

Last time

$$\vec{L} = -i \vec{x} \times \vec{\nabla}$$

rotation generators

$$\vec{K} = -i [x^0 \vec{\nabla} + \vec{x} \partial_0]$$

boost -1-

Such that

$$e^{\frac{i}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu}} = e^{-i \vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{K} + i \vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{L}} = \Lambda$$

← Lorentz transform.

Scalar field: $\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi'(x') = \phi(x)$, $x' = \Lambda x$

such that $\phi'(x) = \phi(\Lambda^{-1}x)$

Def. $U(\Lambda)$:

$$\phi'(x) = U(\Lambda) \phi(x)$$

Show that

$$U(\Lambda) = e^{-\frac{i}{2} \omega^{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu}} = e^{i \vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{K} - i \vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{L}}$$

What about particles with spin? In the spirit of angular momentum $\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$ we defined

$$J_{\mu\nu} = L_{\mu\nu} + S_{\mu\nu}$$

where $[L_{\alpha\beta}, S_{\mu\nu}] = 0$ and $[S_{\mu\nu}, S_{\rho\sigma}]$ is defined by the same algebra as $L_{\alpha\beta}$.

Defining $J_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} J_{jk}$ and $K_i = J_{0i}$ we get

$$[J_i, J_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} J_k$$

$$[K_i, K_j] = -i \epsilon_{ijk} J_k$$

$$[J_i, K_j] = i \epsilon_{ijk} K_k$$

Lorentz group algebra.

Def.

$$\vec{N}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{J} \pm i\vec{K})$$

(note: \vec{J}, \vec{K} ~ hermitian, \vec{N}_{\pm} are not)

We got

$$[N_{+i}, N_{+j}] = i \epsilon_{ijk} N_{+k}$$

$$[N_{-i}, N_{-j}] = i \epsilon_{ijk} N_{-k}$$

$$[N_{+i}, N_{-j}] = 0$$

SU(2)

SU(2)

We split Lorentz group into SU(2) @ SU(2).

Analogy:	Our SU(2)	Ang. mom. operator in QM
operators	\vec{N}_{+} (or \vec{N}_{-})	\vec{J}
	\vec{N}_{+}^2	\vec{J}^2
eigenvalues	$n_{+}(n_{+}+1)$	$j(j+1)$
space it acts on	$ n_{+}\rangle$ representations of L. group of diff. spin	$ j\rangle$ ~ definite spin states

Classify all representations of $SO(3,1) = SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$

by eigenvalues of \vec{N}_{+}^2 & \vec{N}_{-}^2 : (n_{+}, n_{-}) .

Net spin : $S = n_{+} + n_{-}$.

Classification of fields

$(0, 0)$	spin-0	scalar field $\varphi(x)$	1 d.o.f.
$(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$	spin- $\frac{1}{2}$	left-handed spinor $\chi_L = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}$	2 d.o.f.
$(0, \frac{1}{2})$		right-handed spinor $\chi_R = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_3 \\ \chi_4 \end{pmatrix}$	2 d.o.f.
		Dirac spinor $\Psi : (\frac{1}{2}, 0) \oplus (0, \frac{1}{2})$	
$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	spin-1	vector field $A_\mu(x)$ $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = (\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes (0, \frac{1}{2})$	4 d.o.f.
$(1, 0)$	spin-1	$B_{\mu\nu} = -B_{\nu\mu}, B_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} B^{\rho\sigma}$	3 d.o.f.
$(0, 1)$	spin-1	$B_{\mu\nu} = -B_{\nu\mu}, B_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} B^{\rho\sigma}$	3 d.o.f.
$(1, \frac{1}{2}) \oplus (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$	spin- $\frac{3}{2}$	ψ^μ Rarita-Schwinger field $\delta^\mu \psi_\mu = 0$ constr.	6+6 d.o.f. = 12 d.o.f. = 16-4
$(1, 1)$	spin-2	$g_{\mu\nu} \sim$ graviton field $\delta^\mu{}_\mu = 5$	9 d.o.f. = 10-1

(BTW, $A \oplus B = A \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes B$)

(1,1) spin-2, symmetric rank-2 tensor

e.g. $g_{\mu\nu} \sim$ gravitons, $T_{\mu\nu} \sim$ energy-momentum tensor
 $3 \times 3 = 9$ d.o.f. ($g_{\mu\nu}$ has 10 d.o.f, but as $g^{\mu}_{\mu} = 4 \Rightarrow$ really 9).

Spinor Representations $\chi_L = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \chi_R = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_3 \\ \chi_4 \end{pmatrix}$

Weyl spinors $\left\{ \begin{aligned} \chi_L(x) &\rightarrow \chi'_L(x') \equiv \Lambda_L \chi_L(x) \text{ for } (\frac{1}{2}, 0) \\ \chi_R(x) &\rightarrow \chi'_R(x') \equiv \Lambda_R \chi_R(x) \text{ for } (0, \frac{1}{2}) \end{aligned} \right.$

$\chi_{L,R}$ have 2 components each $\Rightarrow \Lambda_{L,R}$ are 2×2 matrices

Generalizing $U = e^{i\vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{K} - i\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{L}}$ from scalar

field we write $\vec{L} \rightarrow \vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S} = \vec{L} + \frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma}$ (SU(2) property)

where $\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

are Pauli matrices; also $\vec{K} = -i[x^0 \vec{\nabla} + \vec{x} \partial_0] - \frac{i}{2}\vec{\sigma}$

