

The Submillimeter Wave Spectrum of $^{32}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}_2$,
 $^{32}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}_2(\nu_2)$, and $^{34}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}_2$ ¹

PAUL A. HELMINGER

Department of Physics, University of South Alabama, Mobile, Alabama 36688

AND

FRANK C. DE LUCIA

Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27706

Extensive new measurements in the region 400–1000 GHz have been made on $^{32}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}_2$, $^{32}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}_2(\nu_2)$, and $^{34}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}_2$. These measurements represent almost a threefold extension in the frequency region for which high-resolution microwave data are available. These data have been combined with the previously available microwave data for this analysis. The results, when extrapolated into the far infrared, compare favorably with recent results obtained from high-resolution FIR spectroscopy. © 1985 Academic Press, Inc.

I. INTRODUCTION

Sulfur dioxide has been the object of many spectroscopic studies. Lovas (1) has noted that more than 3000 lines, including lines in excited states and isotopic species, have been observed in the microwave region. On the basis of these data, he reanalyzed many of the spectra in order to provide both improved spectral constants and “complete” spectral maps for this important and pervasive species. More recently, Sattler *et al.* (2) have combined these data with the results of a diode laser study of ν_1 to calculate new spectroscopic constants.

Carlotti *et al.* (3) have recorded and analyzed a very-high-resolution (0.004 cm^{-1}) FIR spectrum in the region $8\text{--}90\text{ cm}^{-1}$. In that work 1500 lines were assigned to the ground vibrational state of $^{32}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}_2$. Of these, 1061 were retained and used along with the existing microwave data as the basis for a centrifugal distortion analysis. A large number of the remaining unassigned lines are presumably due to the $^{34}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}_2$ ground state or the $^{32}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}_2$ bending mode. Carlotti *et al.* point out that the previous analyses (1, 2), when extrapolated to predict the frequencies of the highest K_a lines, differ from their FIR observations by 0.01 cm^{-1} .

In this work we have extended the microwave data set into the region between 450 and 1000 GHz for the ground and first excited vibrational states of $^{32}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}_2$ and for the ground state of $^{34}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}_2$. These lines contain substantial new information

¹ This work supported by NASA Grant NSG-7540.

TABLE I
³²S¹⁶O₂ Ground State Transition Frequencies (MHz)

