# S4 Enriched Multimodal Categorial Grammars are Context-free: Corrigendum

Andrew R. Plummer

Department of Linguistics The Ohio State University 222 Oxley Hall, 1712 Neil Avenue Columbus, OH 43210, USA

#### Abstract

Plummer [3] showed that categorial grammars based on  $NL_{S4}$ , the non-associative multimodal Lambek Calculus enriched with S4 axioms, weakly recognize context-free languages. However, the proof contains a gap. We correct the earlier proof, utilizing a technique given in Buszkowski [1]. This technique immediately proves that  $NL_{S4}$  is decidable in polynomial time.

#### 1 NL<sub>S4</sub>-grammars

Plummer [3] showed that categorial grammars based on  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4}$ , the non-associative multimodal Lambek Calculus enriched with S4 axioms, weakly recognize context-free languages. However, the proof contains a gap. In the proof of Lemma 2.4 on p. 178, for rule 4, it is not true that an interpolant for  $\Delta$  in the premise serves as an interpolant for  $\Delta$  in  $\Gamma[\Delta] \Rightarrow A$ . The case  $\Delta = \langle \Delta' \rangle$  is not treated properly. We cannot infer  $\Gamma[\langle B \rangle] \Rightarrow A$  from  $\Gamma[B] \Rightarrow A$ . We correct the earlier proof, utilizing a technique given in Buszkowski [1].

We write  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4} \vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow A$  if the  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4}$ -sequent  $\Gamma \Rightarrow A$  is provable in  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4}$ . Moortgat [2] proved Cut-elimination, the subformula property, and decidability for  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a finite set of formulas closed under subformulas. Let  $\mathcal{T}' = \{ \Diamond M \mid M \in \mathcal{T} \} \cup \mathcal{T}$ . By a  $\mathcal{T}'$ -sequent we mean a sequent  $\Gamma \Rightarrow A$  such that A and all formulas appearing in  $\Gamma$  belong to  $\mathcal{T}'$ . We write  $\Gamma \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}'} A$  if  $\Gamma \Rightarrow A$  has a proof in  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4}$  consisting of  $\mathcal{T}'$ -sequents only.

Since  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4}$  has the subformula property, every  $\mathcal{T}'$ -sequent provable in  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4}$  has a proof in  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4}$  such that all sequents appearing in this proof are  $\mathcal{T}'$ -sequents. We shall describe an effective procedure which produces all  $\mathcal{T}'$ -sequents  $(A, B) \Rightarrow C, \langle A \rangle \Rightarrow B$ , and  $A \Rightarrow B$ which are provable in  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4}$ . Furthermore, we show that every  $\mathcal{T}'$ -sequent provable in  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4}$ can be derived from these sequents by Cut only. We first prove an interpolation lemma for  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4}$ -sequents.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let S be a sequent  $\Gamma[\Delta] \Rightarrow C$  provable in  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_S$  be the set of formulas containing C and all formulas in  $\Gamma$  such that  $\mathcal{T}_S$  is closed under subformulas, and let  $\mathcal{T}'_S =$ 

 $\{ \diamond M \mid M \in \mathcal{T}_S \} \cup \mathcal{T}_S$ . Then there is a type  $D \in \mathcal{T}'_S$  such that  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4} \vdash \Delta \Rightarrow D$  and  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4} \vdash \Gamma[D] \Rightarrow C$ .

*Proof.* The proof is by induction over cut-free sequent derivations. We provide details for the only case requiring attention. Assume the rule is 4. Suppose  $\Delta = \langle \Delta' \rangle$ . Let S' be the sequent  $\Gamma[\langle \Delta' \rangle] \Rightarrow C$ . By the induction hypothesis, there is a type  $D \in \mathcal{T}'_{S'}$  such that  $\Delta' \Rightarrow D$  and  $\Gamma[\langle D \rangle] \Rightarrow C$ .

