

Last time: defined Dirac spinors $u_r(\vec{k})$ & $v_r(\vec{k})$:

$$u_r(\vec{k}) = \sqrt{\epsilon_{\vec{k}+m}} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_r \\ \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}}{\epsilon_{\vec{k}+m}} \chi_r \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_r(\vec{k}) = \sqrt{\epsilon_{\vec{k}+m}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{k}}{\epsilon_{\vec{k}+m}} \chi_r \\ \chi_r \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\chi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$r=1, 2$

Solution of Dirac equation. General solution is

$$\psi(x) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\epsilon_k} \sum_{r=1}^2 \left\{ \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}^+ u_r(\vec{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} + \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}^+ v_r(\vec{k}) e^{ik \cdot x} \right\}$$

Quantized free Dirac field: \hat{b}, \hat{d}^+ operators.

showed that for \hat{H} to give energy ≥ 0 need

anti-commutation relations:

$$\left\{ \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}^+, \hat{b}_{\vec{k}',r'}^+ \right\} = \left\{ \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}^+, \hat{d}_{\vec{k}',r'}^+ \right\} = (2\pi)^3 2\epsilon_k \delta_{rr'} \delta^3(\vec{k}-\vec{k}')$$

(all other anti-commutators are 0.)

$$\text{Then } \hat{H} = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\epsilon_k} \sum_{r=1}^2 \epsilon_k \left[\hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}^+ \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r} + \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}^+ \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r} \right].$$

equal-time canonical anti-commutation relations:

$$\left\{ \psi_\alpha(\vec{x}, t), \bar{\psi}_\beta(\vec{y}, t) \right\} = i \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y})$$

$$\left\{ \psi_\alpha(\vec{x}, t), \psi_\beta(\vec{y}, t) \right\} = \left\{ \psi_\alpha^+(\vec{x}, t), \psi_\beta^+(\vec{y}, t) \right\} = 0$$

$\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$
Dirac indices

Conserved $U(1)$ current: $j^\mu = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi$, $\partial^\mu j_\mu = 0$

Conserved charge is

$$Q = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\epsilon_k} \sum_{r=1}^2 \left[\hat{b}_{\vec{k},r}^+ \hat{b}_{\vec{k},r} - \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r}^+ \hat{d}_{\vec{k},r} \right]$$

\hat{b}^+ ~ creates particles

\hat{d}^+ ~ creates anti-particles

Fock states: $\hat{b}_{\vec{k}_1, r_1}^+ \hat{b}_{\vec{k}_2, r_2}^+ \hat{d}_{\vec{k}_3, r_3}^+ \dots |0\rangle$

$$\left(\hat{b}_{\vec{k}, r}^+ \right)^2 |0\rangle = 0 \quad (\text{Pauli exclusion principle})$$

Quantization of Vector Field A_μ

76

Lagrangian and EOM.

Consider a vector field $A_\mu(x)$. What is its Lagrangian?

Start with Dirac field $\psi(x)$ with Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} [i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m] \psi.$$

This Lagrangian is invariant under

$$\begin{cases} \psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} \psi(x) & (\text{global symmetry}) \\ \bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha} \bar{\psi}(x). \end{cases}$$

Global symmetry: α is independent of x^μ , the same transformation for all points in space.

Let's make it a local symmetry: we want the Lagrangian to be symmetric under

$$\begin{cases} \psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x) & (\text{local symmetry}) \\ \bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha(x)} \bar{\psi}(x). \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha(x)$ is a (real-valued) function now.

What happens to \mathcal{L} ?

$$\bar{\psi} [i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m] \psi \rightarrow \bar{\psi} e^{-i\alpha(x)} [i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m] e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi =$$

$$= \bar{\psi} [i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m] \psi - \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \alpha) \psi$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{Dirac}$ is not invariant under local $U(1)$ symm!

\Rightarrow Fix this by introducing gauge field A_μ :

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} [i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m] \psi$$

where

Def. $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu$ is the covariant derivative.

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \bar{\psi} [i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m] \psi - e\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi A_\mu$$

(Remember in E&M: $\mathcal{L}_{int.} = -j^\mu A_\mu \sim$ interaction Lagrangian)

\Rightarrow in Dirac theory conserved $U(1)$ current

is $j^\mu = e\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{int} = -j^\mu A_\mu = -e\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi A_\mu.$

\sim we have not done anything new compared to E&M.)