Transition	Observed	O-C	Transition	Observed	O-C
6 6 0 ⁻ 5 5 1	676484.398	-0.011	40 ₁₃ 27 ⁻ 41 ₁₂ 30	475196.476	-0.061
8 6 2 ⁻ 7 5 3	714779.583	0.055	40 ₁₄ 26 ⁻ 41 ₁₃ 29	573977.528	0.060
9 7 3 ⁻ 8 6 2	835294.838	-0.062	42 7 35 ⁻ 42 6 36	614113.682	0.065
11 6 6 ⁻ 10 5 5	772188.250	0.163	41 ₁₃ 29 ⁻ 42 ₁₂ 30	455809.962	-0.106
11 7 5 ⁻ 10 6 4	873600.726	0.155	42 ₁₄ 28 ⁻ 43 ₁₃ 31	535433.877	0.022
12 7 5 ⁻ 11 6 6	892745.905	-0.367	43 ₁₄ 30 ⁻ 44 ₁₃ 31	516132.728	-0.063
13 5 9 ⁻ 12 4 8	708392.542	0.091	43 ₁₅ 29 ⁻ 44 ₁₄ 30	614155.815	0.057
14 6 8 ⁻ 13 5 9	829477.953	0.044	45 3 43 ⁻ 45 2 44	684929.069	0.157
14 8 6 ⁻ 15 7 9	476621.547	0.012	45 6 40 ⁻ 45 5 41	565066.230	-0.073
17 ₁₀ 8 ⁻ 18 9 9	619888.424	0.047	46 1 45 ⁻ 46 0 46	766701.520	-0.074
19 5 15 ⁻ 18 4 14	820150.008	-0.105	46 3 43 ⁻ 46 2 44	616525.732	-0.126
20 6 14 ⁻ 19 5 15	943211.537	-0.121	46 4 42 ⁻ 45 5 41	768497.921	0.046
20 9 11 ⁻ 21 8 14	461875.905	0.004	45 ₁₄ 32 ⁻ 46 ₁₃ 33	477466.179	-0.038
23 6 18 ⁻ 23 5 19	556959.853	-0.065	45 ₁₆ 30 ⁻ 46 ₁₅ 31	672850.752	-0.014
22 ₁₂ 10 ⁻ 23 ₁₁ 13	722704.871	0.007	45 ₁₇ 29 ⁻ 46 ₁₆ 30	768898.313	-0.006
29 3 27 ⁻ 28 2 26	616472.410	0.101	47 1 47 ⁻ 46 0 46	834688.628	-0.005
31 2 30 ⁻ 30 1 29	576042.056	-0.052	46 ₁₄ 32 ⁻ 47 ₁₃ 35	458098.193	-0.048
30 ₁₁ 19 ⁻ 31 ₁₀ 22	469422.343	-0.036	48 4 44 ⁻ 48 3 45	530380.695	-0.065
30 ₁₂ 18 ⁻ 31 ₁₁ 21	569102.784	0.105	48 7 41 ⁻ 48 6 42	555750.334	0.027
33 ₁₄ 20 ⁻ 34 ₁₃ 21	708381.145	0.014	48 8 40 ⁻ 48 7 41	718681.046	0.056
35 2 34 ⁻ 35 1 35	571383.123	0.081	49 5 45 ⁻ 49 4 46	619796.181	-0.002
35 1 35 ⁻ 34 0 34	624344.489	-0.137	50 4 46 ⁻ 50 3 47	580132.501	0.068
35 ₁₂ 24 ⁻ 36 ₁₁ 25	472520.782	0.080	50 7 43 ⁻ 50 6 44	529606.882	-0.034
35 ₁₃ 23 ⁻ 36 ₁₂ 24	571775.154	-0.075	50 6 44 ⁻ 49 7 43	461410.201	0.038
35 ₁₄ 22 ⁻ 36 ₁₃ 23	670047.199	0.084	52 5 47 ⁻ 52 4 48	464664.644	0.053
37 2 36 ⁻ 37 1 37	606896.446	-0.046	54 5 49 ⁻ 54 4 50	515421.659	-0.006
37 3 35 ⁻ 36 2 34	709510.677	-0.096	54 7 47 ⁻ 54 6 48	476625.543	0.025
38 1 37 ⁻ 38 0 38	624108.138	0.032	56 5 51 ⁻ 56 4 52	568464.595	-0.039
37 ₁₃ 25 ⁻ 38 ₁₂ 26	533208.786	-0.091	56 7 49 ⁻ 56 6 50	455093.098	-0.025
40 2 38 ⁻ 40 1 39	590076.669	0.024	55 ₁₆ 40 ⁻ 56 ₁₅ 41	480838.305	-0.002
40 0 40 ⁻ 39 1 39	712010.554	0.056	57 7 51 ⁻ 57 6 52	667419.837	-0.083
41 5 37 ⁻ 41 4 38	517100.099	-0.008	56 ₁₆ 40 ⁻ 57 ₁₅ 43	461546.356	-0.049
41 3 39 ⁻ 40 2 38	771258.296	0.094	58 5 53 ⁻ 58 4 54	621617.968	0.063
			57 ₁₆ 42 ⁻ 58 ₁₅ 43	442234.475	-0.061

and make possible very accurate calculations throughout the millimeter, submillimeter, and well into the FIR.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

We have previously discussed the experimental techniques that we have developed for use in the millimeter and submillimeter spectral region (4-6). Briefly, a klystron, phase-locked to a frequency synthesized from an oscillator referenced to WWVB, is

