## Chapter 9

## Molecular Geometry \& Bonding Theories

I) Molecular Geometry (Shapes)

Chemical reactivity of molecules
depends on the nature of the
bonds between the atoms as well
on its 3D structure

Molecular Geometry
Arrangement or positions of atoms relative to each other

## Bond Angles

Angles made by lines joining the nuclei of atoms bonded

## A) Basic $A B_{n}$ Arrangements



Various molecular shapes can arise from the 5 basic $A B_{n}$ shapes.
$\mathrm{n}=2: \quad$ linear, bent
$\mathrm{n}=3: \quad$ trigonal planar, trigonal pyramidal, T-shaped
$\mathrm{n}=4: \quad$ tetrahedral, square planar, see-saw
$\mathrm{n}=5: \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { trigonal bipyramidal }, \\ & \\ & \text { square pyramidal }\end{aligned}$
$\mathrm{n}=6: \quad$ octahedral


## II) VSEPR Theory

Valence-Shell Elecron-Pair Repulsion
$\mathrm{e}^{-}$pair: lone pair $\mathrm{e}^{-}$or bonding $\mathrm{e}^{-}$ (single, double \& triple bonds treated same)

- really considering regions of $\mathrm{e}^{-}$density (domains)

VSEPR: $e^{-}$pairs arrange themselves as far apart as possible to minimize repulsions between them

- controls geometry
around central atom
A) Types of Geometry


## 1) Electron-Domain Geom.

arrangement of bonding and nonbonding $\mathrm{e}^{-}$pairs (domains) about the central atom
2) Molecular Geom. (Shapes)
arrangement of bonded atoms about the central atom
described using ONLY the ATOMS

Distinction is very important!

## Electron-Domain Geom

> TABLE 9.1 • Electron-Domain Geometries as a Function of Number of Electron Domains
Number of $\quad$ Arrangement of

Electron Domains Electron Domains
Electron-Domain Predicted


## $E D$ and $M G$ for $A B_{2}, A B_{3} \& A B_{4} E D s$

| TABLE 9.2 <br> Electron Domains around a Central Atom |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of <br> Electron <br> Domains | Electron- <br> Domain <br> Geometry | Bonding <br> Domains | Nonbonding <br> Domains | Molecular <br> Geometry |
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B) $2 e^{-}$Pairs


## 1) $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$


2) HCN

$$
\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{~N}:
$$

3) $\mathrm{BeCl}_{2}$

4) $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

C) $3 e^{-}$Pairs


Trigonal
Planar

Basic $e^{-}$pair geometry
$\Rightarrow 2$ possible molecular geom. on shapes

1) 3 bonding pairs


$$
\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}
$$

$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$



2) 2 bonding 41 non bonding BENT (angular); Angle $<120^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$

$\mathrm{SO}_{2}$


Molecular geom. is determined by arrangement of e-pairs but is described by positions of the nuclei.

# Why is the bond angle not exactly $120^{\circ}$ ? 

# Lone-pair $\mathrm{e}^{-}$(nbe) not trapped between two atoms and thus spread out and take up more space. Repulses bonding pairs and reduces the bond angles. 

Bonding electron pair


Nucleus
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D) $4 e^{- \text {Pairs }}$


Tetrahedral

3 possible molecular gean. or shapes

1) $\frac{4 \text { bonding pairs }}{\mathrm{CH}_{4} \quad \mathrm{PH}_{4}^{+}} \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+} \quad \mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}$


Tetrahedral
2) 3 bonding \& 1 nonbonding

$$
: \mathrm{NH}_{3} \quad \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+} \quad: \mathrm{AsO}_{3}{ }^{3-}
$$



Trigonal pyramidal
3) $\frac{2 \text { bonding }}{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{0}^{\circ}} \frac{\stackrel{2}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{H}_{2}^{-}}{}$


Bent

Note: bond angle dec. by $\sim 2^{\circ}$ for each lone pair of $e^{-}$

# Arrangement of Electron Pairs and Geometry of Some Simple Molecules 

Tetrahedral arrangement of electron pairs
Molecular geometry:

¿etrahedral


## ED and MG for $\mathrm{AB}_{5} \& \mathrm{AB}_{6}$ EDs



## E) $5 \mathrm{e}^{-}$Pairs Domains
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## Two "different" bonds.

3 equatorial bonds forming a trigonal planar arrangement w. $120^{\circ}$ angles

2 axial bonds which are perpendicular to the trigonal planar equatorial bonds ( $90^{\circ}$ angles)

## 4 Molecular Geometries

1) trigonal bipyramidal

Angles: $120^{\circ} \& 90^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$


For seesaw, T-shaped, linear

## Lone-pair $\mathrm{e}^{-}$\& Bonding Pairs

lpe ${ }^{-}$wind up in the equatorial positions to maximize separation and reduce repulsions.
lpe ${ }^{-}$pushes bonding pairs closer together and reduces angles
2) seesaw

Angles: $\sim 120^{\circ} \& \sim 90^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{SF}_{4}$

3) T-shaped

Angles: $\sim 90^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{ClF}_{3}$

4) linear
Angle:
$180^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{XeF}_{2}$


## F) $6 e^{-}$Pair Domains

## Octahedral structure



# 3 Molecular Geometries 

## 1) octahedral

Angles: $90^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{SF}_{6}$

2) square pyramidal

Angles: $\sim 90^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{BrF}_{5}$


## 3) square planar

Angles: $90^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{XeF}_{4}$


## G) Shapes of Larger Molecules

Same rules apply to individual atoms in larger molecules.


## H) Examples

1) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{3}^{-}$
2) $\mathrm{ICl}_{4}{ }^{-}$

## III) Molecular Shape and Polarity

MUST have polar bonds
MUST consider shape
If the centers of + and - charges do not coincide, the molecule is polar.
A) Diatomic Molecules

A diatomic molecule w. a polar bond is polar

$$
\underset{+}{\delta+} \mathrm{H} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cl}{ }^{\delta-}
$$

## B) Polyatomic Molecules

For polyatomic molecules geometry is very important in predicting if the centers of + and - charges coincide.

The dipole moment is for the entire molecule
vector sum of ALL of the individual bond dipole moments.

## 1) $\mathrm{BeCl}_{2}$ <br> $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Be}-\mathrm{Cl}$

## 2) $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$



TABLE 9.2 - Electron-Domain and Molecular Geometries for Two, Three, and Four Electron Domains around a Central Atom


## BLANK

## BLANK

5) Trigonal Bipyramidal \& Octahedral EDs
$\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$


TABLE 9.3 - Electron-Domain and Molecular Geometries for Five and Six
Electron Domains around a Central Atom

| Number of <br> Electron <br> Domains | Electron- <br> Domain <br> Geometry | Bonding <br> Domains | Nonbonding <br> Domains |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## BLANK

## Note:

## 1) All the basic shapes are non-polar if all bonding positions are the same

## 2) If lpe- on central atom it's usually

 polar around the central atom - exceptionslinear (trig. bipyr. ED, $\mathrm{XeF}_{2}$ )
sq. planar (octahed. ED, $\mathrm{XeF}_{4}$ )
3) lpe $^{-}$play a role in polarity

## BLANK

# IV) Covalent Bonding and Orbital Overlap 

## A) Wave Interference:

$\mathrm{e}^{-}$behave like any other wave \& when 2 waves meet they can interact constructively or destructively.

## 1) Constructive interference:

waves add together and get a bonding orbital


## 2) Destructive interference:

## waves subtract from each other and get an anti-bonding orbital



## B) Effect in Chemistry

The wavefunction ( $\psi$ ) which describes the electrons works the same way

## 1) Constructive interference between

 two orbitals ('in-phase" combination) will produce a "bonding orbital" (a large area spanning both atoms in which the two electrons are free to move, i.e. the atoms share the electrons!!!). This orbital is lower in E than the two AOs (atomic orbitals)

2) Destructive interference (the "out-of-phase" combination) will produce an "anti-bonding orbital", where there will be a node (point where the wavefunction has a value of 0 ) between the two atoms. This orbital is higher in E than the two AOs or the bonding orbital.