$\Rightarrow \chi'_L(x) = U_L(\Lambda) \chi_L(x) = e^{i\vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{K} - i\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{J}} \chi_L(x)$

$= e^{i\vec{\xi} \cdot (-\frac{i}{2}\vec{\sigma}) - i\vec{\theta} \cdot \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}} \chi_L(\Lambda^{-1}x)$

$\Rightarrow \chi'_L(x') = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\theta} + i\vec{\xi})} \chi_L(x) = \Lambda_L \chi_L(x)$

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda_L = e^{-\frac{i}{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\theta} + i \frac{\vec{\gamma}}{2})}$$

satisfies $[N_+^i, N_+^j] = i \epsilon^{ijk} N_+^k$

$$\vec{N}_+ = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{L} + i \vec{K}) \xrightarrow{+\frac{1}{2} \vec{\sigma}} = \frac{1}{2} \vec{J} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} + i \vec{K} \right) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \vec{J} + \frac{i}{2} \left(\vec{K} - i \frac{191}{2} \right) \Rightarrow \text{had } -\frac{i}{2} \vec{\sigma} \text{ in } \vec{K}.$$

new \vec{K}

$$\vec{N}_- \sim \text{just } \vec{J} \rightarrow -\vec{J} \Rightarrow \Lambda_R = e^{-\frac{i}{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\theta} - i \frac{\vec{\gamma}}{2})}$$

$\Rightarrow +\frac{i}{2} \vec{\sigma}$ in \vec{K}

(note: $\vec{\sigma}$ in Λ_L & Λ_R operate in different spaces)

$$\left(\vec{N}_- = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{L} - i \vec{K}) + \frac{1}{2} \vec{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \vec{J} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2} - i \vec{K} \right) = \right.$$

$$\left. = \frac{1}{2} \vec{J} + \frac{i}{2} \left(\vec{K} + i \frac{191}{2} \right) \Rightarrow \text{gives } \Lambda_R \text{ above.} \right.$$

new \vec{K} for \vec{N}_-

Λ_L & Λ_R are not unitary:

$$\Lambda_R^+ = \Lambda_L^{-1} \quad \text{also} \quad \Lambda_L^+ = \Lambda_R^{-1}$$

Def.

Require that the fields are parity eigenstates:

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) \oplus \left(0, \frac{1}{2} \right) \Rightarrow \underline{\text{Dirac spinors}}$$

$$\Psi_D = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

4-component object.

Under Lorentz transformation ψ_0 becomes:

$$\psi_0(x) \Rightarrow \psi'_0(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_L & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda_R \end{pmatrix} \psi_0(\Lambda^{-1}x).$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{i}{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\theta} + i \frac{\vec{\zeta}}{\lambda})} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{i}{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{\theta} - i \frac{\vec{\zeta}}{\lambda})} \end{pmatrix}$$

Can we construct a Lorentz-invariant object?

$\psi^\dagger \psi = \chi_L^\dagger \chi_L + \chi_R^\dagger \chi_R$ is not L. inv., as

$\chi_L \rightarrow \Lambda_L \chi_L, \quad \chi_L^\dagger \rightarrow \chi_L^\dagger \Lambda_L^\dagger = \chi_L^\dagger \Lambda_R^{-1}$

$\Rightarrow \chi_L^\dagger \chi_L = \chi_L^\dagger \Lambda_R^{-1} \Lambda_L \chi_L$ not unitary \Rightarrow not inv.

Def. Dirac γ -matrices (in Weyl representation):

$\{A, B\} = AB + BA$

$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}$

anti-commutator.

\Rightarrow Def. $\bar{\psi} \equiv \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$

$\bar{\psi}_\alpha = (\psi^\dagger)_\beta (\gamma^0)_{\beta\alpha}$

$\bar{\psi} = (\chi_L^\dagger \chi_R^\dagger) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (\chi_R^\dagger \chi_L^\dagger).$

$$\bar{\Psi} \Psi = \Psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \Psi = (\chi_L^\dagger \quad \chi_R^\dagger) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \chi_L^\dagger \chi_R + \chi_R^\dagger \chi_L \Rightarrow \mathcal{L} \text{ invariant!}$$

(check: $\chi_L^\dagger \chi_R \rightarrow \chi_L^\dagger \underbrace{\Lambda_L^\dagger \Lambda_R}_{\Lambda_R^{-1}} \chi_R = \chi_L^\dagger \chi_R$!)

Def. $\gamma^5 = i \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$ (Weyl representation)

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\Psi} \gamma^5 \Psi = (\chi_L^\dagger \quad \chi_R^\dagger) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_L \\ \chi_R \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \chi_L^\dagger \chi_R - \chi_R^\dagger \chi_L \Rightarrow \mathcal{L} \text{ inv. too! } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +\mathbb{1} \\ -\mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

but: $\mathbb{P} : \chi_L \rightarrow \chi_R, \chi_R \rightarrow \chi_L \Rightarrow$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P} : \bar{\Psi} \gamma^5 \Psi \rightarrow -\bar{\Psi} \gamma^5 \Psi$ changes sign

$\Rightarrow \bar{\Psi} \gamma^5 \Psi \sim$ pseudoscalar.

$\Rightarrow \bar{\Psi} \Psi \sim$ Lorentz scalar
 $\bar{\Psi} \gamma^5 \Psi \sim$ pseudoscalar

But: we need to find a Lagrangian \Rightarrow need ∂_μ 's
 \Rightarrow need vectors!