TABLE II
Rotational Constants of Sulfur Dioxide (MHz)

Constant	$^{16}\text{S } ^{32}\text{O}_2$ $v_2=0$	$^{16}\text{S } ^{34}\text{O}_2$ $v_2=0$	$^{16}\text{S } ^{32}\text{O}_2$ $v_2=1$
A	60778.5522 ^a (26) ^b	58991.1859 (98)	61954.8239 (71)
B	10318.0722 (4)	10318.5100 (8)	10320.3975 (12)
C	8799.7023 (4)	8761.3026 (8)	8783.8567 (11)
$\Delta_J (\cdot 10^3)$	6.610013 (289)	6.56857 (74)	6.627049 (1215)
$\Delta_{JK} (\cdot 10^1)$	-1.169588 (33)	-1.11650 (9)	-1.220432 (85)
$\Delta_K (\cdot 10^0)$	2.5904328 (553)	2.440125 (92)	2.872636 (174)
$d_J (\cdot 10^3)$	1.701045 (46)	1.722141 (140)	1.711139 (85)
$d_K (\cdot 10^2)$	2.53531 (64)	2.4627 (5)	3.10147 (192)
$H_J (\cdot 10^8)$	1.0645 (63)	1.109 (19)	1.1766 (482)
$H_{JK} (\cdot 10^8)$	2.522 (260)	1.95 (85)	13.58 (107)
$H_{KJ} (\cdot 10^5)$	-1.93691 (158)	-1.7856 (83)	-2.2394 (91)
$H_K (\cdot 10^4)$	3.71799 (580)	3.37059 (839)	4.62598 (2054)
$h_J (\cdot 10^9)$	5.4326 (172)	5.394 (52)	5.5284 (693)
$h_{JK} (\cdot 10^8)$	-1.09 (32)	---	-3.79 (127)
$h_K (\cdot 10^5)$	1.6673 (107)	1.559 (35)	2.2723 (470)
$L_J (\cdot 10^{13})$	---	---	-1.63 (60)
$L_{KKJ} (\cdot 10^9)$	4.1512 (357)	3.305 (283)	4.685 (342)
$L_K (\cdot 10^8)$	-8.1384 (2467)	-5.940 (301)	12.728 (1032)
$P_K (\cdot 10^{11})$	1.704 (305)	---	6.511 (1777)
rms	0.071	0.097	0.108

a. The number of places retained in each constant is required so that the data may be reproduced from the constants.

b. One standard deviation in the units of the last quoted digit.

used to drive a harmonic generator. The output of this generator is focused through the sample cell via quasi-optical techniques and detected by an InSb detector operating at 1.5 K. For these experiments the sample cell was a 2-cm-diameter copper tube, 1 m in length. SO₂ was obtained from Matheson in its natural isotopic form and used without further purification.

III. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Sulfur dioxide is a relatively light asymmetric rotor with a large dipole moment and *b*-type transitions. As such, it has many strong transitions throughout the millimeter, submillimeter, and FIR spectral regions. At microwave resolution essentially all of these transitions are fully resolved. The earlier work, summarized by Lovas, made initial assignments straightforward, and additional transitions were assigned and measured by the usual bootstrap procedure involving cycles of analysis, prediction, and data acquisition. For this analysis we have used the *A*-reduced form

TABLE III

³²S¹⁶O₂ Excited State ($\nu_2 = 1$) Transition Frequencies (MHz)

