

SEMIDIRECT PRODUCTS

Ivo Terek

In this write-up, we'll describe the Lie algebra structure induced on $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{h}$ by a semidirect product Lie group structure on $G \times H$, by essentially elaborating on some details of the computation outlined in <https://math.stackexchange.com/a/3378604/118056>. We will start by recalling some relevant definitions.

Definition 1

Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

- (i) The **conjugation by g** is the map $C_g^G: G \rightarrow G$ given by $C_g^G(\hat{g}) = g\hat{g}g^{-1}$.
- (ii) The **adjoint representation of G** is the homomorphism $\text{Ad}^G: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ given by $\text{Ad}^G(g) = d(C_g^G)_e$.
- (iii) The **adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g}** is the homomorphism $\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ given by $\text{ad}^{\mathfrak{g}} = d(\text{Ad}^G)_e$.

Theorem 1

Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Then the Lie bracket on \mathfrak{g} satisfies that

$$[X, \hat{X}]_{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{ad}_X \hat{X} \quad (0.1)$$

for all $X, \hat{X} \in \mathfrak{g}$

Definition 2

Let G and H be Lie groups and $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$ be a homomorphism. The **semidirect product** $G \rtimes_{\rho} H$ of G and H under ρ is the manifold $G \times H$ equipped with the product given by

$$(g, h)(\hat{g}, \hat{h}) = (g\hat{g}, h\rho(g)\hat{h}), \quad (0.2)$$

for all $(g, h), (\hat{g}, \hat{h}) \in G \times H$.

Remark. If ρ is understood, we'll write $G \rtimes H$ instead of $G \rtimes_{\rho} H$. Note that the identity element of $G \rtimes H$ is (e_G, e_H) , and that inverses are given by $(g, h)^{-1} = (g^{-1}, \rho(g^{-1})h^{-1})$ for every $(g, h) \in G \rtimes H$. Moreover, note that $\{e_G\} \times H$ is a normal subgroup of $G \rtimes H$ (thus justifying why this notation is used, as opposed to $G \rtimes H$). As we will see in the next computations, it is convenient to regard ρ as a bichomomorphism $\rho: G \times H \rightarrow H$.

Proposition 1

The conjugations in G , H , and $G \ltimes H$ are related via

$$C_{(g,h)}^{G \ltimes H}(\hat{g}, \hat{h}) = \left(C_g^G(\hat{g}), C_h^H(\rho(g)\hat{h}) h \rho(C_g^G(\hat{g})) h^{-1} \right), \quad (0.3)$$

for every $(g, h), (\hat{g}, \hat{h}) \in G \ltimes H$.

Proof: Compute:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{(g,h)}^{G \ltimes H}(\hat{g}, \hat{h}) &= (g, h)(\hat{g}, \hat{h})(g, h)^{-1} \\ &= (g\hat{g}, h\rho(g)\hat{h})(g^{-1}, \rho(g^{-1})h^{-1}) \\ &= \left(g\hat{g}g^{-1}, h\rho(g)\hat{h}\rho(g\hat{g})(\rho(g^{-1})h^{-1}) \right) \\ &= \left(g\hat{g}g^{-1}, h\rho(g)\hat{h}h^{-1}h\rho(g\hat{g}g^{-1})h^{-1} \right) \\ &= \left(C_g^G(\hat{g}), C_h^H(\rho(g)\hat{h}) h \rho(C_g^G(\hat{g})) h^{-1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (0.4)$$

□

Proposition 2

The adjoint representations of G , H , and $G \ltimes H$ are related via

$$\text{Ad}_{(g,h)}^{G \ltimes H}(\hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) = \left(\text{Ad}_g^G(\hat{Y}), \text{Ad}_h^H(d(\rho(g, \cdot))_{e_H} \hat{Z}) + h d(\rho(\cdot, h^{-1}))_{e_G} \text{Ad}_g^G(\hat{Y}) \right), \quad (0.5)$$

for all $(g, h) \in G \ltimes H$ and $(\hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{h}$, where by $h d(\rho(\cdot, h^{-1}))_{e_G} \text{Ad}_g^G(\hat{Y})$ we understand the result of applying the derivative at e_H of the left translation by $h \in H$ to the element $d(\rho(\cdot, h^{-1}))_{e_G} \text{Ad}_g^G(\hat{Y}) \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Proof: We freeze (g, h) in (0.3), and differentiate it with respect to (\hat{g}, \hat{h}) at (e_G, e_H) , in the direction of (\hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) . The first component evidently is $\text{Ad}_g^G(\hat{Y})$ and, for the second one, we use the product rule, noting that setting $\hat{g} = e_G$ in $h \rho(C_g^G(\hat{g})) h^{-1}$ yields e_H , and setting $\hat{h} = e_H$ in $C_h^H(\rho(g)\hat{h})$ yields e_G . In other words, we just need to differentiate the expressions $C_h^H(\rho(g)\hat{h})$ and $h \rho(C_g^G(\hat{g})) h^{-1}$ separately. The conclusion follows. □

