aTZ),⁸ the pruned Dunning basis sets, jun-cc-pVTZ (junTZ) and jul-cc-pVTZ (julTZ), of Papajak and coworkers,⁹ and the Karlsruhe basis set modified with diffuse functions (def2-TZVPPD).^{10,11}

Results in Fig. S3 demonstrate reduced errors as compared to the previous XSAPT+aiD3 method, and also reduced as compared to SAPT(KS) calculations that use traditional second-order dispersion and gas-phase monomer wave functions. All four triple- ζ basis sets that are compared in Fig. S3 afford quite similar results but the errors are smallest when using the def2-TZVPPD basis set. Given that this basis set is slightly smaller than jun-cc-pVTZ, and is defined for more of the periodic table, we use def2-TZVPPD for the XSAPT calculations presented in this work.

- ² He, S.; Biedermann, F.; Vankova, N.; Zhechkov, L.; Heine, T.; Hoffman, R. E.; De Simone, A.; Duignan, T. T.; Nau, W. M. Cavitation energies can outperform dispersion interactions. *Nat. Chem.* **2018**, *10*, 1252–1257.
- ³ Sinnokrot, M. O.; Sherrill, C. D. Substituent effects in π - π interactions: Sandwich and T-shaped configurations. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 7690–7697.
- ⁴ Izsák, R.; Neese, F. An overlap fitted chain of spheres exchange method. J. Chem. Phys. **2011**, 135, 144105. ⁵ Neese, F. Software undeter The OPCA measure system version 4.0. WIREs Correct Mol. Sci. **2017**, 8, e12.
- Neese, F. Software update: The ORCA program system, version 4.0. WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci. 2017, 8, e1327:1–6.
- ⁶ Shao, Y. *et al.* Advances in molecular quantum chemistry contained in the Q-Chem 4 program package. *Mol. Phys.* **2015**, 113, 184–215.
- ⁷ Řezáč, J.; Hobza, P. Describing noncovalent interactions beyond the common approximations: How accurate is the "gold standard", CCSD(T) at the complete basis set limit? J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2013, 9, 2151–2155.
- ⁸ Dunning, Jr., T. H. Gaussian basis sets for use in correlated molecular calculations. I. The atoms boron through neon and hydrogen. J. Chem. Phys. **1989**, 90, 1007–1023.
- ⁹ Zheng, E. P. J.; Xu, X.; Leverentz, H. R.; Truhlar, D. G. Perspectives on basis sets beautiful: Seasonal plantings of diffuse basis functions. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2011, 7, 3027–3034.
- ¹⁰ Weigend, F.; Ahlrichs, R. Balanced basis sets of split valence, triple zeta valence and quadruple zeta valence quality for H to Rn: Design and assessment of accuracy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297–3305.
- ¹¹ Hellweg, A.; Rappoport, D. Development of new auxiliary basis functions of the Karlsruhe segmented contracted basis sets including diffuse basis functions (def2-SVPD, def2-TZVPPD, and def2-QVPPD) for RI-MP2 and RI-CC calculations. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *17*, 1010–1017.

¹ Neese, F.; Valeev, E. F. Revisiting the atomic natural orbital approach for basis sets: Robust systematic basis sets for explicitly correlated and conventional correlated ab initio methods. J. Chem. Theory Comput. **2011**, 7, 33–43.