# High $\mathrm{e}^{-}$density concentrated between nuclei along the internuclear axis 

## Morse Potential Energy curve



## Bond is attraction of $\mathrm{e}^{-}$- nuclei \& repulsion of nuclei.



## Bond strength depends on:

## 1) The degree of orbital overlap

2) The relative energies of the AOs which form the bond

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s+s \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\
& s+p \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\
& p+p \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## B) $\mathrm{Pi}(\pi)$ Bonds

$\mathrm{e}^{-}$density above and below internuclear axis

Results from sideways overlap of parallel $p$ orbitals
$\pi$ bond


The side-side overlap in $\pi$ bonds is less efficient than for $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ bonds
$-\pi$ bonds weaker than $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ bonds

## C) Bonding Theories

Any theory of bonding needs to explain why \& how bonds form and the resulting observed molecular geometries.

There are two basic theories:

1) Valence Bond theory
2) Molecular Orbital (MO) theory

Both use the idea of orbital overlap to create bonds. The main difference is when orbitals are allowed to merge.

# V) Hybrid Orbitals - Valence Bond Theory 

Bonds are created by orbital overlap to produce $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ or $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ bonds

To explain many observed molecular geometries, pure "s" and "p" atomic orbitals are combined to produce a set of "hybrid" orbitals on atoms.

These hybrid orbitals then form bonds between atoms producing the correct geometry.

## A) $s p$ Hybrid Orbitals

$\mathrm{BeF}_{2}$ linear with 2 single bonds
Be atom:
$[\mathrm{He}] \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{2 \mathrm{~s}} \quad-\quad-\quad-$
Should not form bonds

- no singly occupied orbitals

As it forms bonds it can absorb enough energy to "promote" one $2 s \mathrm{e}^{-}$to a $2 p$ orbital.
[He] 1

$$
2 \mathrm{~s}
$$



2p

# The $s$ and $p$ orbitals then mix or "hybridize" to form two degenerate sp hybrid orbitals. 

These $\boldsymbol{s p}$ hybrid orbitals have two lobes similar to a p orbital.

## One of the lobes is larger and more rounded as is the $s$ orbital.



# These two degenerate orbitals align themselves $180^{\circ}$ from each other: 

## linear

## Consistent with the observed geometry of Be compounds.



## B) $s p^{2}$ Hybrid Orbitals

$\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ : trigonal planar, $120^{\circ}$
[He] 11


2 s
$2 p$

As it forms bonds it can absorb enough energy to "promote" one $2 s \mathrm{e}^{-}$to a $2 p$ orbital.
[He]


2s


2p

# The $s$ and $p$ orbitals then mix or "hybridize" to form three degenerate $s^{2}{ }^{2}$ hybrid orbitals. 

## These $s p^{2}$ hybrid orbitals have three lobes with more p orbital character than for sp hybrid orb.


C) $s p^{3}$ Hybrid Orbitals
$\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ : tetrahedral, $109.5^{\circ}$
[He] 11
2 s
 1
2p

As it forms bonds it can absorb enough energy to "promote" one $2 s \mathrm{e}^{-}$to a $2 p$ orbital.
[He] 1
2s


The $s$ and $p$ orbitals then mix or "hybridize" to form four degenerate $s^{3}$ hybrid orbitals.

# These $s p^{3}$ hybrid orbitals have four lobes with more p orbital character than for $s p^{2}$ hybrid orb. 



One $s$ orbital


Three $p$ orbitals $\qquad$

$\qquad$
Hybridize to form four $s p^{3}$ hybrid orbitals


Shown together (large lobes only)
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## D) Hybrid Orbitals - Summary

## Hybrid orbitals are responsible for the ED geometries.

TABLE 9.4 - Geometric Arrangements Characteristic of Hybrid Orbital Sets

$s, p, p, p$
Four $s p^{3}$


Tetrahedral
$\mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$
( ${ }_{4}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NH}_{4}$

## 1) Ex: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

## ED geom.

## Molecular geom. bent



# E) Hypervalent Cmpds - Hybrid Orbitals? 

Are hybrid orbitals necessary for ED geometries of trigonal bipyramidal and octahedral?