Proposition 3

The adjoint representations of \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{h} , and $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}$ (the Lie algebra of $G \ltimes H$) are related via

$$\text{ad}_{(Y,Z)}^{\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}}(\hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) = \left(\text{ad}_Y^{\mathfrak{g}} \hat{Y}, \text{ad}_Z^{\mathfrak{h}} \hat{Z} + d\rho_{(e_G, e_H)}(Y, \hat{Z}) - d\rho_{(e_G, e_H)}(\hat{Y}, Z) \right), \quad (0.6)$$

for all $(Y, Z), (\hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) \in \mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{h}$.

Proof: In a similar fashion to what was done in the previous computation, we freeze (\hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) in (0.5), and differentiate it with respect to (g, h) at (e_G, e_H) , in the direction of (Y, Z) . Again, the first component trivially equals $\text{ad}_Y^{\mathfrak{g}} \hat{Y}$. There are two terms to be discussed here, both relying on the idea that a full derivative may be written as a sum of partial derivatives and in the product rule.

- $\text{Ad}_h^H(d(\rho(g, \cdot))_{e_H} \hat{Z})$. Differentiating this yields two terms. To compute the first, we may set $h = e_H$ and differentiate the remaining expression, $d(\rho(g, \cdot))_{e_H} \hat{Z}$ (as $\text{Ad}_{e_H}^H = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}}$), at $g = e_G$ and in the direction of Y , to obtain $d\rho_{(e_G, e_H)}(Y, \hat{Z})$. As for the second term, we may set $g = e_G$ and differentiate the remaining expression at $h = e_H$ in the direction of Z . However, $d(\rho(e_G, \cdot))_{e_H}$ is already the zero map.
- $h d(\rho(\cdot, h^{-1}))_{e_G} \text{Ad}_g^G(\hat{Y})$. Using the product rule together with the same principle as the above point, we see that differentiating this expression yields three terms. To compute the first, setting $g = e_G$ and the second h equal to e_H yields zero, as $d(\rho(\cdot, e_H))_{e_G}$ is already the zero map. For the second term, we set $g = e_G$ and the first h equal to e_H , so that differentiating $d(\rho(\cdot, h^{-1}))_{e_G} \hat{Y}$ at $h = e_H$ in the direction of Z yields $-d\rho_{(e_G, e_H)}(\hat{Y}, Z)$, in view of the chain rule together with the fact that the derivative of the inversion map $H \ni h \mapsto h^{-1} \in H$ at $h = e_H$ is $-\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Lastly, setting $h = e_H$ to try and differentiate the remaining expression with respect to g also gives us zero (again because $d(\rho(\cdot, e_H))_{e_G}$ is the zero map).

With this in place, the conclusion follows. \square

Now, Theorem 1 gives us how to compute Lie brackets in $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{h}$:

Corollary 1

The Lie bracket in $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{h}$ is given by

$$[(Y, Z), (\hat{Y}, \hat{Z})]_{\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{h}} = ([Y, \hat{Y}]_{\mathfrak{g}}, [Z, \hat{Z}]_{\mathfrak{h}} + Y \cdot \hat{Z} - \hat{Y} \cdot Z), \quad (0.7)$$

for all $(Y, Z), (\hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{h}$, where the multiplication \cdot is a shorthand for $d\rho_{(e_G, e_H)} \cdot$.

Let's conclude the discussion by noting how (0.7) suggests the definition of a semidirect product of (abstract) Lie algebras. It suffices to remember that the Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}(H)$ equals the algebra $\mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{h})$ of derivations of \mathfrak{h} when H is connected and simply connected. Replacing $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$ with its derivative $\rho_*: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{h})$, we have:

Definition 3

Let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} be Lie algebras, and let $\rho_*: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{h})$ be a homomorphism. The **semidirect product** $\mathfrak{g} \times_{\rho_*} \mathfrak{h}$ of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} under ρ_* is the vector space $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{h}$ equipped with the Lie bracket given by

$$[(Y, Z), (\hat{Y}, \hat{Z})]_{\mathfrak{g} \times_{\rho_*} \mathfrak{h}} = ([Y, \hat{Y}]_{\mathfrak{g}}, [Z, \hat{Z}]_{\mathfrak{h}} + \rho_*(Y)(\hat{Z}) - \rho_*(\hat{Y})(Z)), \quad (0.8)$$

for all $(Y, Z), (\hat{Y}, \hat{Z}) \in \mathfrak{g} \times_{\rho_*} \mathfrak{h}$.