Transition	Observed	O-C	Transition	Observed	O-C
5 ₅ 1 ⁻ 4 ₄ 0	566145.134	0.061	36 ₁₂ 24 ⁻ 37 ₁₁ 27	479303.697	0.175
6 ₆ 0 ⁻ 5 ₅ 1	689233.752	-0.043	38 ₆ 32 ⁻ 38 ₅ 33	502112.611	0.044
7 ₄ 4 ⁻ 6 ₃ 3	500074.955	-0.021	38 ₇ 31 ⁻ 38 ₆ 32	650669.285	-0.045
8 ₅ 3 ⁻ 7 ₄ 4	623516.751	0.045	37 ₁₂ 26 ⁻ 38 ₁₁ 27	459902.689	0.016
9 ₈ 2 ⁻ 9 ₇ 3	781278.374	-0.116	39 ₆ 34 ⁻ 39 ₅ 35	558989.014	0.046
10 ₄ 6 ⁻ 9 ₃ 7	557402.576	0.025	38 ₁₂ 26 ⁻ 39 ₁₁ 29	440471.475	0.087
11 ₇ 5 ⁻ 12 ₆ 6	448399.797	0.196	40 ₁ 39 ⁻ 40 ₀ 40	671120.142	-0.100
14 ₈ 6 ⁻ 15 ₇ 9	494110.938	0.012	40 ₀ 40 ⁻ 39 ₁ 39	710903.253	-0.246
18 ₄ 14 ⁻ 17 ₃ 15	713588.329	0.119	41 ₆ 36 ⁻ 41 ₅ 37	562245.371	-0.031
19 ₄ 16 ⁻ 18 ₃ 15	710177.611	-0.032	40 ₁₃ 27 ⁻ 41 ₁₂ 30	503378.478	-0.057
19 ₉ 11 ⁻ 20 ₈ 12	500891.709	0.132	42 ₆ 36 ⁻ 42 ₅ 37	456211.563	0.042
24 ₁₁ 13 ⁻ 25 ₁₀ 16	609150.513	-0.244	42 ₄ 38 ⁻ 41 ₅ 37	615767.885	0.158
25 ₁₀ 16 ⁻ 26 ₉ 17	487812.889	0.027	43 ₁₃ 31 ⁻ 44 ₁₂ 32	445196.895	-0.183
27 ₁₀ 18 ⁻ 28 ₉ 19	449102.301	-0.085	46 ₄ 42 ⁻ 46 ₃ 43	484158.585	-0.009
27 ₁₂ 16 ⁻ 28 ₁₁ 17	652819.870	0.013	46 ₅ 41 ⁻ 45 ₆ 40	539315.417	0.003
29 ₇ 23 ⁻ 29 ₆ 24	671191.924	0.012	46 ₁₄ 32 ⁻ 47 ₁₃ 35	488267.235	0.014
30 ₁ 29 ⁻ 30 ₀ 30	486722.159	0.016	48 ₄ 44 ⁻ 48 ₃ 45	535687.713	-0.023
30 ₁₁ 19 ⁻ 31 ₁₀ 22	493492.730	0.088	48 ₇ 41 ⁻ 48 ₆ 42	571844.400	0.036
32 ₃ 29 ⁻ 31 ₄ 28	445836.923	-0.004	48 ₁₄ 34 ⁻ 49 ₁₃ 37	449498.473	0.057
33 ₄ 30 ⁻ 33 ₃ 31	447699.728	0.050	50 ₇ 43 ⁻ 50 ₆ 44	545569.476	0.041
32 ₁₁ 21 ⁻ 33 ₁₀ 24	454735.779	0.022	50 ₆ 44 ⁻ 49 ₇ 43	443825.123	0.030
35 ₂ 34 ⁻ 35 ₁ 35	581075.151	0.105	51 ₁₅ 37 ⁻ 52 ₁₄ 38	492079.448	-0.099
35 ₅ 31 ⁻ 35 ₄ 32	482609.669	0.007	52 ₁₅ 37 ⁻ 53 ₁₄ 40	472743.508	-0.085
35 ₁₂ 24 ⁻ 36 ₁₁ 25	498675.632	-0.090	54 ₅ 49 ⁻ 54 ₄ 50	519225.234	0.002
37 ₄ 34 ⁻ 37 ₃ 35	498207.084	0.012	54 ₇ 47 ⁻ 54 ₆ 48	491314.556	-0.057
			60 ₇ 53 ⁻ 60 ₆ 54	445180.832	0.015

of Watson's Hamiltonian. This is the same choice that we have previously made in our work on light asymmetric rotors (7-9). The exact form, as well as our implementation of it, is detailed in those works. All calculations were done on a Harris H800 computer. The numerically intensive parts of the calculations were done in quadruple precision (96 bit) arithmetic.