6. TABLES

				Dh(1,1)	
System	Deformation ^a		XSAPT+MB	D [°] (kcal/mol)	
	(kcal/mol)	Elst	Exch Ind^c	MBD+esDQ	Total ^a
1	3.12	-26.76	52.15 - 11.90	-56.01	-39.40
2	1.82	-16.88	35.98 - 6.09	-40.20	-25.38
3	20.81	-25.30	66.24 - 9.05	-73.68	-20.97
4	15.98	-18.66	38.01 - 6.77	-48.22	-19.66
5	4.48	-26.74	58.79 - 9.41	-64.80	-37.68
6	4.82	-27.90	67.51 - 11.47	-65.11	-32.15
7	0.61	-20.52	66.30 - 8.26	-84.38	-46.25
8	0.62	-20.49	69.57 - 7.37	-93.45	-51.12
9	1.20	-26.54	68.38 0.62	-75.63	-31.96
10	2.54	-27.54	72.21 1.07	-79.95	-31.68
11	4.05	-33.69	87.38 - 9.30	-93.16	-44.72
12	3.80	-32.83	85.96 - 6.24	-93.16	-42.47
13	0.26	-12.40	25.96 42.45	-41.59	-31.45
14	0.27	-15.25	34.04 49.73	-49.06	-34.59
15	2.50	-52.39	78.21 - 15.49	-31.67	-18.84
16	3.09	-47.48	80.51 - 16.28	-42.66	-22.83
17	7.18	-48.22	69.23 - 20.60	-48.11	-40.52
18	5.63	-33.20	54.46 - 12.60	-41.51	-27.22
19	1.38	-14.46	26.46 - 4.22	-26.49	-17.34
20	1.91	-17.36	38.27 - 4.83	-39.57	-21.58
21	0.26	-9.96	29.17 - 2.94	-47.68	-31.15
22	7.67	-63.31	82.62 - 30.97	-34.40	-38.39
23	4.72	-72.76	69.07 - 42.15	-26.00	-67.12
24	2.83	-111.55	79.84 - 38.17	-85.34	-152.39
25	2.92	-13.45	29.60 - 3.75	-50.05	-34.74
26	2.94	-13.16	29.28 - 5.02	-49.95	-35.92
27	4.26	-67.71	38.76 - 25.44	-34.60	-84.72
28	4.77	-65.32	33.08 - 25.40	-28.37	-81.24
29	24.92	-83.53	95.11 - 52.14	-45.03	-60.68
30	28.05	-78.14	94.97 - 50.81	-52.10	-58.02

Table S1: S30L Total binding energies and XSAPT decomposition.

aCalculated at the SCS-MP2/CBS level

 b Using def2-TZVPPD basis.

^cIncludes XPol polarization and $\delta E_{\rm HF}$ correction. ^dIncludes geometric deformation energy.

$System^a$	$\omega_{\rm GDD} \ ({\rm bohr}^{-1})^b$
<u>1a</u>	0.248
1h	0.297
10	0.231
Za	0.248
2b	0.314
3a	0.250
$3\mathrm{b}$	0.296
4a	0.250
4b	0.316
59	0.910
5a Eh	0.240
ac	0.290
6a	0.243
6b	0.296
7a	0.224
$7\mathrm{b}$	0.242
8a	0.220
8h	0.235
00	0.200
9a	0.250
9b	0.243
10a	0.236
10b	0.238
11a	0.234
11b	0.242
12a	0 234
12a 12b	0.231
120	0.201
13a	0.238
13b	0.332
14a	0.238
14b	0.321
15a	0.234
15b	0.296
16a	0.224
16b	0.221
100	0.231
17a	0.250
176	0.332
18a	0.234
18b	0.336
19a	0.247
19b	0.327
20a	0.247
20h	0.309
200	0.005
21a 011	0.240
216	0.304
22a	0.257
22b	0.263
23a	0.254
23b	0.278
24a	0.248
24h	0.200
240	0.250
20a	0.241
256	0.278
26a	0.241
26b	0.278
27a	0.256
27b	0.339
28a	0.256
28h	0.200
200	0.000
29a	0.201
29b	0.348
30a	0.248
30b	0.303

Table S2: Tuned values of the range separation parameter ω for S30L complexes.

^{*a*}Host is labeled as a,

guest is labeled as b. ^bLRC- ω PBE/def2-TZVPPD.

System	$XSAPT+MBD^a$ (kcal/mol)					
System	Elst	Exch	Ind^{b}	MBD+esDQ	Total	
C2C2PD	-7.37	25.63	-2.55	-36.87	-21.17	
C3A	-7.07	19.05	-2.14	-26.83	-16.99	
C3GC	-12.31	36.12	-4.05	-47.64	-27.89	
CBH	-3.30	13.88	-1.23	-22.18	-12.83	
GCGC	-8.26	23.30	-2.06	-27.52	-14.55	
GGG	2.87	6.13	-1.33	-9.80	-2.13	
PHE	-27.38	31.64	-11.39	-18.52	-25.64	

Table S3: L7 Total interaction energies and XSAPT decomposition.

 $^{a}\mathrm{Using}$ def2-TZVPPD basis.

^bIncludes XPol polarization and $\delta E_{\rm HF}$ correction.

Table S4: Tuned values of the range separation parameter ω for L7 complexes.

