**BTeV – A Dedicated B Physics Experiment at the Tevatron Collider** 

Klaus Honscheid The Ohio State University July 13, 2001





## **BTeV** Collaboration

#### **UC Davis**

J. Link & P. Yager Univ. of Colorado at Boulder J. Cumalat Fermi National Lab J. Appel, E. Barsotti, C. N. Brown, J. Butler, H. Cheung, G. Chiodini, D. Christian, S. Cihangir, I. Gaines, P. Garbincius, L. Garren, E.E. Gottschalk, A. Hahn, G. Jackson, P. Kasper, P. Kasper, R. Kutschke, S. W. Kwan, P. Lebrun, P. McBride, L. Stutte, M. Votava, & J. Yarba Univ. of Florida at Gainesville P. Avery University of Houston K. Lau, B. W. Mayes, J. Pyrlik,

V. Rodriguez, & S. Subramania

## **Illinois Institute of Technology**

R. A. Burnstein, D. M. Kaplan,
L. M. Lederman, H. A. Rubin,
& C. White
University of Illinois

M. Haney, D. Kim, M. Selen, & J. Wiss Indiana University R.W. Gardner, & D.R. Rust INFN - Milano

D. Menasce, L. Moroni, D. Pedrini, & S. Sala

INFN - Pavia
G. Boca, G. Liguori, & P. Torre
IHEP Protvino
A. A. Derevschikov, Y. Goncharenko,

V. Khodyrev, A. P. Meschanin,

L. V. Nogach, K. E. Shestermanov,

L. F. Soloviev, & A. N. Vasiliev

## University of Iowa

C. Newsom, & R. Braunger

#### **University of Minnesota**

V. V. Frolov, Y. Kubota, R. Poling, & A. Smith

## Nanjing University

T. Y. Chen, D. Gao, S. Du, Ming Qi,

B. P. Zhang, & J. W. Zhao

#### New Mexico State University

V. Papavassiliou

#### **Ohio State University**

K. Honscheid, & H. Kagan

#### University of Pennsylvania

W. Selove

#### **University of Puerto Rico**

A. Lopez, & W. Xiong



#### Univ. of Science & Tech. of China

G. Datao, L. Hao, Ge Jin, L. Tiankuan, T. Yang, & X. Q. Yu Shandong University, China C. F. Feng, Yu Fu, Mao He, J. Y. Li, L. Xue, N. Zhang, & X. Y. Zhang Southern Methodist University T. Coan SUNY Albany M. Alam Syracuse University M. Artuso, C. Boulahouache, K. Khroustalev, G. Majumder, R.Mountain, T. Skwarnicki, S. Stone, J. C. Wang, & H. W. Zhao

#### **University of Tennessee**

K. Cho, T. Handler, & R. Mitchell **Tufts** 

Napier

#### Vanderbilt University

W. Johns, P. Sheldon, K. Stenson, E. Vaandering, & M. Webster

## Wayne State University

G. Bonvicini, & D. Cinabro

## University of Wisconsin

M. Sheaff

Yale University

J. Slaughter

York University

S. Menary



# Some crucial measurements

Physics	Decay Mode	Vertex	$K/\pi$	γ det	Decay
Quantity		Trigger	sep		time $\sigma$
$sin(2\alpha)$	$B^{o} \rightarrow \rho \pi \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{o}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
$\cos(2\alpha)$	$B^{o} \rightarrow \rho \pi \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{o}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
$sign(sin(2\alpha))$	$B^{o} \rightarrow \rho \pi \& B^{o} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
$sin(\gamma)$	$B_s \rightarrow D_s K^-$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
$sin(\gamma)$	$B^+ \rightarrow D^o K^+$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
$sin(\gamma)$	$B \rightarrow K \pi$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
$sin(\gamma)$	$B^{o} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-} \& B_{s} \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
$sin(2\chi)$	$B_s \rightarrow J/\psi$ η', $J/\psi$ η	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$sin(2\beta)$	$B^{o} \rightarrow J/\psi K_{s}$				
$sin(2\beta)$	$B^{o} \rightarrow \phi K_{s}, \eta' K_{s}, \psi \pi^{o}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
$\cos(2\beta)$	$B^{o} \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*} \& B_{s} \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$				
X <sub>s</sub>	$B_s \rightarrow D_s \pi^-$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
$\Delta\Gamma$ for $B_s$	$B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \eta', K^+ K^-, D_s \pi^-$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	



# **B** Physics at Hadron Colliders

- The Opportunity
  - Lot's of b's (a few times 10<sup>11</sup> b-pairs per year at the Tevatron)
  - "Broadband, High Luminosity <u>B Factory</u>" (B<sub>d</sub>, B<sub>u</sub>, B<sub>s</sub>, b-baryon, and B<sub>c</sub>)
  - Tevatron luminosity will increase to at least 5x10<sup>32</sup>
  - Cross sections at the LHC will be 5 times larger
  - Because you are colliding gluons, it is intrinsically asymmetric so time evolution studies are possible (and integrated asymmetries are nonzero)

