

Last time: talked about free Dirac field.

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi \Rightarrow \text{EOM is Dirac equation:}$$

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi = 0$$

We found the most general solution of Dirac eqn:

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{r=1}^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2E_k} \left\{ \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r} u_r(\vec{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} + \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}^\dagger v_r(\vec{k}) e^{ik \cdot x} \right\}$$

Found the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \int d^3x \psi^\dagger \partial_0 \psi$$

Not positive-definite? Plug in ψ :

$$H = \sum_{r=1}^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2E_k} E_k \left[\hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r} - \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}^\dagger \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r} \right]$$

Still not ≥ 0 . Have to use anti-commutators!

$$\{ \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}, \hat{b}_{\vec{k}',r'}^\dagger \} = \{ \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}, \hat{d}_{\vec{k}',r'}^\dagger \} = (2\pi)^3 2E_k \delta_{rr'} \delta(\vec{k}-\vec{k}')$$

with all other $\{, \}$'s zero. Dropping an ∞ get

$$H = \sum_{r=1}^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2E_k} E_k \left[\hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r} + \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}^\dagger \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r} \right] \text{ positive-definite!}$$

office hours \rightarrow MW 2pm?

Define anti-commutation relations:

$$\left\{ \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}, \hat{b}_{\vec{k}',r'}^+ \right\} = \left\{ \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}, \hat{d}_{\vec{k}',r'}^+ \right\} = (2\pi)^3 2\epsilon_k \delta_{rr'} \delta^3(\vec{k}-\vec{k}')$$

$$\left\{ \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}, \hat{b}_{\vec{k}',r'} \right\} = \left\{ \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}^+, \hat{b}_{\vec{k}',r'}^+ \right\} = 0$$

$$\left\{ \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}, \hat{d}_{\vec{k}',r'} \right\} = \left\{ \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}^+, \hat{d}_{\vec{k}',r'}^+ \right\} = 0$$

where $\{ \hat{A}, \hat{B} \} = \hat{A} \hat{B} + \hat{B} \hat{A}$ ~ anti-commutator.

=> dropping ∞ number get

$$H = \sum_{r=1}^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\epsilon_k} \epsilon_k \left[\hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}^+ \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r} + \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}^+ \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r} \right]$$

Now it's positive-definite!

For the fields get $\{ \psi_\alpha(\vec{x}, t), \bar{\psi}_\beta(\vec{x}', t) \} = i S_{\alpha\beta} \delta(\vec{x}-\vec{x}')$
 $\bar{\psi}_\beta = i\psi_\beta^+$

$$\{ \psi_\alpha, \psi_\beta \} = \{ \psi_\alpha^+, \psi_\beta^+ \} = 0$$

=> all operators anti-commute.

Time evolution: $+i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(x) = [\psi, H]$ } still uses commutators
 $i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{\psi}(x) = [\bar{\psi}, H]$ } (can show)

Useful formulas: $\bar{u}_r(\vec{k}) u_r(\vec{k}) = 2m \delta_{rs}$

$\bar{v}_r(\vec{k}) v_s(\vec{k}) = -2m \delta_{rs}$

$u_r^\dagger(\vec{k}) u_s(\vec{k}) = 2E_k \delta_{rs}$

$v_r^\dagger(\vec{k}) v_s(\vec{k}) = 2E_k \delta_{rs}$

$\sum_{r=1}^2 u_{r,\alpha}(\vec{k}) \bar{u}_{r,\beta}(\vec{k}) = (\gamma \cdot p + m)_{\alpha\beta}$

$\sum_{r=1}^2 v_r(\vec{k}) \bar{v}_r(\vec{k}) = \gamma \cdot p - m.$

Gauge Fields (photons)

$A^\mu = (\Phi, \vec{A}) \sim 4\text{-vector} \Rightarrow$ one can build a gauge invariant tensor: $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ (field strength tensor) \Rightarrow

the Lagrangian is $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{E}^2 - \vec{B}^2).$

EOM: $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta A_\mu} - \partial_\nu \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta(\partial_\nu A_\mu)} \right) = 0$