Demand that the $U(1)$ local transformation is

$$\begin{cases} \psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x), & \bar{\psi} \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha} \bar{\psi}. \\ A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A_\mu - \frac{1}{e} \partial_\mu \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \bar{\psi} [i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m] \psi - \cancel{\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \alpha) \psi} - e\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi.$$

$$\cdot (A_\mu - \frac{1}{e} \partial_\mu \alpha) = \mathcal{L}_1 \Rightarrow \text{now } \mathcal{L}_1 \text{ is invariant!}$$

However, we need a Lagrangian for A_μ field (78) itself! We impose usual requirements (like for scalar field φ): at most quadratic in A_μ, ∂_μ . On top of that require that \mathcal{L}_{A_μ} is gauge-invariant, i.e., invariant under

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu - \frac{1}{e} \partial_\mu \alpha.$$

Start by constructing a gauge-invariant field strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$:

(Def.) $F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ (field strength tensor)

There is also dual field strength tensor

$$\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}$$

$$\epsilon^{0123} = +1, \quad \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = -\epsilon^{\nu\mu\rho\sigma}, \dots$$

Lorentz-invariants are:

$$F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}, \quad F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}, \quad \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$$

However, $F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = -\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow$ down to two.

Now, one can easily show that $F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu K^\mu$

with some 4-vector K^M . Hence

$$\int d^4x F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \int d^4x \partial_\mu K^M = \int_{\text{surface (3dim)}} d\sigma_\mu K^M \Rightarrow$$

\Rightarrow this is the surface term, does not give any classical dynamics \Rightarrow no good to use $F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ for Lagrangian.

\Rightarrow only $F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ is left.

Matching the constants on EM get

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

The full Lagrangian for spinors (electrons) interacting with gauge field (photons) is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}} = \bar{\psi} [i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m] \psi - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

QED = Quantum Electro Dynamics.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}} = \underbrace{\bar{\psi} [i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m] \psi}_{\text{Dirac free field terms}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}}_{\text{gauge}} - \underbrace{e \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi A_\mu}_{\text{interaction term (consider later)}}$$

Take

$$\mathcal{L}_{EM} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - j^\mu A_\mu$$

80

Let's find its equations of motion. Euler-Lagrange equations are:

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta A_\mu} - \partial_\nu \left[\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta (\partial_\nu A_\mu)} \right] = 0$$

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta A_\mu} = -j^\mu; \quad \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta (\partial_\nu A_\mu)} = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\delta (F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta})}{\delta (\partial_\nu A_\mu)} =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\gamma\delta} \frac{\delta (F_{\alpha\beta} F_{\gamma\delta})}{\delta (\partial_\nu A_\mu)} = -\frac{1}{4} g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\gamma\delta} \left[(\delta_\alpha^\nu \delta_\beta^\mu - \delta_\alpha^\mu \delta_\beta^\nu) F_{\gamma\delta} + F_{\alpha\beta} (\delta_\gamma^\nu \delta_\delta^\mu - \delta_\gamma^\mu \delta_\delta^\nu) \right] =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \left[F^{\nu\mu} - F^{\mu\nu} + F^{\nu\mu} - F^{\mu\nu} \right] = F^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta (\partial_\nu A_\mu)} = F^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{EOM are } -j^\mu - \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\partial_\nu F^{\nu\mu} = j^\mu}$$

Maxwell equations
(as expected)

$$\boxed{F_{\mu\nu} = [D_\mu D_\nu - D_\nu D_\mu] \frac{-i}{e}} \quad (\text{useful formula})$$

$$\partial_\nu F^{\nu\mu} = j^\mu \Rightarrow \partial_\nu (\partial^\nu A^\mu - \partial^\mu A^\nu) = j^\mu \quad (81)$$

$$\Rightarrow \square A^\mu - \partial^\mu \partial_\nu A^\nu = j^\mu$$

Canonical Quantization of ^{free} vector field ($j^\mu=0$)

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

Two ways to do canonical quantization:

(II) in Lorenz gauge $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow \square A^\mu = 0$

Now, Lorenz gauge has gauge sub-freedom:

$$A^\mu \rightarrow A'^\mu = A^\mu + \partial^\mu \Lambda \Rightarrow \text{want } \partial_\mu A'^\mu = 0 \text{ too}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{if } \partial_\mu A^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow \text{need } \square \Lambda = 0 \Rightarrow \text{for any}$$

such Λ still have $\partial_\mu A'^\mu = 0$.

$$\text{Choose } \Lambda \text{ such that } A^0 = -\partial^0 \Lambda \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow A'^0 = 0, \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}' = 0 \Rightarrow \text{can work}$$

(I) in Coulomb gauge $A^0 = 0, \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0$
(radiation)

Can one always pick this gauge? $\Lambda = -\frac{1}{\partial_0} A^0$

$$\Rightarrow \text{plug back into } \square \Lambda = 0 \Rightarrow ((\partial_0)^2 - \vec{\nabla}^2) \Lambda = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow -\partial_0 A^0 = \vec{\nabla}^2 \Lambda \Rightarrow \Lambda = -\frac{\partial_0}{\vec{\nabla}^2} A^0 = -\frac{1}{\partial_0} A^0$$

$$\Rightarrow [(\partial_0)^2 - \vec{\nabla}^2] A^0 = 0 \Rightarrow \square A^0 = 0 \sim 0^{\text{th}} \text{ component of}$$

Maxwell equations \Rightarrow valid!