System	$\omega_{\rm GDD} \ ({\rm bohr}^{-1})^a$
adenine	0.316
circumcoronene	0.242
GC Base-pair	0.288
coronene	0.271
guanine	0.316
guanine dimer	0.286
octadecane	0.314
phenylalanine	0.314
phenylalanine dimer	0.268
al DC (DDE /our og r	VT7

LRC- ω PBE/aug-cc-pVTZ.

System		XSAPT+MB	$D^a (\text{kcal/mol})$	
System	Elst	Exch Ind^{b}	MBD+esDQ	Total
He	-0.05	0.21 - 0.02	-1.28	-1.01
Ne	-0.21	0.73 - 0.02	-2.56	-2.01
Ar	-1.20	3.49 - 0.19	-8.49	-6.73
Kr	-2.43	6.99 - 0.40	-12.06	-8.93
Xe	-41.42	53.51 - 2.30	-19.46	-13.88

Table S5: Rare gas@CB5 total binding energies and XSAPT decomposition

^aUsing def2-TZVPPD basis.

 $^b \mathrm{Includes}$ XPol polarization and δE_{HF} correction.

Table S6: Tuned values of range-separation parameter for rare gas@CB5 complexes.

	-
System	$\omega_{\rm GDD} \ ({\rm bohr}^{-1})^a$
He	0.803
Ne	0.661
Ar	0.513
Kr	0.455
Xe	0.279
CB5	0.265
a LRC- ω	PBE/def2-TZVPPD

