

Supporting Information for: “Variational formulation of the generalized many-body expansion with self-consistent charge embedding: Simple and correct analytic energy gradient for fragment-based *ab initio* molecular dynamics”

Jie Liu,* Bhaskar Rana, Kuan-Yu Liu, and John M. Herbert†

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210

(Dated: May 31, 2019)

TABLE S1: Difference (in hartrees/bohr) between analytic and finite-difference gradients for the variational EE-GMBE(1) method, computed at the B3LYP/STO-3G level for $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{10}$. Fragmentation was performed using $\xi = 3.0 \text{ \AA}$, resulting in 12 fragments with 6 water molecules per fragment.

Atom	x	y	z
O	-0.000001	0.000006	-0.000001
H	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
H	0.000004	0.000012	0.000002
O	0.000001	-0.000003	0.000002
H	0.000001	-0.000011	0.000007
H	0.000000	0.000001	-0.000001
O	0.000000	-0.000006	-0.000002
H	0.000000	0.000001	0.000000
H	0.000004	-0.000008	-0.000005
O	-0.000002	-0.000005	0.000003
H	0.000001	0.000000	0.000000
H	0.000000	0.000000	-0.000001
O	0.000002	0.000002	-0.000006
H	0.000000	0.000003	-0.000011
H	0.000000	-0.000001	0.000001
O	-0.000001	-0.000003	-0.000002
H	0.000000	0.000001	0.000000
H	0.000001	0.000000	0.000000
O	0.000003	0.000001	-0.000003
H	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
H	-0.000001	0.000000	0.000000
O	0.000000	0.000000	0.000002
H	0.000000	-0.000001	0.000000
H	-0.000006	0.000002	0.000007
O	-0.000003	0.000005	0.000001
H	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
H	0.000001	-0.000001	0.000000
O	-0.000001	0.000004	0.000006
H	-0.000001	0.000000	0.000000
H	0.000000	-0.000001	0.000000
MAD ^a	0.000001	0.000003	0.000002

^aMean absolute deviation (from zero), averaged over all atoms.

* Present address: Hefei National Laboratory of Physical Science at the Microscale, University of Science and Technology of China, Anhui 230026, China

† herbert@chemistry.ohio-state.edu

TABLE S2: Difference (in hartrees/bohr) between analytic and finite-difference gradients for the variational EE-GMBE(1) method, computed at the B3LYP/STO-3G level for $F^-(H_2O)_{10}$.

Atom	x	y	z
O	0.000009	0.000001	0.000006
H	0.000001	0.000001	0.000002
H	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
O	0.000006	-0.000010	0.000017
H	-0.000004	0.000003	-0.000002
H	0.000000	-0.000003	-0.000001
O	0.000004	-0.000014	0.000004
H	-0.000001	0.000001	0.000001
H	-0.000001	-0.000005	0.000001
O	0.000009	0.000008	0.000002
H	0.000000	0.000004	0.000001
H	0.000001	0.000000	-0.000001
O	0.000004	0.000015	0.000000
H	0.000001	0.000004	0.000002
H	-0.000002	0.000001	0.000000
O	-0.000003	-0.000007	-0.000026
H	-0.000001	0.000001	-0.000002
H	-0.000001	-0.000003	-0.000005
O	-0.000007	-0.000008	-0.000010
H	-0.000001	-0.000007	-0.000003
H	-0.000001	-0.000001	-0.000002
O	-0.000004	0.000012	0.000001
H	0.000001	-0.000003	0.000000
H	-0.000011	0.000003	-0.000005
O	-0.000001	0.000000	-0.000002
H	0.000001	0.000001	-0.000001
H	0.000000	0.000002	-0.000002
O	0.000001	0.000003	0.000011
H	-0.000001	0.000001	0.000009
H	0.000001	0.000000	0.000004
F	-0.000003	-0.000001	0.000002
MAD ^a	0.000003	0.000004	0.000004

^aMean absolute deviation (from zero), averaged over all atoms.

TABLE S3: Comparison of Mulliken charges for $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{10}$ derived from the variational EE-GMBE density (ρ_{GMBE} in eq. 16) and the supersystem density, both computed at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory. The structure is the one shown in Fig. 2. Fragmentation was performed using $\xi = 3.0 \text{ \AA}$, resulting in 12 fragments with 6 water molecules per fragment.

Atom	Mulliken Charge		difference
	Supersystem	GMBE	
O	-0.822863	-0.826387	0.0035
H	0.436593	0.444150	-0.0076
H	0.407352	0.406144	0.0012
O	-0.815646	-0.817651	0.0020
H	0.410283	0.408977	0.0013
H	0.447633	0.452119	-0.0045
O	-0.824801	-0.826451	0.0017
H	0.440874	0.444718	-0.0038
H	0.411164	0.409104	0.0021
O	-0.876661	-0.887129	0.0105
H	0.416686	0.416337	0.0003
H	0.429259	0.435281	-0.0060
O	-0.822963	-0.826199	0.0032
H	0.406631	0.405302	0.0013
H	0.437005	0.443870	-0.0069
O	-0.871915	-0.877409	0.0055
H	0.424690	0.428664	-0.0040
H	0.408326	0.409854	-0.0015
O	-0.875977	-0.882135	0.0062
H	0.432015	0.436520	-0.0045
H	0.425082	0.424045	0.0010
O	-0.824384	-0.826262	0.0019
H	0.435800	0.444345	-0.0085
H	0.406805	0.407852	-0.0010
O	-0.874308	-0.879910	0.0056
H	0.431072	0.435638	-0.0046
H	0.420776	0.419501	0.0013
O	-0.873616	-0.878648	0.0050
H	0.424054	0.422581	0.0015
H	0.431033	0.433181	-0.0021
MAD ^a			0.0037

^aMean absolute deviation over all atoms.

TABLE S4: Comparison of Mulliken charges for $F^-(H_2O)_{10}$ derived from the variational EE-GMBE density (ρ_{GMBE} , eq. 16) and the supersystem density, both computed at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory.

Atom	Mulliken Charge		difference
	Supersystem	GMBE	
O	-0.855062	-0.853878	-0.0012
H	0.383461	0.383079	0.0004
H	0.410594	0.411490	-0.0009
O	-0.814255	-0.817740	0.0035
H	0.380763	0.387705	-0.0069
H	0.419823	0.420861	-0.0010
O	-0.828944	-0.826072	-0.0029
H	0.393625	0.394602	-0.0010
H	0.386411	0.387369	-0.0010
O	-0.824010	-0.827445	0.0034
H	0.384243	0.383547	0.0007
H	0.430617	0.433779	-0.0032
O	-0.888530	-0.887449	-0.0011
H	0.396780	0.392240	0.0045
H	0.415587	0.413483	0.0021
O	-0.842989	-0.845428	0.0024
H	0.398211	0.398887	-0.0007
H	0.392089	0.392144	-0.0001
O	-0.833188	-0.834038	0.0008
H	0.372296	0.373079	-0.0008
H	0.403425	0.404134	-0.0007
O	-0.789926	-0.789143	-0.0008
H	0.393489	0.394577	-0.0011
H	0.373222	0.374491	-0.0013
O	-0.857562	-0.857544	-0.0000
H	0.386246	0.380864	0.0054
H	0.396764	0.397577	-0.0008
O	-0.855341	-0.855672	0.0003
H	0.400629	0.400518	0.0001
H	0.395550	0.400128	-0.0046
F	-0.524018	-0.530144	0.0061
MAD ^a			0.0020

^aMean absolute deviation, averaged over all atoms.