- The Challenge
  - Lot's of background (S/N 1:500 to 1:1000)
  - Complicated underlying event
  - No stringent kinematic constraints that one has at an e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> machine
  - Multiple interactions

These lead to questions about the triggering, tagging, and reconstruction efficiency and the background rejection that can be achieved at a hadron collider



## The Tevatron as a b & c source

property	Value		
Luminosity	$2 \ge 10^{32}$		
<b>b</b> cross-section	100 <b>mb</b>		
# of b-pairs per 10 <sup>7</sup> sec	$2 \ge 10^{11}$		
b fraction.	<b>10-</b> <sup>3</sup>		
c cross-section	>500 mb		
Bunch Spacing	<b>132 ns</b>		
Luminous region length	$s_{\pi} = 30 \text{ cm}$		
Luminous region width	$\mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{z}} \sim \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{v}} \sim 50 \ \mathbf{m}$		
Interactions/crossing	$<2.0>^{y}$		



Characteristics of hadronic b production

# The higher momentum b's are at larger $\eta$ 's

# b production peaks at large angles with large bb correlation







- \* A dipole magnet (1.6 T) and 2 spectrometer arms
- \* A precision vertex detector based on planar pixel arrays
- A detached vertex trigger at Level I
- High resolution tracking system (straws and silicon strips)
- Excellent particle identification based on a Ring Imaging Cerenkov counter.
- $\Phi$  A lead tungstate electromagnetic calorimeter for photon and  $\pi^{0}$  reconstruction
- A very high capacity data acquisition system which frees us from making excessively specific choices at the trigger level







# **Pixel Vertex Detector**

## **Reasons for Pixel Detector:**

- Superior signal to noise
- Excellent spatial resolution -- 5-10 microns depending on angle, etc
- Very Low occupancy
- Very fast
- Radiation hard

## **Special features:**

- It is used directly in the L1 trigger
- Pulse height is measured on every channel with a 3 bit FADC
- It is inside a dipole and gives a crude standalone momentum





## Pixels – Close up of 3/31 stations





# Pixel Readout Chip

•Different problem than LHC pixels: 132 ns crossing time (vs. 25ns)  $\rightarrow$  easier Very fast readout required  $\rightarrow$  harder

•R&D started in 1997

•Two generations of prototype chips (FPIX0 & FPIX1) have been designed & tested, with & without sensors, including a beam test (1999) in which resolution <9μ was demonstrated.

•New "deep submicron" radiation hard design (FPIX2):Three test chip designs have been produced & tested. Expect to submit the final design ~Dec. 2001







Track angle (mr)



## Pixel detectors are hybrid assemblies

Sensors & readout "bump bonded" to one another.
Readout chip is wire bonded to a "high density interconnect" which carries bias voltages, control signals, and output data.





Micrograph of FPIX1: bump bonds are visible





# The BTeV Level I Detached Vertex Trigger

Three Key Requirements:

- Reconstruct every beam crossing, run at 7.6 MHz
- Find primary vertex
- Find evidence for a B decaying downstream of primary vertex

Five Key Ingredients:

- A vertex detector with excellent spatial resolution, fast readout, and low occupancy
- A heavily pipelined and parallel processing architecture well suited to tracking and vertex fining
- Inexpensive processing nodes, optimized for specific tasks within the overall architecture ~3000 CPUs
- Sufficient memory to buffer the vent data while calculations are carried out ~1 Terabyte
- A switching and control network to orchestrate the data movement through the system



## Information available to the L1 vertex trigger





Track segments found by the L1 vertex trigger





# Block Diagram of the Level 1 Vertex Trigger





**Pixel Trigger Performance** 

Select number (N) of detached tracks typically pt> 0.5 GeV, N = 2 Select impact parameter (b) w.r.t. primary vertex typically  $\sigma(b) = 6$ 

Options include cuts on vertex, vertex mass etc.





# **Trigger Simulation Results**

• L1 acceptance: 1%

# Profile of accepted events

- 4 % from b quarks including 50-70% of all "analyzable" b events
- 10 % from c quarks
- 40 % from s quarks
- 45 % pure fakes
- L2/L3 acceptance: 4 %
  - 4000 Hz output rate
  - 200 Mbytes/s

Process	Eff. (%)	Monte Carlo
Minimum bias	1	BTeVGeant
$B_s \rightarrow D_s^+ K^-$	74	BTeVGeant
$B^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\rho^-$	64	BTeVGeant
$B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \pi^0$	56	BTeVGeant
$B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_s$	50	BTeVGeant
$B_s \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*o}$	68	MCFast
$B^- \rightarrow D^0 K^-$	70	MCFast
$B^- \rightarrow K_s \pi^-$	27	MCFast
$B^0 \rightarrow 2$ -body modes	63	MCFast