<u> </u>	D	$SAPT2+(3)^{b}$ (kcal/mol)			
System	Distance ^a	Elst	Exch Ind Disp	Total	
benzene··· naphthaline	0.90	-8.26	24.51 - 2.17 - 16.73	-2.66	
$benzene \cdots naphthaline$	0.95	-4.60	15.05 - 1.40 - 13.26	-4.22	
benzene··· naphthaline	1.00	-2.40	9.18 - 0.92 - 10.56	-4.69	
benzene··· naphthaline	1.05	-1.09	5.58 - 0.62 - 8.43	-4.56	
$benzene \cdots naphthaline$	1.10	-0.33	3.37 - 0.43 - 6.75	-4.14	
$benzene \cdots naphthaline$	1.25	0.45	0.73 - 0.17 - 3.56	-2.54	
$benzene \cdots naphthaline$	1.50	0.44	0.05 - 0.05 - 1.34	-0.89	
$benzene \cdots naphthaline$	2.00	0.19	0.00 - 0.01 - 0.27	-0.09	
benzene··· pteridine	0.90	-19.77	47.91 - 4.51 - 23.48	0.15	
benzene··· pteridine	0.95	-12.51	30.00 - 2.86 - 18.57	-3.94	
benzene··· pteridine	1.00	-7.96	18.68 - 1.82 - 14.75	-5.85	
benzene··· pteridine	1.05	-5.11	11.58 - 1.17 - 11.76	-6.47	
benzene··· pteridine	1.10	-3.33	7.14 - 0.77 - 9.41	-6.36	
benzene··· pteridine	1.25	-1.05	1.64 - 0.24 - 4.92	-4.58	
benzene··· pteridine	1.50	-0.28	0.13 - 0.06 - 1.83	-2.03	
benzene··· pteridine	2.00	-0.07	0.00 - 0.01 - 0.36	-0.43	
benzene···quinazoline	0.90	-18.26	46.16 - 4.41 - 23.11	0.39	
benzene··· quinazoline	0.95	-11.48	29.44 - 2.84 - 18.47	-3.34	
benzene··· quinazoline	1.00	-7.17	18.68 - 1.84 - 14.81	-5.14	
benzene··· quinazoline	1.05	-4.45	11.79 - 1.20 - 11.92	-5.78	
benzene···quinazoline	1.10	-2.74	7.41 - 0.79 - 9.62	-5.74	
benzene··· quinazoline	1.25	-0.57	1.79 - 0.26 - 5.16	-4.20	
benzene··· quinazoline	1.50	0.04	0.16 - 0.06 - 1.97	-1.84	
benzene···quinazoline	2.00	0.06	0.00 - 0.01 - 0.40	-0.35	
pyrazine · · · naphthaline	0.90	-19.33	49.70 - 5.32 - 24.35	0.69	
pyrazine···naphthaline	0.95	-12.04	31.28 - 3.41 - 19.33	-3.50	
pyrazine · · · naphthaline	1.00	-7.47	19.56 - 2.18 - 15.40	-5.50	
pyrazine···naphthaline	1.05	-4.63	$12.17 \ -1.40 \ -12.32$	-6.19	
$pyrazine \cdots naphthaline$	1.10	-2.87	7.53 - 0.91 - 9.89	-6.13	
$pyrazine \cdots naphthaline$	1.25	-0.68	1.74 - 0.27 - 5.22	-4.43	
$pyrazine \cdots naphthaline$	1.50	-0.05	0.14 - 0.06 - 1.95	-1.92	
$pyrazine \cdots naphthaline$	2.00	0.02	0.00 - 0.01 - 0.38	-0.37	
$pyrazine \cdots pteridine$	0.90	-19.93	49.12 - 5.39 - 24.27	-0.48	
$pyrazine \cdots pteridine$	0.95	-12.70	30.74 - 3.38 - 19.21	-4.56	
$pyrazine \cdots pteridine$	1.00	-8.18	$19.12 \ -2.13 \ -15.26$	-6.45	
$pyrazine \cdots pteridine$	1.05	-5.35	11.83 - 1.35 - 12.17	-7.04	
$pyrazine \cdots pteridine$	1.10	-3.58	7.28 - 0.86 - 9.74	-6.90	
$pyrazine \cdots pteridine$	1.25	-1.28	1.65 - 0.24 - 5.11	-4.98	
$pyrazine \cdots pteridine$	1.50	-0.44	0.13 - 0.04 - 1.90	-2.26	
$pyrazine \cdots pteridine$	2.00	-0.14	0.00 - 0.01 - 0.38	-0.52	
$pyrazine \cdots quinazoline$	0.90	-18.15	46.50 - 4.65 - 23.56	0.14	
$pyrazine \cdots quinazoline$	0.95	-11.46	29.44 - 2.96 - 18.78	-3.75	
$pyrazine \cdots quinazoline$	1.00	-7.24	18.53 - 1.87 - 15.01	-5.60	
$pyrazine \cdots quinazoline$	1.05	-4.59	11.60 - 1.19 - 12.05	-6.22	
$pyrazine \cdots quinazoline$	1.10	-2.92	7.23 - 0.76 - 9.70	-6.15	
pyrazine · · · quinazoline	1.25	-0.80	1.71 - 0.21 - 5.18	-4.48	
$pyrazine \cdots quinazoline$	1.50	-0.13	0.14 - 0.04 - 1.97	-2.00	
$pyrazine \cdots quinazoline$	2.00	-0.01	0.00 - 0.01 - 0.40	-0.42	
pyridine · · · pteridine	0.90	-20.03	46.64 - 4.98 - 23.50	-1.87	
pyridine · · · pteridine	0.95	-13.28	29.95 - 3.24 - 18.85	-5.42	
pyridine · · · pteridine	1.00	-8.93	19.13 - 2.12 - 15.16	-7.08	
pyridine · · · pteridine	1.05	-6.11	12.16 - 1.40 - 12.24	-7.59	
pyridine · · · pteridine	1.10	-4.29	7.70 - 0.94 - 9.91	-7.44	
pyridine · · · pteridine	1.25	-1.78	1.91 - 0.32 - 5.37	-5.55	
pyridine · · · pteridine	1.50	-0.74	0.18 - 0.08 - 2.09	-2.73	
pyridine pteridine	2.00	-0.30	0.00 - 0.02 - 0.43	-0.75	

Table S7: Energy decomposition for heterocyclic systems in $\pi 11 {\times} 8$.

^aDistances given as fraction of the equilibrium distance. ^bAll SAPT calculations done using aug-cc-pVTZ basis set.