$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta A_\mu} = 0, \quad \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta(\partial_\nu A_\mu)} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\delta(F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta})}{\delta(\partial_\nu A_\mu)} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\delta((\partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha)(\partial_\rho A_\sigma - \partial_\sigma A_\rho) g^{\alpha\sigma} g^{\beta\rho})}{\delta(\partial_\nu A_\mu)} = F^{\mu\nu}$

=> get $\partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = 0$ (Maxwell eqn's in vacuum)

One can introduce source current $j_\mu \Rightarrow$

$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - j_\mu A^\mu \Rightarrow$ now $\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta A_\mu} = -j^\mu$

=> get $\partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = -j^\mu$ (full Maxwell's eqn's)

$\partial_\nu (\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu) = -j^\mu$

$-\partial^\mu \partial_\nu A^\nu + \square A^\mu = j^\mu$

Gauge transformation: $A_\mu \rightarrow A'_\mu = A_\mu + \partial_\mu \Lambda$

=> $F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow F'_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ is gauge-invariant.

What about $j_\mu A^\mu$?

$j_\mu A^\mu \rightarrow j_\mu A'^\mu = j_\mu A^\mu + j_\mu \partial^\mu \Lambda = j_\mu A^\mu + \underbrace{\partial^\mu (j_\mu \Lambda)}_{\text{surface term}} - \underbrace{\partial^\mu j_\mu \Lambda}_{\substack{\approx 0 \text{ current} \\ \text{conservation}}} = j_\mu A^\mu$
=> discard

=> $j_\mu A^\mu$ is gauge-invariant for conserved current j_μ .

=> need to find a gauge in which to look for solution: possible gauges are

(i) Lorenz gauge $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$

(ii) Coulomb gauge $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0$

Work in covariant gauge $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow$ Maxwell equations become $\square A^\mu = j^\mu$, => put $j^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow$ set $\square A^\mu = 0$

Write the solution as:

$$A_\mu(x) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\epsilon_k} \sum_{\lambda=\pm, L} \left[\hat{a}_{\vec{k}, \lambda}^\pm \epsilon_\mu^{(\lambda)}(k) e^{-ik \cdot x} + \hat{a}_{\vec{k}, \lambda}^+ \epsilon_\mu^{(\lambda)*}(k) e^{ik \cdot x} \right]$$

gauge condition $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow k_\mu \epsilon^{(\lambda)\mu}(k) = 0$

=> if $k^\mu = (k, 0, 0, k)$
t x y z

$\epsilon_\mu^\pm(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (0, 1, \pm i, 0)$, $\epsilon_\mu^L = (1, 0, 0, 1)$ work.

Quantize by requiring

$$[\hat{a}_{\vec{k}, \lambda}^\pm, \hat{a}_{\vec{k}', \lambda'}^\pm] = -g_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta(\vec{k} - \vec{k}').$$

$(2\pi)^3 2\epsilon_k$

note that

$$\epsilon^{(\lambda)} \cdot \epsilon^{(\lambda')*} = g_{\lambda\lambda'}$$

for $\lambda = \pm$.

$$\epsilon_k = |\vec{k}|$$

as photons have zero mass

$\epsilon^L \cdot \epsilon^{L*} = 0 \Rightarrow$ zero probability.

The Hamiltonian: $H = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \epsilon_k a_{\vec{k},\lambda}^+ a_{\vec{k},\lambda}$

no longitudinal photon contribution to Hamiltonian and, therefore, time-evolution.

\Rightarrow proper way is to require that all physical states have $\partial_\mu A^{\mu(+)} |\psi\rangle = 0$ (positive frequencies) while quantizing by adding a term like $\lambda (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2$ to the Hamiltonian. (a constraint)

Time evolution: $-i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A_\mu = [H, A_\mu]$ as usual.

Quark Model and Group Theory

Quarks.

many meson & baryon resonances were discovered in the 1960's. People wanted to organize the data: they started noticing some pattern.

Take p & n (known from 1932): both are nucleons with spin $1/2$. They have almost identical masses: $M_p = 938 \text{ MeV}$, $M_n = 940 \text{ MeV}$. Proton has charge $+e$, neutron has charge 0 .