



ACADEMIC  
PRESS

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy 220 (2003) 150–152

Journal of  
MOLECULAR  
SPECTROSCOPY

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jms](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jms)

Note

## The millimeter-wave spectrum of chlorine nitrate ( $\text{ClONO}_2$ ): the $3\nu_9$ and $\nu_7\nu_9$ interacting dyad<sup>☆</sup>

Rebecca A.H. Butler,<sup>a</sup> Douglas T. Petkie,<sup>b</sup> Paul Helminger,<sup>c</sup> and Frank C. De Lucia<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210-1106, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Physics, Wright State University, Dayton, OH 45435, USA

<sup>c</sup> Department of Physics, University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL 36688, USA

Received 8 July 2002; in revised form 20 September 2002

There is a growing interplay between infrared observations of rotation–vibration transitions and microwave measurements of the rotational structure of the vibrational states. This interplay has perhaps been most extensive in  $\text{HNO}_3$  (e.g., [1–3]).  $\text{ClONO}_2$  is a similar, but more challenging case for both infrared and microwave analysis. In the infrared, the closely spaced transitions make the resolution of individual lines difficult. In the microwave, the large number of thermally populated vibrational states makes assignment of the rotational spectrum of higher lying vibrational states challenging.

The pure rotational spectra of the lowest lying states have been studied in the millimeter and submillimeter regions: the ground and  $\nu_9$  states [4–6], and the  $2\nu_9/\nu_7$  dyad [7]. Because of the dense rotational structure of  $\text{ClONO}_2$ , the analysis of the  $2\nu_9/\nu_7$  dyad involved several different level crossings, numerous couplings, and extensive perturbations, especially when modeled at microwave accuracy. This is also the case for the coupled dyad of  $3\nu_9$  and  $\nu_7\nu_9$ , which is the next step in our goal of analyzing the thermally populated states.

The chlorine nitrate was synthesized using the reaction between chlorine monofluoride and lead nitrate [8]. Data were taken with a FASSST system [9] between 122 and 356 GHz, and with a phase-locked BWO between 78 and 118 GHz. Pickett's SPFIT program suite [10] was used to fit the data and to generate predictions.

For the  $^{35}\text{ClONO}_2$  isotope, 3559 lines were fit for an rms of 110 kHz. The  $^{37}\text{ClONO}_2$  isotope had 3259 lines assigned for an rms of 107 kHz. It is of interest to

compare these fits with the fits for the  $2\nu_9$  and  $\nu_7$  dyad [7]. For example, for  $2\nu_9$  and  $\nu_7$ ,  $\Delta E_v = 16.793476(24)$  and  $15.214766(42)\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for  $^{35}\text{Cl}$  and  $^{37}\text{Cl}$ , respectively. For  $3\nu_9$  and  $\nu_7\nu_9$ ,  $\Delta E_v = 24.321668(18)$  and  $22.76589(13)\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . This larger  $\Delta E_v$  shifts the perturbations higher in  $K_a$ ; for  $^{35}\text{Cl}$ , the  $\Delta K_a = 2$  perturbation that was between  $K_a = 15$  and  $13$  for  $2\nu_9$  and  $\nu_7$  is now between  $K_a = 22$  and  $20$  for  $3\nu_9$  and  $\nu_7\nu_9$ . Similarly the main  $\Delta K_a = 3$  perturbation that was between  $K_a = 11$  and  $8$  is shifted to  $K_a = 15$  and  $12$ . The shifts in  $^{37}\text{Cl}$  are from  $14$  and  $12$  to  $20$  and  $18$ , and from  $10$  and  $7$  to  $14$  and  $11$ . Another change is that the sharp (few  $J$  affected) perturbations in low  $K_a$  were previously  $\Delta K_a = 2$  and  $\Delta K_a = 3$  interactions in  $2\nu_9$  and  $\nu_7$ , now they are  $\Delta K_a = 4$  and  $\Delta K_a = 5$  interactions for  $3\nu_9$  and  $\nu_7\nu_9$ .

The transitions at the peak of these sharp perturbations also have a change in the quadrupole pattern; usually four degenerate transitions become a doublet of two degenerate transitions. For the  $^{37}\text{Cl}$  isotope, with a third less intensity than the  $^{35}\text{Cl}$ , this additional decrease in line intensity resulted in these highly perturbed lines not being assigned with any accuracy, and they were not used in the fit. Perturbed lines on either side of the peak were included. A separate analysis was done for each isotopomer with a Watson's  $S$  reduction Hamiltonian in the  $I'$  representation. A complete list of measured transitions and the details of the fit can be found in the journal's on-line supplemental material. The constants used in the fits are listed in Table 1.