Table I shows the transitions measured in this work for the ground vibrational state of ³²S¹⁶O₂ and Table II shows the constants derived from our analysis. Also included in our analysis is the earlier microwave data as compiled by Lovas. The constants retained in the analysis were selected by means of the criteria we have discussed previously (7-9). As usual, several different combinations are about equally acceptable for fitting the data. It is gratifying, however, that the extrapolations of calculated frequencies well into the FIR are relatively insensitive to the details of these choices. Also shown in Table II is the rms deviation of the fit, 0.070 MHz (2×10^{-6} cm⁻¹). Table III shows the transitions measured in this work for the first bending state (ν_2) of ³²S¹⁶O₂ and the fit of the analysis to these data. The 51₄ 48-

TABLE IV
 $^{34}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}_2$ Ground State Transition Frequencies (MHz)

Transition	Observed	O-C	Transition	Observed	O-C
5 4 2 ⁻ 4 3 1	441174.032	-0.027	37 1 37 ⁻ 36 0 36	656549.496	-0.133
6 5 2 ⁻ 5 4 1	558717.549	0.050	38 3 35 ⁻ 38 2 36	448811.048	0.032
6 6 0 ⁻ 5 5 1	656900.713	-0.026	38 6 32 ⁻ 38 5 33	459535.911	0.085
7 7 0 ⁻ 6 6 1	773885.720	-0.083	38 7 31 ⁻ 38 6 32	608339.153	0.036
9 5 5 ⁻ 8 4 4	615985.529	0.105	38 4 34 ⁻ 37 5 33	501920.146	-0.053
9 6 4 ⁻ 8 5 3	714223.701	-0.113	37 ₁₃ 25 ⁻ 38 ₁₂ 26	490960.031	-0.050
9 7 3 ⁻ 10 6 4	449079.357	0.044	40 1 39 ⁻ 40 0 40	658797.517	0.059
11 5 7 ⁻ 10 4 6	654069.881	-0.085	40 3 37 ⁻ 40 2 38	495286.969	-0.060
14 4 10 ⁻ 13 3 11	613338.148	-0.010	40 7 33 ⁻ 40 6 34	597519.231	-0.208
14 5 9 ⁻ 13 4 10	711021.060	0.126	39 ₁₄ 26 ⁻ 40 ₁₃ 27	547779.682	0.177
16 4 12 ⁻ 15 3 13	652652.865	0.046	42 4 38 ⁻ 41 5 37	652745.791	0.095
17 5 13 ⁻ 16 4 12	766772.835	-0.061	43 6 38 ⁻ 43 5 39	542257.673	-0.084
18 3 15 ⁻ 17 2 16	648737.597	0.053	43 7 37 ⁻ 43 6 38	612670.968	0.136
17 9 9 ⁻ 18 8 10	490539.553	-0.011	42 ₁₄ 28 ⁻ 43 ₁₃ 31	489942.961	-0.115
19 9 11 ⁻ 20 8 12	452062.461	0.032	44 4 40 ⁻ 44 3 41	447981.198	0.012
25 1 25 ⁻ 24 0 24	447275.249	-0.007	45 6 40 ⁻ 45 5 41	553329.138	-0.039
24 ₁₀ 14 ⁻ 25 9 17	452796.567	0.122	46 4 42 ⁻ 46 3 43	498409.999	0.008
28 0 28 ⁻ 27 1 27	498995.036	0.045	46 7 39 ⁻ 46 6 40	542984.626	-0.071
30 1 29 ⁻ 30 0 30	479152.273	0.046	48 4 44 ⁻ 48 3 45	548333.613	-0.016
29 12 18 ⁻ 30 11 19	549370.664	-0.107	48 7 41 ⁻ 48 6 42	517525.470	0.122
30 12 18 ⁻ 31 11 21	530119.754	0.127	47 ₁₅ 33 ⁻ 48 ₁₄ 34	488516.151	-0.062
32 12 20 ⁻ 33 11 23	491549.487	0.007	50 7 43 ⁻ 50 6 44	490440.474	-0.082
34 1 33 ⁻ 34 0 34	551699.871	0.068	49 ₁₅ 35 ⁻ 50 ₁₄ 36	449884.155	0.060
34 0 34 ⁻ 33 1 33	604080.004	-0.008	52 7 45 ⁻ 52 6 46	464237.845	0.270
34 13 21 ⁻ 35 12 24	548807.215	-0.088	54 7 47 ⁻ 54 6 48	441872.643	-0.205
36 6 30 ⁻ 36 5 31	480055.437	0.075	56 7 49 ⁻ 55 8 48	500228.123	0.022
37 2 36 ⁻ 37 1 37	605924.403	-0.152			