Case 1. Suppose  $D \in \mathcal{T}_{S'}$ . Then  $\Diamond D \in \mathcal{T}'_{S'}$ . By applying 4 to  $\Gamma[\langle D \rangle] \Rightarrow C$ , we have  $\Gamma[\langle \langle D \rangle \rangle] \Rightarrow C$ . By applying  $\Diamond L$ , we have  $\Gamma[\langle \langle D \rangle \rangle] \Rightarrow C$ . By applying  $\Diamond R$  to  $\Delta' \Rightarrow D$ , we have  $\langle \Delta' \rangle \Rightarrow \Diamond D$ . Since  $\Diamond D \in \mathcal{T}'_{S'}$ , then  $\Diamond D \in \mathcal{T}'_{S'}$ . Hence  $\Diamond D$  is an interpolant for  $\Delta$ .

Case 2. Suppose  $D = \diamond E$ , where  $E \in \mathcal{T}_{S'}$ . Hence,  $\Delta' \Rightarrow \diamond E$ . By applying  $\diamond R$  to  $\Delta' \Rightarrow \diamond E$ , we have  $\langle \Delta' \rangle \Rightarrow \diamond \diamond E$ . Since  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4} \vdash \diamond \diamond E \Rightarrow \diamond E$ , by *Cut* we have  $\langle \Delta' \rangle \Rightarrow \diamond E$ . Hence,  $\langle \Delta' \rangle \Rightarrow D$ . Since  $D \in \mathcal{T}'_{S'}$ , then  $D \in \mathcal{T}'_{S'}$ . Hence D is an interpolant for  $\Delta$ .

Let  $S^{\mathcal{T}'}$  be the union of the sets

$$\begin{split} \{A \Rightarrow B \mid \mathbf{NL}_{\mathrm{S4}} \vdash A \Rightarrow B \text{ and } A, B \in \mathcal{T}'\}, \\ \{\langle A \rangle \Rightarrow B \mid \mathbf{NL}_{\mathrm{S4}} \vdash \langle A \rangle \Rightarrow B \text{ and } A, B \in \mathcal{T}'\}, \\ \{(A, B) \Rightarrow C \mid \mathbf{NL}_{\mathrm{S4}} \vdash (A, B) \Rightarrow C \text{ and } A, B, C \in \mathcal{T}'\}. \end{split}$$

Clearly,  $S^{\mathcal{T}'}$  is finite. Let  $S(\mathcal{T}')$  be the closure of  $S^{\mathcal{T}'}$  under *Cut*. We write  $\Gamma \Rightarrow_{S(\mathcal{T}')} A$  if  $\Gamma \Rightarrow A$  is provable in  $S(\mathcal{T}')$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** For any  $\mathcal{T}'$ -sequent  $\Gamma \Rightarrow C$ ,  $\Gamma \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}'} C$  if and only if  $\Gamma \Rightarrow_{S(\mathcal{T}')} C$ .

*Proof.* The nontrivial part of the proof is by induction on the number of structural operators,  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\langle \cdot \rangle$ , in  $\Gamma$ . We provide details for the case  $\Gamma = \Delta[\langle B \rangle]$ , where B is a type. Let S be the sequent  $\Gamma \Rightarrow C$ . By Lemma 1.1, there is an interpolant  $D \in \mathcal{T}'_S$  for  $\langle B \rangle$  in  $\Delta[\langle B \rangle] \Rightarrow C$ . Moreover,  $D \in \mathcal{T}'$  or  $D = \Diamond \Diamond E$  where  $E \in \mathcal{T}$ .

Case 1. Suppose  $D \in \mathcal{T}'$ . Then  $\langle B \rangle \Rightarrow_{S(\mathcal{T}')} D$ . Since  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4} \vdash \Delta[D] \Rightarrow C$  where C and every formula in  $\Delta[D]$  is in  $\mathcal{T}'$ , it follows that  $\Delta[D] \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}'} C$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $\Delta[D] \Rightarrow_{S(\mathcal{T}')} C$ . Applying *Cut* to the premises  $\langle B \rangle \Rightarrow_{S(\mathcal{T}')} D$  and  $\Delta[D] \Rightarrow_{S(\mathcal{T}')} C$ , we have that  $\Delta[\langle B \rangle] \Rightarrow_{S(\mathcal{T}')} C$ .