## - some say yes some say no

In VB theory you need to hybridize the $\mathrm{n} d$ orbitals with the $\mathrm{n} s \& \mathrm{n} p$ (e.g. $3 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{p}$ and 3 d ).
$s p^{3} \boldsymbol{d}$
5 hybrids trig. bipyr.
$s p^{3} d^{2}$
6 hybrids octahedral

## VI) Multiple Bonds

Overlap of hybrid orbitals with $s$ or $p$ or other hybrid orbitals (end-to-end):

## $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ bonds.

$\mathrm{e}^{-}$density is symmetric about the internuclear axis of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ bond, groups can rotate about the bond without breaking it.

- free rotation about $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ bonds

Single bonds are $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ bonds


## Multiple bonding requires $\pi$ bonds

## A) Double Bonds

## Look at ethylene: $\quad \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$

## $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ bonds between C and H and both C atoms using $s p^{2}$ hybrid orbitals

 leaves "p" orbitals on each C which can overlap sideways to form $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ bonds


# Trigonal planar around each C atom - whole molecule is planar 

## $\pi$ bond is perpendicular to plane

No free rotation between C atoms

Double bond $\equiv 1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}+1 \pi$

## 1) Cis-Trans Isomers

## Isomers

Cmpds. w. same molecular form. but diff. arrangements of atoms

cis-1,2-dichloroethene

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { b.p. }=60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} & \text { b.p. }=48^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{DM}=1.85 \mathrm{D} & \text { DM }=0 \mathrm{D}
\end{array}
$$


trans-1,2-dichloroethene

NO "free" rotation about double bond

## Look at acetylene: $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$

## $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ bonds between C and H and both C atoms using $s p$ hybrid orbitals

leaves 2 sets of " $p$ " orbitals on each C which can overlap sideways to form 2 sets of $\pi$ bonds



## Linear around each C atom

Triple bond $\equiv 1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}+2 \pi$
C) VB Theory - Summary

For a given atom, all hybrid orbitals have the same energy.

## total number hybrid orbitals <br> =

total number of AOs used

Unhybridized " $p$ " orbitals can be used to form $\pi$ bonds (or remain empty).

When atoms share more than one pair of $\mathrm{e}^{-}$one pair is used to form a $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ bond.

- Additional pairs form $\pi$ bonds.


## 1) Good Points

a) Hybrid orbitals created by mixing $s, p(\& d)$ orbitals then form localized $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \& \boldsymbol{\pi}$ bonds - simple model.
b) Geometries match VESPR very well

## 2) Problems

a) Does not explain $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ paramagnetism (unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$)
b) Does not explain excited states - impt for spectroscopy
c) Explains localized bonding - but resonance concepts have to be "added" for some molecules (i.e. doesn't explain delocalized bonding well).

# D) Resonance \& Delocalized Bonding 

Localized $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ bonds can't explain resonance.

Instead can think of atoms forming delocalized $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ bonding.

## Benzene:

Each C atom is $\boldsymbol{s p} \boldsymbol{p}^{2}$ hybridized and has 1 atomic $\boldsymbol{p}$ orbital left over

- form a delocalized $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ bond



## VII) Molecular Orbital Theory

Some things not explained by VB theory
Does NOT explain magnetic or spectral prop.

Diamagnetic - NOT attracted to magnet Paramagnetic - attracted to magnet

Simple VB theory predicts any molecule $w$. an even \# $\mathrm{e}^{-}$should be diamagnetic

$\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is paramagnetic

- must have unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$

In MO theory orbitals are constructed as combinations of AOs from $\mathbf{A L L}$ atoms in the molecule.

The MO can span more than 2 atoms.

Each MO can still only contain $2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$
In VB theory orbitals are mixed
on individual atoms $1^{\text {st }}$ then
bonded together as needed

In MO theory the orbitals of all atoms mix and are then used to form the lowest energy molecular orbitals.