50_{545} , which was measured at 42615.90 MHz in an earlier experiment (1), is inconsistent with the prediction from our analysis of 42614.65 (10) and was not included in the final fit. Table II shows the constants which resulted. A similar analysis has been carried out on $^{34}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}_2$. The data and the fit to it are shown in Table IV and the results of the analysis in Table II. It would have been possible to observe hundreds more transitions for inclusion in each of these analyses. However, we selected only those transitions necessary to provide the experimental basis for our calculations. Our statistical calculations and experimental checks show that these additional lines would add little new information, most being predictable to <0.1 MHz.

The variation among the three constant sets shown in Table II is well behaved. As expected, the isotopic substitution of sulfur leaves the value of B essentially unchanged (10). Constants associated with the P_z^{2n} expansion are slightly smaller in

TABLE V

Comparison Among FIR Observation, Fit to the FIR Data Set, and Predictions Based upon Microwave Analysis (MHz)

Transition	FIR observed	FIR O-C	MW Prediction
24(22 2) - 24(21 3)	2110.818	-13	2110.803(7) ^a
26(22 4) - 26(21 5)	2111.246	4	2111.213(7)
27(22 6) - 27(21 7)	2111.454	-2	2111.428(7)
28(22 6) - 28(21 7)	2111.665	-12	2111.649(7)
29(22 8) - 29(21 9)	2111.907	3	2111.875(7)
30(22 8) - 30(21 9)	2112.112 ^b	-23	2112.108(7)
31(22 10) - 31(21 11)	2112.361	-12	2112.346(7)
35(22 14) - 35(21 15)	2113.358 ^b	-19	2113.350(7)
38(22 16) - 38(21 17)	2114.150 ^b	-26	2114.151(7)
39(22 18) - 39(21 19)	2114.439	-12	2114.426(7)
41(22 20) - 41(21 21)	2114.979 ^b	-31	2114.985(7)
42(22 20) - 42(21 21)	2115.275 ^b	-19	2115.269(7)
46(22 24) - 46(21 25)	2116.431 ^b	-22	2116.430(7)
26(23 3) - 26(22 4)	2200.943	0	2200.901(12)
28(23 5) - 28(22 6)	2201.396	-5	2201.359(12)
29(23 7) - 29(22 8)	2201.619 ^b	-21	2201.599(13)
30(23 7) - 30(22 8)	2201.868	-17	2201.845(13)
31(23 9) - 31(22 10)	2202.123	-14	2202.097(13)
32(23 9) - 32(22 10)	2202.375 ^b	-20	2202.355(13)
38(23 15) - 38(22 16)	2204.073 ^b	14	2204.020(13)
27(24 4) - 27(23 5)	2289.871	5	2289.806(22)
30(24 6) - 30(23 7)	2290.622	4	2290.559(22)
31(24 8) - 31(23 9)	2290.894	12	2290.824(22)
32(24 8) - 32(23 9)	2291.151	-1	2291.096(22)
36(24 12) - 36(23 13)	2292.303	1	2292.247(22)

a. Uncertainties in units of last quoted place.

b. Lines considered to be blends (derivations greater than 18 MHz).