## **BTeV Data Acquisition Overview**





## Importance of Particle Identification





# Ring Imaging Cherenkov (RICH)





# RICH Readout: Hybrid Photodiodes (HPD)



- Commercial supplier from Holland (DEP)
- A number of prototypes was successfully produced and tested for CMS
- New silicon diode customized for BTeV needs is under development at DEP (163 pixels per HPD); on schedule for prototype delivery at the end of the summer
- Challenges:
  - high voltages (20 kV!)
  - Signal ~5000e<sup>-</sup> α need low noise electronics (Viking)
  - In the hottest region up to 40 channels fire per tube
  - About 2000 tubes total (cost)

# Displacement vs B-Field



- Transverse B-Field
- Plot shows displacement of electron trajectory at the diode
- B-Field to displace 1 pixel (1.5 mm):  $B \sim 1.2 G (\perp)$
- To make loss of efficiency at the edge and position corrections small want:

 $B_{crit} \le 0.25 \ G \ (\perp)$ 

# Electromagnetic Calorimeter

The main challenges include

- Can the detector survive the high radiation environment ?
- Can the detector handle the rate and occupancy ?
- Can the detector achieve adequate angle and energy resolution ?
- BTeV now plans to use a PbWO<sub>4</sub> calorimeter
  - Developed by CMS for use at the LHC
  - Large granularity Block size 2.7 x 2.7 x 22 cm<sup>3</sup> (25 X<sub>o</sub>) ~23000 crystals
  - Photomultiplier readout (no magnetic field)
  - Pre-amp based on QIE chip (KTeV)
  - Energy resolution
    - Stochastic term 1.6%
    - Constant term 0.55%
  - Position resolution

 $\boldsymbol{s}_{x} = 3526 \, \boldsymbol{m} \mathrm{m} / \sqrt{E} \oplus 217 \, \boldsymbol{m} \mathrm{m}$ 





Property	Value	Property	Value
Density(gm/cm <sup>2</sup> )	8.28	Transverse block size	2.7cm X 2.7 cm
Radiation Length(cm)	0.89	Block Length	22 cm
Interaction Length(cm)	22.4	Radiation Length	25
Light Decay time(ns)	5(39%)	Front end Electronics	PMT
(3components)	15(60%)	Inner dimension	+/-9.8cm (X,Y)
	100(1%)	Energy Resolution:	
Refractive index	2.30	Stochastic term	1.6% (2.3%)
Max of light emission	440nm	Constant term	0.55%
Temperature		Spatial Resolution:	$\boldsymbol{s}_{x} = 3526  \boldsymbol{m} \mathrm{m}  / \sqrt{E}$
Coefficient (%/°C)	-2	-	⊕217 <b>m</b> m
Light output/Na(Tl)(%)	1.3	Outer Radius	140 cm215 cm
Light output(pe/MeV)			\$ driven
into 2" PMT	10	Total Blocks/arm	11,500



# Electromagnetic Calorimeter Tests





Block from China's Shanghai Institute

5X5 stack of blocks from Russia ready for testing at Protvino

- Lead Tungstate Crystals from Shanghai, Bogoroditsk, other vendors
- Verify resolution, test radiation hardness (test beam at Protvino)
- Test uniformity



# Preliminary Testbeam Results





# Muon System

- Provides Muon ID and Trigger ٠
  - Trigger for interesting physics \_ states
  - Check/debug pixel trigger
- fine-grained tracking + toroid ٠
  - Stand-alone mom./mass trig. \_
  - Momentum "confirmation"
- Basic building block: Proportional tube ٠ "Planks"

track from IP



1 m

1 m

30



To beam center

# Physics Reach (CKM) in 10<sup>7</sup> s

Reaction	<i>В</i> (В)(х10-6)	# of Events	S/B	Parameter	Error or (Value)
$B^{o} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$	4.3	24,000	3	Asymmetry	0.024
$B_s \rightarrow D_s K^-$	300	13,100	7	γ	7 <sup>0</sup>
$B^{o} \rightarrow J/\psi \ K_{S, J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}}$	445	80,500	10	sin(2β)	0.025
$B_s \rightarrow D_s \pi^-$	3000	103,000	3	X <sub>s</sub>	(75)
$B^{-} \rightarrow D^{\circ} (K^{+} \pi^{-}) K^{-}$	0.17	300	1		
B⁻→Dº (K+K⁻) K⁻	1.1	1,800	>10	γ	10 <sup>0</sup>
$\overline{B^-} \to K_S \pi^-$	12.1	8,000	1		
$B^{o} \rightarrow K^{+}\pi^{-}$	18.8	108,000	20	γ	<5 <sup>0</sup>
$B^{o} \rightarrow \rho^{+} \pi^{-}$	28	9,400	4.1		
$B^{o} \rightarrow \rho^{o} \pi^{o}$	5	1,350	0.3	α	~10 <sup>0</sup>
$B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \eta$ ,	330	1,920	15		
$B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \eta'$	670	7,280	30	χ	0.033



## **Concluding Remarks**



"The Committee believes that BTeV has the potential to be a central part of an excellent Fermilab physics program in the era of the LHC. With excitement about the science and enthusiasm for the elegant and challenging detector, the Committee unanimously recommends Stage I approval for BTeV."

CO Detector Hall at the Tevatron