System	Distanco ^a	$SAPT2+3(CCD)^b$ (kcal/mol)			
System	Distance	Elst	Exch Ind Disp	Total	
$benzene \cdots benzonitrile$	0.90	-8.26	$24.51 \ -2.17 \ -16.73$	-2.66	
$benzene \cdots benzonitrile$	0.95	-4.60	15.05 - 1.40 - 13.26	-4.22	
$benzene \cdots benzonitrile$	1.00	-2.40	9.18 - 0.92 - 10.56	-4.69	
$benzene \cdots benzonitrile$	1.05	-1.09	5.58 - 0.62 - 8.43	-4.56	
$benzene \cdots benzonitrile$	1.10	-0.33	3.37 - 0.43 - 6.75	-4.14	
$benzene \cdots benzonitrile$	1.25	0.45	0.73 - 0.17 - 3.56	-2.54	
$benzene \cdots benzonitrile$	1.50	0.44	0.05 - 0.05 - 1.34	-0.89	
$benzene \cdots benzonitrile$	2.00	0.19	0.00 - 0.01 - 0.27	-0.09	
$benzene \cdots fluorobenzene$	0.90	-19.77	47.91 - 4.51 - 23.48	8 0.15	
$benzene \cdots fluorobenzene$	0.95	-12.51	30.00 - 2.86 - 18.57	-3.94	
$benzene \cdots fluorobenzene$	1.00	-7.96	18.68 - 1.82 - 14.75	-5.85	
$benzene \cdots fluorobenzene$	1.05	-5.11	11.58 - 1.17 - 11.76	6 - 6.47	
$benzene \cdots fluorobenzene$	1.10	-3.33	7.14 - 0.77 - 9.41	-6.36	
$benzene \cdots fluorobenzene$	1.25	-1.05	1.64 - 0.24 - 4.92	-4.58	
$benzene \cdots fluorobenzene$	1.50	-0.28	0.13 - 0.06 - 1.83	-2.03	
$\mathrm{benzene} \cdots \mathrm{fluorobenzene}$	2.00	-0.07	0.00 - 0.01 - 0.36	6 -0.43	
$benzene \cdots phenol$	0.90	-18.26	46.16 - 4.41 - 23.11	0.39	
$benzene \cdots phenol$	0.95	-11.48	29.44 - 2.84 - 18.47	-3.34	
$benzene \cdots phenol$	1.00	-7.17	18.68 - 1.84 - 14.81	-5.14	
$benzene \cdots phenol$	1.05	-4.45	11.79 - 1.20 - 11.92	-5.78	
$benzene \cdots phenol$	1.10	-2.74	7.41 - 0.79 - 9.62	-5.74	
$benzene \cdots phenol$	1.25	-0.57	1.79 - 0.26 - 5.16	-4.20	
$benzene \cdots phenol$	1.50	0.04	0.16 - 0.06 - 1.97	-1.84	
$benzene \cdots phenol$	2.00	0.06	0.00 - 0.01 - 0.40	0 -0.35	
$benzene \cdots toluene$	0.90	-19.33	49.70 - 5.32 - 24.35	0.69	
$benzene \cdots toluene$	0.95	-12.04	31.28 - 3.41 - 19.33	3 -3.50	
$benzene \cdots toluene$	1.00	-7.47	19.56 - 2.18 - 15.40	-5.50	
$benzene \cdots toluene$	1.05	-4.63	12.17 - 1.40 - 12.32	-6.19	
$benzene \cdots toluene$	1.10	-2.87	7.53 - 0.91 - 9.89	-6.13	
$benzene \cdots toluene$	1.25	-0.68	1.74 - 0.27 - 5.22	2 -4.43	
$benzene \cdots toluene$	1.50	-0.05	0.14 - 0.06 - 1.95	-1.92	
benzene···toluene	2.00	0.02	0.00 -0.01 -0.38	-0.37	

Table S8: Energy decomposition of substituted benzene systems in $\pi 11 {\times} 8$.

 a Distances given in fraction of equilibrium distance.

 $^b\mathrm{All}$ SAPT calculations done using aug-cc-pVTZ basis set.

7. FIGURES



Figure S1: Equilibrium structures of the $\pi 11 \times 8$ data set. (1) benzene···naphthaline, (2) benzene···pteridine, (3) benzene···quinazoline, (4) pyrazine···naphthaline, (5) pyrazine···pteridine, (6) pyrazine···quinazoline, (7) pyridine···pteridine, (8) benzene···benzonitrile, (9) benzene···fluorobenzene, (10) benzene···phenol, (11) benzene···toluene.



Figure S2: Errors in the three-body interaction energies for the 3B-69 data set, relative to CCSD(T)/CBS results. Negative values correspond to an overestimation of the three-body contribution to the interaction energy.



Figure S3: Comparison of mean absolute errors in total interaction energies versus CCSD(T)/CBS benchmarks for the A24 data set, using the +aiD3 correction versus the new MBD correction. The jun-cc-pVTZ and jul-cc-pVTZ basis sets are taken from Ref. 9 and def2-TZVPPD is the updated basis set from Ref. 11.