³⁴S¹⁶O₂ and somewhat larger in ³²S¹⁶O₂ ($\nu_2 = 1$). The former results from the increased moment of inertia due to ³⁴S and the latter from the change in vibrational averaging. Some, if not most, of the reduction in L_K for ³⁴S¹⁶O₂ is due to the constraint of P_K to zero in that analysis.

IV. DISCUSSION

It is difficult to compare, on the basis of spectral constants, our results with other studies because of the differences in Hamiltonians, data sets, and weighting schemes. It is perhaps more useful to compare the energy levels calculated from them. The most difficult lines for our analysis to predict are the highest K_a lines that could be

observed in the FIR experiment. Table V shows a comparison among the observed FIR frequencies, the frequencies calculated from a fit to the FIR lines, and the predictions calculated from our microwave analysis. All lines considered by Carlotti *et al.* to be blended are marked with a "b." In that analysis (with one exception at low J) all lines with a deviation greater than 18 MHz are considered to be blended and the remainder unblended. This effectively sets an upper limit of 18 MHz on the deviation of lines included in their analysis and makes it difficult for us to estimate the uncertainty in observed frequencies for their weak lines. We note that our calculations actually better predict those lines excluded from the FIR fit as blends because all of them are observed to be systematically lower than the FIR fit, as are our predictions. From these considerations we conclude, for the transitions that are most difficult to calculate by extrapolation from the microwave analysis, that the errors in these calculations are comparable to the uncertainties in the experimental line positions. Furthermore, for the large majority of thermally populated transitions the microwave analysis predicts line positions to better than 1 MHz, and for many, to better than 0.1 MHz.

Although the data sets for $^{32}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}_2(\nu_2)$ and $^{34}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}_2$ are not as extensive as those of $^{32}\text{S}^{16}\text{O}_2$, they are similar in information content. The ground and excited state constants for the abundant species should, to within an additive constant, predict the ν_2 infrared band with high accuracy. These constants should also make the assignment of the remaining lines in the FIR spectrum straightforward.

These (or any of several logical subsets) are available via telephone modem from the H800 by use of very simple programs (virtually any terminal or resident terminal program capable of down-loading files). Interested parties should contact FCD for telephone numbers and passwords.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Henry Everitt and Keith Messer for their assistance with the data collection and analysis.

RECEIVED: October 29, 1984

REFERENCES

1. F. LOVAS, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **7**, 1639-1670 (1978).
2. J. P. SATTLER, T. L. WORCHESKY, AND W. J. LAFFERTY, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **88**, 364-371 (1981).
3. M. CARLOTTI, G. DI LONARDO, L. FUSINA, B. CARLI, AND MENCARAGLIA, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **106**, 235-244 (1984).
4. W. C. KING AND W. GORDY, *Phys. Rev.* **90**, 319-320 (1953).
5. P. HELMINGER, F. C. DE LUCIA, AND W. GORDY, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **25**, 1397-1399 (1970).
6. P. HELMINGER, J. K. MESSER, AND F. C. DE LUCIA, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **42**, 309-310 (1983).
7. P. HELMINGER, R. L. COOK, AND F. C. DE LUCIA, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **40**, 125-136 (1971).
8. F. C. DE LUCIA, R. L. COOK, P. HELMINGER, AND W. GORDY, *J. Chem. Phys.* **55**, 5334-5339 (1971).
9. R. L. COOK, F. C. DE LUCIA, AND P. HELMINGER, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **41**, 123-136 (1972).
10. W. GORDY AND R. L. COOK, "Microwave Molecular Spectra," Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1970.