# A) Bonding and Anti-bonding $\sigma$-Orbitals 



Can either add or subtract the AOs ( $\mathrm{e}^{-}$density of the atoms)

## 1) Bonding MO

## Add AOs - $\mathrm{e}^{-}$density concentrated in region between nuclei



## 2) Antibonding MO

# Subtract AOs - cancel each other in region between nuclei 

Node between nuclei

$$
-\mathrm{e}^{-} \text {density is zero }
$$




# 3) Effectiveness of Overlap \& Mixing 

## a) How well two AOs overlap \& mix depends on type of orb. (s vs. p or $\sigma$ vs. $\pi$ ) \& their relative energy

- closer in energy, better overlap


Note: $\Delta \mathrm{E}^{*}>\Delta \mathrm{E}$

# b) The orbitals must have correct phases to overlap 

This is bonding combination, the $\mathrm{H} \& \mathrm{~F}$ atoms on the z -axis, the $2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{AO}$ on F overlaps w. the H 1s to form the

bonding \& anti-bonding MOs

These orbitals do
not have correct

symmetry/phase to
overlap so these AOs
Why not $2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}$ ? will not interact to form the MOs


# B) MOs formed from p AOs 

## 1) $\sigma \mathrm{MOs}$

# 1 set of 2 p AOs ( $2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ ) overlap end-to-end (head on) 

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2 \mathrm{p}} \quad \& \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2 \mathrm{p}}{ }^{*}
$$

2) $\pi \mathrm{MOs}$

Other 2 sets ( $2 p_{x} \& 2 p_{y}$ ) of parallel 2 p AOs overlap sideways

$$
2 \pi_{2 \mathrm{p}} \quad \& \quad 2 \pi_{2 \mathrm{p}} *
$$


C) MO Energy-Level Diagrams, Electron Config. \& Orbital Diagrams 1) Rules for Determining MO Diag.
a) $\# \mathrm{MOs}=$ \# AOs
formed combined
b) AOs combine by both "in-phase"
(addition) \& "out-of-phase"
(subtraction) interactions
c) AOs of similar energy combine most effectively
d) The more effectively AOs overlap the larger the energy diff. between the MOs
e) AOs may not form MOs if there is no other orbital for it to interact with (these are nbe ${ }^{-}$or lpe ${ }^{-}$)
f) Only occupied MOs affect the E of the molecule
g) Pauli Exclusion Principle \& Hund's Rule are obeyed

## 2) Period 1: Homonuclear Diatomics

a) electron configuration

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{\mathrm{nb}}\left(\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{na}} \\
\mathrm{nb}= & \# \text { bonding } \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
\mathrm{na}= & \# \text { anti-bonding e }{ }^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

## b) Bond Order

\# bonds which exist between atoms
B.O. $=1 / 2(n b-n a)$
c) Bond Energy

Energy necessary to break 1 mole of bonds
measure of bond strength \& stability

| B.O. | type <br> of <br> bond | bond <br> length | bond <br> energy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | unstable | - | - |
| 1 | single | Dec. | Inc. |
| 2 | double |  |  |
| 3 | triple | $\downarrow$ |  |

## d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{He}_{2}$



Stable molecule
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$$
(\quad)
$$

B.O. =

( ) ( )
B.O. =
e) $\mathrm{He}_{2}{ }^{+}$
3) Period 2 : Homonuclear Diatomics
a) "core" MOs

1 s AOs $\longrightarrow \quad \sigma_{1 \mathrm{~s}} \& \sigma_{1 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}$

- do NOT overlap effectively
- remain mostly like the 1s AOs on atoms
- contribute almost nothing to bonding
b) "Valence" MOs

2 s AOs $\longrightarrow \quad \sigma_{2 s} \& \sigma_{2 s}{ }^{*}$
overlap effectively
valence AOs combine to give "valence" MOs
c) $\mathrm{Li}_{2}: 6 e^{-}$total

(c) 2012 Pearson Education, Inc.
full $\mathrm{e}^{-}$config. :
shorthand:
B.O. =
d) $\mathrm{Be}_{2}: 8 \mathrm{e}^{-}$total
full $\mathrm{e}^{-}$config. :
shorthand:
B.O. =
4) Period $2: \mathrm{O}_{2}-\mathrm{Ne}_{2}$

The following is expected based on $\sigma$ bonds being stronger than $\pi$ bonds and applies to $\mathrm{O}_{2}-\mathrm{Ne}_{2}$ with no mixing (interaction) of 2 s orbital on one atom w. 2 p on other atom.