Because of the fitting indeterminacy between the vibrational energy separation and the first order Fermi and Coriolis constants, these constants have been set to zero, as in our earlier analysis of the  $\nu_7/2\nu_9$  [11]. This choice impacts the definitions of these mixed states and the derived constants. Additionally, as we have shown

<sup>☆</sup>Supplementary data for this article are available on ScienceDirect.

\*Corresponding author. Fax: 1-614-292-7557.

E-mail address: [fcd@mps.ohio-state.edu](mailto:fcd@mps.ohio-state.edu) (F.C. De Lucia).

Table 1  
Rotational, centrifugal distortion, and perturbation constants (MHz)

Parameter	ClNO <sub>3</sub>		<sup>37</sup> ClNO <sub>3</sub>	
	$\nu_7\nu_9$	$3\nu_9$	$\nu_7\nu_9$	$3\nu_9$
<i>A</i>	11998.2681(138)	11851.6172(41)	11993.0950(236)	11853.6515(229)
<i>B</i>	2771.22763(43)	2776.033420(301)	2695.54060(43)	2700.13364(39)
<i>C</i>	2256.86549(33)	2267.908567(252)	2206.60557(40)	2216.81612(36)
<i>D<sub>J</sub></i> × 10 <sup>4</sup>	4.92048(79)	5.39778(64)	4.69246(75)	5.20900(72)
<i>D<sub>JK</sub></i> × 10 <sup>3</sup>	4.71417(155)	3.02386(129)	4.68506(140)	2.76974(135)
<i>D<sub>K</sub></i> × 10 <sup>2</sup>	−0.3013(114)	1.7344(59)	−0.3082(161)	1.8774(122)
<i>d<sub>1</sub></i> × 10 <sup>4</sup>	−1.06375(87)	−0.85811(73)	−1.01044(85)	−0.78900(80)
<i>d<sub>2</sub></i> × 10 <sup>5</sup>	−1.43332(677) <sup>a</sup>	−1.94529(394)	−1.28558(875)	−1.80364(795)
<i>H<sub>J</sub></i> × 10 <sup>10</sup>	−0.7625(999)	−2.5717(895)	−1.1553(971)	−2.488(102)
<i>H<sub>JK</sub></i> × 10 <sup>8</sup>	−0.9583(239)	0.2366(161)	−1.1432(214)	0.1771(207)
<i>H<sub>JK</sub></i> × 10 <sup>7</sup>	2.4658(179)	2.7687(153)	3.5277(309)	−3.7906(312)
<i>H<sub>K</sub></i> × 10 <sup>6</sup>	−4.472(181)	—	—	—
<i>h<sub>1</sub></i> × 10 <sup>10</sup>	−1.594(118)	1.220(99)	−1.803(119)	1.127(113)
<i>h<sub>2</sub></i> × 10 <sup>11</sup>	7.53(117)	−4.25(73)	7.19(128)	−6.22(117)
<i>h<sub>3</sub></i> × 10 <sup>11</sup>	−0.893(353)	2.02(32)	—	—
<b>Interaction constants</b>				
<i>F<sub>400v<sub>v'</sub></sub></i>		0.4229(42)		0.3857(78)
<i>F<sub>410v<sub>v'</sub></sub></i> × 10 <sup>5</sup>		−9.263(969)		−6.92(218)
<i>F<sub>500v<sub>v'</sub></sub></i> × 10 <sup>7</sup>		3.37(120)		4.83(221)
<i>F<sub>6100v<sub>v'</sub></sub></i>		−2.097(99)		−0.775(95)
<i>F<sub>6101v<sub>v'</sub></sub></i> × 10 <sup>4</sup>		−1.252(29)		1.643(46)
<i>F<sub>6200v<sub>v'</sub></sub></i> × 10 <sup>2</sup>		0.720(15)		−1.196(15)
<i>F<sub>6400v<sub>v'</sub></sub></i> × 10 <sup>8</sup>		−1.357(135)		7.124(204)
<i>E<sub>v<sub>7+9</sub></sub></i> − <i>E<sub>3+9</sub></i>		729650.03(53) MHz		682976.6(40) MHz
		24.321668(18) cm <sup>−1</sup>		22.76589(13) cm <sup>−1</sup>
rms		110.4 kHz		107.4 kHz

Note. The quadrupole constants were fixed at the values of [6] for this analysis.