Case 2. Suppose  $D = \Diamond \Diamond E$  where  $E \in \mathcal{T}$ . Then  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4} \vdash \langle B \rangle \Rightarrow \Diamond \Diamond E$  and  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4} \vdash \Delta[\Diamond \diamond E] \Rightarrow C$ . Since  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4} \vdash \Diamond \diamond E \Rightarrow \Diamond E$ , by Cut we have  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4} \vdash \langle B \rangle \Rightarrow \Diamond E$ . Since B and  $\diamond E$  are in  $\mathcal{T}'$ , it follows that  $\langle B \rangle \Rightarrow_{S(\mathcal{T}')} \Diamond E$ . Since  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4} \vdash \Diamond E \Rightarrow \Diamond \diamond E$ , by Cut we have  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4} \vdash \Delta[\Diamond E] \Rightarrow C$ . Since C and every formula in  $\Delta[\diamond E]$  is in  $\mathcal{T}'$ , it follows that  $\Delta[\diamond E] \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}'} C$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $\Delta[\diamond E] \Rightarrow_{S(\mathcal{T}')} C$ . Applying Cut to the premises  $\langle B \rangle \Rightarrow_{S(\mathcal{T}')} \diamond E$  and  $\Delta[\diamond E] \Rightarrow_{S(\mathcal{T}')} C$ , we have that  $\Delta[\langle B \rangle] \Rightarrow_{S(\mathcal{T}')} C$ .

A categorial grammar based on a system S can be defined as a finite set of assignments  $a \to A$  such that  $a \in \Sigma$ ,  $\Sigma$  is an alphabet, and A is a formula. For a tree of formulas  $\Gamma$ , we denote by  $s(\Gamma)$  the string of formulas which arises from  $\Gamma$  by dropping all occurrences of the structural operators and commas. For a categorial grammar G and a formula A, the language L(G, A) consists of all strings  $a_1 \dots a_n$ , for  $n \ge 1$ , satisfying the following conditions: there exist formulas  $A_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and a tree of formulas  $\Gamma$  such that  $s(\Gamma) = A_1 \dots A_n$ , all  $a_i \to A_i$  belong to G, and  $\Gamma \Rightarrow A$  is provable in S.

**Theorem 1.3.** If G is a categorial grammar based on  $NL_{S4}$ , then for any formula A, L(G, A) is a context-free language.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the set of all subformulas of A and all subformulas of formulas appearing in G, and let  $\mathcal{T}' = \{ \Diamond M \mid M \in \mathcal{T} \} \cup \mathcal{T}$ . For any  $\mathcal{T}'$ -sequent  $\Gamma \Rightarrow A$ , by the subformula property and Lemma 1.2,  $\mathbf{NL}_{S4} \vdash \Gamma \Rightarrow A$  if and only if  $\Gamma \Rightarrow_{S(\mathcal{T}')} A$ . By removing all structural operators, proofs in  $S(\mathcal{T}')$  are derivations of a context-free grammar whose production rules are reversed sequents from  $S^{\mathcal{T}'}$ . We add lexical production rules  $A \to a$  for  $a \to A$  belonging to G.

**Corollary 1.4.**  $NL_{S4}$  is decidable in polynomial time.

*Proof.* Now,  $\mathcal{T}$  is the set of all subformulas of formulas appearing in  $\Gamma \Rightarrow A$ . For a full proof see Buszkowski [1].

## 2 Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank Wojciech Buszkowski for revealing the gap in the previous proof, and for all his help in correcting it.

### References

- W. Buszkowski, Lambek calculus with nonlogical axioms, from Language and Grammar: Studies in Mathematical Linguistics and Natural Language, C. Casadio, P.J. Scott, R.A.G. Seely (eds.), pages 77–93, "Center for the Study of Language and Information", Lecture Notes 168, Stanford, 2005.
- [2] M. Moortgat, Multimodal Linguistic Inference, Journal of Logic, Language and Information 5, (1996) 349–385.
- [3] A. R. Plummer, S4 enriched multimodal categorial grammars are context-free, *Theoret*ical Computer Science 388, (2007) 173–180.