Orbital Diagram 1 (OD1)
5) Period 2: $\mathrm{B}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{2}$ $\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{p}$ mixing: can occur between the 2 s and $2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ as they have the correct symmetry


## This depends on energy gap between the $2 \mathrm{~s} \& 2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ AOs

## Energy of AOs for $2^{\text {nd }}$ row elements

| Element | E 2 | E 2 | E 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - E 2 |  |  |  |

As can be seen in the figure below, the energies of the orb. change for each element. The gap between the 2s \& 2p inc. as you go across the period. This means the energy gap is too large for $\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{p}$ mixing to occur for $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{F} \& \mathrm{Ne}$, but the gap is small enough for mixing to occur for B, C \& N. This will affect the relative energy of MOs formed.
$\mathrm{Li} \mathrm{Be} \quad \mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{F} \quad \mathrm{Ne}$

_1s _-2s $\quad$ 2s

# The MO diagram for $\mathrm{B}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is diff. 

 $\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{p}$ mixing allows the $\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}$ and $\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ to interact, lowering the energy of the $\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}$ \& raising the energy of the $\sigma_{2 p}$.
## Note: has no effect on energies of the $\pi$ and $\pi^{*}$ MOs.




The $\sigma_{2 p}$ and $\pi_{2 p}$ have switched order due to $\sigma_{2 s}$ and $\sigma_{2 p}$ interaction

## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Row Diatomic Elements

## MO Diagrams

|  | Large $2 s-2 p$ interaction |  |  |  | Small $2 s-2 p$ interaction |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Ne}_{2}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{2 p}^{*} \\ & \pi_{2 p}^{*} \\ & \sigma_{2 p} \\ & \pi_{2 p} \\ & \sigma_{2 s}^{*} \\ & \sigma_{2 s} \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ <br> 11 <br> 11 |  | $\square$ <br> 11 <br> 11 <br> 11 | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{2 p}^{*} \\ & \pi_{2 p}^{*} \\ & \pi_{2 p} \\ & \sigma_{2 p} \\ & \sigma_{2 s}^{*} \\ & \sigma_{2 s} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 11  <br> 11 16 <br> 11 11 <br> 11  <br> 11  <br> 11  |
| Bond order <br> Bond enthalpy (kJ/mol <br> Bond length ( $\AA$ ) <br> Magnetic behavior |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 290 \\ & 1.59 \\ & \text { Paramagnetic } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 620 \\ & 1.31 \\ & \text { Diamagnetic } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 941 \\ & 1.10 \\ & \text { Diamagnetic } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 495 \\ & 1.21 \\ & \text { Paramagnetic } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 155 \\ & 1.43 \\ & \text { Diamagnetic } \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |
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## a) Electron Config.

$$
\text { 1) } \mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{2}
$$

# $\left(\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}^{*}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}^{*}\right)^{2}$ 

Shorthand Notation for $2^{\text {nd }}$ Period

- use valence $\mathrm{e}^{-}$only
$\operatorname{KK}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}^{*}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}} *\right)^{2}$

2) $\mathrm{O}_{2}-\mathrm{Ne}_{2}$
$\operatorname{KK}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}^{*}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}} *\right)^{2}$

## 3) Ex: $\mathrm{O}_{2}$

## 12 valence $\mathrm{e}^{-}$

6) Period 2 : Heteronuclear Diatomics

AOs of more electronegative
element are lower in energy
Bonding MOs tend to be more like AOs of more EN element

MO will have a greater contribution from the AO closest in energy

$$
\stackrel{\text { smaller }}{\Delta \mathrm{E}} \leadsto \begin{aligned}
& \text { more } \\
& \text { orb. } \\
& \text { overlap }
\end{aligned} \leftrightarrows \begin{aligned}
& \text { greater } \\
& \text { covalent } \\
& \text { character }
\end{aligned}
$$

# greater more <br> $\Delta \mathrm{E} \quad \neg$ polar <br>  <br> bond <br> greater ionic <br> character 

A) nitric oxide, NO

## Two possible Lewis Struct.



## LS on left is the better struct.

Both show double bond
Bond length of $1.15 \AA$

- close to that in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$
- implies bond between double and triple bond


N atom NO molecule O atom
B.O. =
7) MO Theory Summary

MOs are linear combinations of AOs

MOs can span multiple atoms

Best theory:

- delocalization not a problem
- excited states not a problem
- describes things like $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ correctly