<sup>a</sup> The corresponding constant in  $\nu_7$  of [7] should also be negative.

Table 2  
Off-diagonal terms used for perturbations in the *I'* representation

SPFIT parameter	$\Delta K_a$	Hamiltonian term
$(3+q)nmv'$ $q = 1, 2, \dots$	$2q$	$\frac{1}{4} \left[ [J_+^{2q} + J_-^{2q}, J_a^{2n}]_+, J^{2m} \right]_+$
$6qnmv'$ $q = 0, 2, 4, \dots$	$q + 1$	$\frac{1}{8} \left[ [(J_+^{q+1} - J_-^{q+1}), J_a^{2n}]_+, J^{2m} \right]_+$
$6qnmv'$ $q = 1, 3, 5, \dots$	$q$	$\frac{1}{8} \sqrt{\frac{q+1}{2}} \left[ [J_a, (J_+^q + J_-^q)]_+, J_a^{2n} \right]_+, J^{2m} \right]_+$

$$J_+ = J_b + iJ_c, J_- = J_b - iJ_c, [A, B]_+ = AB + BA.$$

*I'* representation ( $x = b, y = c, z = a$ ).

for the rotational constants of the mixed  $\nu_5/2\nu_9$  dyad in HNO<sub>3</sub> [11], while the values of the constants in the individual states can vary significantly as a function of this choice, their averages are quite constant. For example, for the dyad discussed here the averaged values of the P4 distortion parameters are very similar to the values obtained for the ground and unperturbed  $\nu_9$ .

The off-diagonal interaction terms are labeled according to their parameter identification values in SPFIT. To assist going between the SPFIT code and the interaction terms in the Hamiltonian, the parameters for the *I'* representation are listed in Table 2. The first item is for anharmonic perturbations, with  $\Delta K_a = \pm 2, \pm 4, \dots$  and the second and third are for Coriolis-type perturbations with  $\Delta K_a = \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots$

While the general form of the interaction operators is quite clear from the on-line documentation for SPFIT (found at [spec.jpl.nasa.gov](http://spec.jpl.nasa.gov)), the specific combination of operators and multipliers is less clear. For future reference we have provided Table 2 as a reference. These operators were verified by checking the energy levels from hand calculations against the output of SPCAT. To make earlier constants consistent with these publications, the following parameters should be divided by a factor of 2: [12] parameters  $W_K$  and  $W_J$ , [13] parameters  $F_{j^2, \pm}, F_{k^2, \pm}$ , [11] parameters  $D_{ab}, E_{\rho \pm J}$  and the group of parameters in Eq. (5) that are anti-commuted with  $J_{bc}^2$ , the group of parameters in [7] in Eq. (1) that are anti-commuted with  $J_{bc}^2$ , and the parameter  $C_{abK}$  corresponds to the operator  $\frac{1}{2} [J_a^2, [J_a, J_b]_+]_+$ .

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank NASA for its support of this work.

## References

- [1] A. Maki, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* 136 (1989) 105.
- [2] E.G. Looi, T.L. Lan, W.F. Wang, P.P. Ong, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* 176 (1996) 222–225.
- [3] A. Perrin, *Spectrochim. Acta A* 54 (1998) 375–393.
- [4] R.D. Suenram, D.R. Johnson, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* 65 (1977) 239–248.
- [5] R.D. Suenram, F.J. Lovas, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* 105 (1984) 351–359.
- [6] H.S.P. Müller, P. Helminger, S.H. Young, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* 181 (1997) 363–378.
- [7] R.A.H. Butler, S. Albert, D.T. Petkie, P. Helminger, F.C. De Lucia, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* 213 (2002) 8–14.
- [8] G. Brauer (Ed.), *Handbuch der Präparativen Anorganischen Chemie*, vol. 1, third ed., Enke Verlag, Stuttgart, 1975.
- [9] D.T. Petkie, T.M. Goyette, R.P.A. Bettens, S.P. Belov, S. Albert, P. Helminger, F.C. De Lucia, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 68 (1997) 1675–1683.
- [10] H.M. Pickett, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* 148 (1991) 371–377.
- [11] D.T. Petkie, T.M. Goyette, P. Helminger, H.M. Pickett, F.C. De Lucia, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* 208 (2001) 121–135.
- [12] D.T. Petkie, T.M. Goyette, F.C. De Lucia, P. Helminger, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* 171 (1995) 145–159.
- [13] T.M. Goyette, L.C. Oesterling, D.T. Petkie, R.A. Booker, P. Helminger, F.C. De Lucia, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* 175 (1996) 395–410.